Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur

2005

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2005-02-25 through 2005-04-13

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 303

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. 50 MHz DX News update (20050225)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-25 17:09:27 UTC
From: OZ50MHz Gruppe <oz7m@image.dk>
Message-ID: <oZITd.1770$%k.1539@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 298
Cross-posted to: dk.fritid.hamradio,fr.rec.radio.amateur,hr.rec.radio.amateri,nl.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

CQ reader´s.

The DX information section of the DX info & QSP´s at

http://www.qsl.net/oz6om/

have just been updated (February 25 th.)

vy 73 de OZ6OM

2. FA: Motorola MCS2000 Model III - VHF, 132-174 MHz, 160 channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-26 01:26:51 UTC
From: me <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <m2kv1114678ing8nf6aj706vp7c0pll6g5@4ax.com>
Source record: 299

FA: Motorola MCS2000 Model III - VHF, 132-174 MHz, 160 channel
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5754842384

3. FA: AUDIO DISTRIBUTION BOX-MIXER-CODE PRACTICE AID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-26 02:47:10 UTC
From: me <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <cpov119rv7cabidlbn5kfcaan82l75n12g@4ax.com>
Source record: 300

FA: AUDIO DISTRIBUTION BOX-MIXER-CODE PRACTICE AID
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5753917916

4. Yaesu and Ameritron question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-26 04:54:48 UTC
From: AmpQuestion <nospam@nospam>
Message-ID: <cvova7ldd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 301

Any input on getting an Ameritron 811 to work with a Yaesu Ft-767?

I have all cables hooked properly, the amp is in excellent shape and works. All meters work, the fan runs, and all cables are double checked for continuity.

The manual with the 767 says that when I run the relay cable from the amp to the TX Grnd jack on the Yaesu that I must locate and turn to "on" a switch they call the S2002 switch on the RF section (inside the rig) to activate the amp.

Where the heck is this switch? The Yaesu manual doesn't show the location. In fact, the 767 manual stinks.

The Ameritron manual also states that it is not necessary to hook the ALC cable to the rig unless I run more than 70 watts at the transmitter.

Any input will be appreciated.

5. echolink iss live feed 1647utc monday 28th feb 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-26 07:55:50 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <42232b68.2612134@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 302

echolink iss live feed 1647utc monday 28th feb 2005

A live feed of the ISS contact with a school in Bentley CA will be sent live into the AMSAT conference room, Echo Link node 101377 by Dieter, KX4Y. The contact between the ISS and school starts at 16:47 UTC and lasts for about 15 minutes +/-. During this contact, we appreciate everyone's patience and understanding. We must mute everyone except Dieter, KX4Y to avoid inadvertent, interfering transmissions into the conference room. Thanks for your understanding and cooperation.

American hams in the footprint can listen on 145.800 FM to the 'live' downlink audio

73 Ian G3ZHI

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - many ham radio links

http://www.ukirlp.co.uk

G4NJI IRLP 5200 Echolink 135909
Rotherham simplex 145.2875mhz

GB3XN IRLP 5708 Echolink 153126
Langold 430.925 Mhz

Mobile 0783 338 0578

6. VX-150 Accessories - Opinions Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-26 14:07:33 UTC
From: Trainman52 <rlevownj@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ul0121d4u7vid88ohq7n28hgiq498b6m3n@4ax.com>
Source record: 303

I'm considering the purchase of some VX-150 Accessories. These include the high capacity battery with the desktop charger as well as the mic/speaker.

Does anyone have any comments with regard to OEM vs aftermarket?

If aftermarket, please give specifics as to brand/model and supplier.

Thanks

Rich
KC2NUQ

7. Yaesu FT-7800R - Opinions Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-26 14:09:45 UTC
From: Trainman52 <rlevownj@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <8r0121p76rtt6ipcjfhp825bq4ep1b8mg4@4ax.com>
Source record: 304

At some point I'm going to have to graduate from the VX-150 for base operations.

One of the rigs that I'm considering is an FT-7800R with an Astron VS-35M power supply.

Any thoughts on this combo?

Any alternate suggestions?

Thanks,

Rich
KC2NUQ

8. FA: Hallicrafters R-47 SPKR, ASTATIC G stand!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-26 15:38:10 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <7T0Ud.24335$CV.18976@fe08.lga>
Source record: 305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Here is the Entire list, you'll no doubt find something here you'd like to own:-)
Enjoy!
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

9. Re: Yaesu FT-7800R - Opinions Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-26 15:39:14 UTC
From: Leif Holmgren <nobody@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <42209822.2020604@nowhere.com>
Source record: 306

Trainman52 wrote:
> One of the rigs that I'm considering is an FT-7800R with an Astron
> VS-35M power supply.
>
> Any thoughts on this combo?

Not on the combination itself, only on the FT-7800R. If you are over 30 years old I would recommend you look for some other rig. I guess that as a radio it's ok. It cheap, enough Power output and a decent receiver as far as I'm able to tell. What I have against it is that there are too few buttons, which mean that you will have several functions on the same key. Press short for one thing, long for another poke around in some menu and the buttons suddenly have another meaning. I'm 36 and I doubt that I will ever learn which of the buttons on the mic that give me the repeater tone, and which changes from simplex to duplex. If you are younger you will no doubt be able to learn. Due to this I only use mine for APRS and DX-cluster operation. That way it's mostly set and forget.

73 de Leif

10. FA: COLLINS R-390A JAN tubes & Shields

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-26 15:41:39 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <oW0Ud.24348$801.11923@fe08.lga>
Source record: 307
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Here is the Entire list, you'll no doubt find something here you'd like to own:-)
Enjoy!
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

11. FA: RARE EUREKA BECON AN/PPN-1A SUPPLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-26 15:51:51 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Y31Ud.24465$E71.20776@fe08.lga>
Source record: 308
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Here is the Entire list, you'll no doubt find something here you'd like to own:-)
Enjoy!
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

12. Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-26 22:40:57 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <4220fb2d$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 309
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

This bloke is a wanker so I have shit listed him, plonk!

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

13. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-27 00:33:59 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <q852215aodh1phg79o0jiu2hevgdarfn8u@4ax.com>
Source record: 310
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 09:40:57 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote:

>This bloke is a wanker so I have shit listed him, plonk!

I've survived better killfiles than yours.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.

Simple Simon met a pieman,
going to the fair ...,

73 de Jock.
--

"In view of the fact that God limited the intelligence of man,
it seems unfair that he did not also limit his stupidity."
-- Konrad Adenauer

14. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-27 01:45:26 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42212618.96390930@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 311
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote:

hmmmm is this another case of the foul mouthed cb'er .... ????

15. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-27 11:18:35 UTC
From: Starr <Starr@proxima.net>
Message-ID: <cvsaadiug0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 312
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

"Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
news:4220fb2d$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
> This bloke is a wanker so I have shit listed him, plonk!
>

Don't threat about it old son. Most of us killfiled him ages ago.
>
> --
> The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>
> 73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
> VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

16. Re: Yaesu FT-7800R - Opinions Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-27 11:28:20 UTC
From: Jim <none@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <o9iUd.44144$t46.20982@trndny04>
Source record: 313

Rich,

I would recommend getting an all band/mode receiver (i.e.. Icom 706,Yaesu FT-857) if you can afford it. If you only have Tech privileges, you can monitor HF and short-wave. You might get motivated to upgrade and you would be covered by having a radio that can operate on HF. BTW, I own a 7800 that I use mobile. I never have had any problems with it. I love the wideband capabilities of this radio. The computer cable and software for programming is a must with this radio.

73,
W2USB

"Trainman52" <rlevownj@optonline.net> wrote in message news:8r0121p76rtt6ipcjfhp825bq4ep1b8mg4@4ax.com...
> At some point I'm going to have to graduate from the VX-150 for base
> operations.
>
> One of the rigs that I'm considering is an FT-7800R with an Astron
> VS-35M power supply.
>
> Any thoughts on this combo?
>
> Any alternate suggestions?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Rich
> KC2NUQ

17. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-27 15:27:23 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <flp321t5ij4jv9sholdu60ggc0hk7k7u8o@4ax.com>
Source record: 314
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

```
On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 11:18:35 -0000, "Starr" <Starr@proxima.net> wrote:

>
>"Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
>news:4220fb2d$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>> This bloke is a wanker so I have shit listed him, plonk!
>>
>
>Don't threat about it old son. Most of us killfiled him ages ago.
^

Bwahahahaaaaaaa !

73 de Jock.
--

"In view of the fact that God limited the intelligence of man,
it seems unfair that he did not also limit his stupidity."
-- Konrad Adenauer
```

18. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-27 17:49:16 UTC
From: Starr <Starr@proxima.net>
Message-ID: <cvt16usch0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 315
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:q6i3211o104p898mihngjje1vbu4lrndus@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 11:18:35 -0000, "Starr" <Starr@proxima.net> wrote:
>
> >Don't threat about it old son. Most of us killfiled him ages ago.
>
> Yes, there's far too much mindless threating in this newsgroup!
The sad thing is the same sort of mindless threating seems to happen on most
newsgroups. :(
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

19. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-27 20:03:38 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42222773.162284250@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 316
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

Jock. <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote:

: On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 11:18:35 -0000, "Starr" <Starr@proxima.net> wrote:
:
: >
: >"Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
: >news:4220fb2d$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
: >> This bloke is a wanker so I have shit listed him, plonk!
: >>
: >
: >Don't threat about it old son.
: ^

wheres the spellchecker brigade now ?????????

20. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-27 20:33:14 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42222e43.164028385@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 317
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

: On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 20:03:38 GMT,
: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net (ZZZPK
: omenwilliams@web-mail.com.ar pacificlottery2004@yahoo.com) wrote:
:
: >: >Don't threat about it old son.
: >: ^
: >
: >
: >wheres the spellchecker brigade now ?????????
:
: I suspect he meant 'fret' ... but in some parts of the UK, 'threat' is

yes... i 'saw' the mistake.
its a pity though that those who claim superiour spelling skills and used
to try and have a go at me cant apply those same standards to others and
by doing so avoid the accusation of being two-faced.

21. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-27 21:18:24 UTC
From: Prometheus <Prometheus@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <a4gu6jdgkjICFwD9@newbrain.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 318
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

```
In article <42222773.162284250@news.iol.ie>, "ZZZPK
omenwilliams@web-mail.com.ar pacificlottery2004@yahoo.com"
<zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net> writes
>Jock. <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote:
>
>: On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 11:18:35 -0000, "Starr" <Starr@proxima.net> wrote:
>:
>: >
>: >"Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
>: >news:4220fb2d$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>: >> This bloke is a wanker so I have shit listed him, plonk!
>: >>
>: >
>: >Don't threat about it old son.
>: ^
>
>
>wheres the spellchecker brigade now ?????????
^
shouldn't that be "where's"?

--
Ian G8ILZ
```

22. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-27 22:30:11 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <8ei421hfo10auf57r3jhj6otaon4gtod5h@4ax.com>
Source record: 319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 20:08:08 +0000, Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 15:33:57 +0000 (UTC), lucifer@eternal-flames.gov
>wrote:
>
>>>This bloke is a wanker
>>
>>You mean he's a fully qualified Australian?
>
>Which reminds me ... I happened to see a game of "Aussie Rules"
>football on TV last night. Either these guys in their little
>micro-shorts have (a) no balls, or (b) VERY tight jock-straps!
>:-)))

Despite all that, they're OK the Aussies. Make passably good wine too.

73 de Jock.
--

"In view of the fact that God limited the intelligence of man,
it seems unfair that he did not also limit his stupidity."
-- Konrad Adenauer

23. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-27 22:33:26 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9ii4211jfk4r7ahapvv9skutq18v0aq6rr@4ax.com>
Source record: 320
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 21:18:24 +0000, Prometheus <Prometheus@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>In article <42222773.162284250@news.iol.ie>, "ZZZPK
>omenwilliams@web-mail.com.ar pacificlottery2004@yahoo.com"
><zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net> writes
>>Jock. <real_class_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>>: On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 11:18:35 -0000, "Starr" <Starr@proxima.net> wrote:
>>:
>>: >
>>: >"Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
>>: >news:4220fb2d$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>>: >> This bloke is a wanker so I have shit listed him, plonk!
>>: >>
>>: >
>>: >Don't threat about it old son.
>>: ^
>>
>>
>>wheres the spellchecker brigade now ?????????
> ^
>shouldn't that be "where's"?

Nah, lots of us have at least two wheres around the house. Brian probably
has two. Very possible as it's most likely mis-pronounced "wares" in his
little corner of England.

73 de Jock.
--

"In view of the fact that God limited the intelligence of man,
it seems unfair that he did not also limit his stupidity."
-- Konrad Adenauer

24. Amplifer Problem / Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-28 14:15:44 UTC
From: trout <trout@nycap.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fd9621hjcpkqgh7e0fflhmkl7j2i9vkk1q@4ax.com>
Source record: 321

A friend has a Knight T175 amplifier and as soon as he turns it on, it keys up and will not sit in standby mode with or without a radio attached.

Any ideas ?

25. WTD: ROLLER INDUCTORS, VACUUM VARIABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-28 15:55:22 UTC
From: dt <spammenot@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vmf621dmu147di4o11g71kj03sc1n7j5ri@4ax.com>
Source record: 322

WTD: ROLLER INDUCTORS, VACUUM VARIABLE

Wanted for tuner project:

Pair of matched roller inductors, min. 18-28 uH, #12 AWG or larger

Vacuum variable, min. 500-1000 pF, 5KV

Please advise your sell price or will trade for ????

Please reply to

" k0dan @ arrl . net " <--- remove spaces

73
Dan

26. FA: AUDIO DISTRIBUTION BOX-MIXER-CODE PRACTICE AID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-28 17:37:13 UTC
From: me <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <4ml621han0sqisof089ipni0csrj6ltq4n@4ax.com>
Source record: 323

FA: AUDIO DISTRIBUTION BOX-MIXER-CODE PRACTICE AID
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5753917916

27. FA: MOTOROLA CONVERTA-COM MOBILE CONSOLE F/ STX PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-28 20:14:49 UTC
From: me <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <jtu6215of0gr751enur1ouuoe7c6d910ss@4ax.com>
Source record: 324

FA: MOTOROLA CONVERTA-COM MOBILE CONSOLE F/ STX PORTABLE
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5755790682

28. FA: MOTOROLA GTX/LTS ACCESSORY PLUG CLAMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-28 20:18:28 UTC
From: me <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <e4v6219nummkvunq0dv7fpmfjpvhtmqfte@4ax.com>
Source record: 325

FA: MOTOROLA GTX/LTS ACCESSORY PLUG CLAMP
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5755812874

29. Re: Amplifer Problem / Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-28 22:38:52 UTC
From: Gerry <noway@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <04NUd.40333$f%5.27945@trndny03>
Source record: 326

"trout" <trout@nycap.rr.com> wrote in message
news:fd9621hjcpkqgh7e0fflhmkl7j2i9vkk1q@4ax.com...
>
>
> A friend has a Knight T175 amplifier and as soon
> as he turns it on, it keys up and will not sit in
> standby mode with or without a radio attached.

Ten-Four good buddy!

30. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-28 23:00:16 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <4223a230.381276@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 327
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

: On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 20:33:14 GMT, ZZZPK wrote:
:
: >its a pity though that those who claim superiour spelling skills ...
:
: Oh my!

done worry Walt...

i'm not o''of the mainland'' i'm allowed mangle the english language.

it is those of an m3 and usual attitude that i was referring to.

everyone knows. i operate with spellchecker OFF.

31. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-28 23:00:29 UTC
From: Starr <Starr@proxima.net>
Message-ID: <d007qf$fk2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

"Walt Davidson" <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote in message
news:o0a421ha6q12iljlir5n0ia0id9h30k5h2@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 15:33:57 +0000 (UTC), lucifer@eternal-flames.gov
> wrote:
>
> >>This bloke is a wanker
> > >
> > >You mean he's a fully qualified Australian?
>
> Which reminds me ... I happened to see a game of "Aussie Rules"
> football on TV last night. Either these guys in their little
> micro-shorts have (a) no balls, or (b) VERY tight jock-straps!
> :-)))
Well from what I have seen of Australian rules football it's likely that the player's balls were kicked right up their entr�e prenerous (sod the spelling) Next time you watch a game be sure to check if the players walk funny and have squeaky voices. It brings a whole new meaning to "lump in the throat" :)
>
> 73 de G3NYY
> [with apologies to Jock]
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

32. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-28 23:03:33 UTC
From: Starr <Starr@proxima.net>
Message-ID: <d00807$g41$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

<lucifer@eternal-flames.gov> wrote in message
news:9ga421lv4vflu17b4nvdteonjv25g0fjv8@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Feb 2005 20:08:08 +0000, Walt Davidson
> <g3nyy@despammed.com>, Put down his pen after playing 'Spot the Ball'
> fastened his trousers and wrote:
>
> >Which reminds me ... I happened to see a game of "Aussie Rules"
> >football on TV last night. Either these guys in their little
> >micro-shorts have (a) no balls, or (b) VERY tight jock-straps!
>
> I think (a) is a requirement for Aussie Rules players... Possibly for
> Aussies in general.
>
> Nick.

There are rules? I thought it was a free for all. It makes me wonder if they
throw the ball on just to make it look like a ball game in the sporting
sense and not of mindless violence. Compared to Australian football the
roman gladiators were tame.

33. Re: Jock. is now shit listed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-02-28 23:04:58 UTC
From: Starr <Starr@proxima.net>
Message-ID: <d0082sgbh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 330
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,uk.radio.amateur

"Mike Harding" <mike_harding@nixspam.fastmail.fm> wrote in message
The Mike Harding? Go on. Give us a ditty.

34. Re: Amplifer Problem / Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-01 00:22:25 UTC
From: trout <trout@nycap.rr.com>
Message-ID: <add7215t539g06cukhbe9vl1fiibkbl892@4ax.com>
Source record: 331

>
>"trout" <trout@nycap.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:fd9621hjcpkqgh7e0fflhmkl7j2i9vkk1q@4ax.com...
>>
>>
>> A friend has a Knight T175 amplifier and as soon
>> as he turns it on, it keys up and will not sit in
>> standby mode with or without a radio attached.
>
>Ten-Four good buddy!

I'm not a cb'er.
kc2hcf

35. recording of the iss school contact monday 28th feb 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-01 07:29:35 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <422619bf.2261843@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 332

recording of the iss school contact monday 28th feb 2005

Hello, All.

This post is on Russian_VoIP and EchoLink Main forums. The ISS feed
audio WA file you can download from the web address
http://www.echolink.ru/downloads/WH6PM_ISSContact_20050228_1920.wav
The File is over 10MB

--
Anthony Laukhin (RV3DHC)
EchoLink & IRLP , Russia
http://www.EchoLink.Ru

73 Ian G3ZHI

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - many ham radio links

http://www.ukirlp.co.uk

G4NJI IRLP 5200 Echolink 135909
Rotherham simplex 145.2875mhz

GB3XN IRLP 5708 Echolink 153126
Langold 430.925 Mhz

Mobile 0783 338 0578

36. VE5JL.com is back up and running - With Forums and Flight Simulator too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-01 14:04:43 UTC
From: ME <ME@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <%D_Ud.537513$6l.68859@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Check out this Canadian Radio related website. VE5JL.com is back up and with a new look. Also there are forums for Scanning, Shortwave Radio, Ham radio and CB radio too.

http://www.ve5jl.com

37. Remote Radio Link

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-02 20:15:02 UTC
From: TF <Tf@TF.com>
Message-ID: <a9pVd.2457$3t3.1147@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 334

I am trying to eliminate a telco connection from a repeater voter site by using VOIP. I have good network connectivity.

I would like to take two computer and set them up dedicated with linux (most likely Fedora - command line only).

Have line input on computer 1 --> speaker output on computer 2

Very simple application. I need it to boot and auto connect, and reconnect if it looses connection for unmanned operation.

Anyone know of such as app?

73s....

38. Remote Radio Link

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-02 20:20:57 UTC
From: TF <Tf@TF.com>
Message-ID: <JepVd.2458$3t3.1242@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 335

I am trying to eliminate a telco connection from a repeater voter site by using VOIP. I have good network connectivity.

I would like to take two computer and set them up dedicated with linux (most likely Fedora - command line only).

Have line input on computer 1 --> speaker output on computer 2

Very simple application. I need it to boot and auto connect, and reconnect if it looses connection for unmanned operation.

Anyone know of such as app?

73s....

39. FA: LAFAYETTE HA-460, 6 METER RADIO **NICE**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-03 03:07:06 UTC
From: Sky King <SkyKing310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <0hvVd.6717$DF.1308@fe11.lga>
Source record: 336

Take a look if you like...

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5755512325

73

40. PRO 96 on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-03 05:31:31 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <jetpilotmike@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qrCdnbnSWeavPLvfRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 337
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.digital,alt.radio.scanner,phl.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

If anybody is interested I am auctioning my PRO 96 on ebay. Link here:
http://tinyurl.com/6t295

41. What is the range of a VX-150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-03 09:49:29 UTC
From: David Hayward <dh@didge.com>
Message-ID: <2cnd21tld967n35frqnu0up1v901phnhin@4ax.com>
Source record: 338

Will it cover 20 miles??

Cheers

42. Re: What is the range of a VX-150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-03 10:38:20 UTC
From: "Gary S." <Idontwantspam@net>
Message-ID: <0qpd2159eb6lqdfudaujj0qrmf1nm4mbnb@4ax.com>
Source record: 339

On Thu, 03 Mar 2005 09:49:29 +0000, David Hayward <dh@didge.com>
wrote:

>Will it cover 20 miles??
>
>Cheers

Depends on where you are standing, and where the other radio is
located, and what is in between..

2 meter is more-or-less line of sight, and 5 watts will get out a
ways.

At a regular elevation, I can hit repeaters 10-20 miles away. Simplex
I can usually get several miles, but this area has many hills, so it
is less predictable.

From a 3200 foot summit, I have worked simplex 40 plus miles away, and
repeaters 60 plus miles away, with a clear signal.

Note that I have replaced the stock antenna with a 1/4 wave one.

Happy trails,
Gary (net.yogi.bear)
--
At the 51st percentile of ursine intelligence

Gary D. Schwartz, Needham, MA, USA
Please reply to: garyDOTschwartzATpoboxDOTcom

43. Re: What is the range of a VX-150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-04 01:07:43 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <rYOdncdqy519KbrfRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 340

when you say 2 meter

don't you really mean

2 meterS ??????????????

Where on earth did "2 meter" come from ???

IF it is more than one meter, then it is meterS

Examples:

1 meter

2 meters

3 meters

etc etc etc

Good Luck !!

44. Re: What is the range of a VX-150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-04 04:50:47 UTC
From: John Burke <w7bo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <BE4D2927.1995%w7bo@adelphia.net>
Source record: 341

About cb's

On 3/3/05 1:49 AM, in article 2cnd21tld967n35frqnu0up1v901phnhin@4ax.com,
"David Hayward" <dh@didge.com> wrote:

> Will it cover 20 miles??
>
> Cheers

45. Re: What is the range of a VX-150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-04 20:37:59 UTC
From: "Gary S." <Idontwantspam@net>
Message-ID: <gihh211mv7vrt4lr7o2bb0uq3valihufht@4ax.com>
Source record: 342

On Thu, 3 Mar 2005 20:07:43 -0500, "James" <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
wrote:

>when you say 2 meter
>
>don't you really mean
>
>2 meterS ?????????????
>
>Where on earth did "2 meter" come from ???
>
>IF it is more than one meter, then it is meterS
>
>Examples:
>
Many hams use the same thing to describe that band.

Do you use your radio on the 2 meter band, or on the 2 meterS band?

I am sorry to confuse you so much by using a term so hard for you to
understand.

Happy trails,
Gary (net.yogi.bear)
--
At the 51st percentile of ursine intelligence

Gary D. Schwartz, Needham, MA, USA
Please reply to: garyDOTschwartzATpoboxDOTcom

46. Re: What is the range of a VX-150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-05 14:33:29 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <o9ednfL-LsOmXrTfRVn-rg@comcast.com>
Source record: 343

That is cb lingo. "If I put my radio on 2 meter, it gets hot."

CB lingo, pure and simple.....

47. Re: What is the range of a VX-150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-05 14:47:07 UTC
From: "Gary S." <Idontwantspam@net>
Message-ID: <l9hj219rc822gmo18406ofoimpr3fo9fko@4ax.com>
Source record: 344

On Sat, 5 Mar 2005 09:33:29 -0500, "James" <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
wrote:

>That is cb lingo. "If I put my radio on 2 meter, it gets hot."
>
>CB lingo, pure and simple.....
>
I wouldn't know. Not ever active with CB, although I do have one in my
random emergency radios.

It is the term that I hear hundreds of hams using 2 meter gear use.
May not be grammatically correct, but is common usage.

One could also think of it as an adjective form rather than a noun
form.

But I wouldn't want to interfere with your campaign against everyone
who is not exactly like you.

I did note that your additions to this thread had exactly zero to do
with the original question.

Happy trails,
Gary (net.yogi.bear)
--
At the 51st percentile of ursine intelligence

Gary D. Schwartz, Needham, MA, USA
Please reply to: garyDOTschwartzATpoboxDOTcom

48. FA: CUSTOM HEATH WALL CLOCK w/YOUR CALL>Cheep:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-05 15:15:59 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <CfkWd.194$gy3.168@fe10.lga>
Source record: 345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Much more stuff then the title suggests.
Something for all, cheep prices too!
Some items are hard to find but all are useful, NO JUNK:-)
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

49. FA: MOTOROLA CONVERTA-COM MOBILE CONSOLE F/ STX PORTABLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-05 15:39:00 UTC
From: me <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <hkkj21hgbnu9n0g7ua48eo1ha886evp00e@4ax.com>
Source record: 346

FA: MOTOROLA CONVERTA-COM MOBILE CONSOLE F/ STX PORTABLE
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5755790682

50. FA: MOTOROLA GTX/LTS ACCESSORY PLUG CLAMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-05 15:39:34 UTC
From: me <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <klkj21to1m8icjqkj1ke7dgu6ep0dgm0ov@4ax.com>
Source record: 347

FA: MOTOROLA GTX/LTS ACCESSORY PLUG CLAMP
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5755812874

51. INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION LIVE ON ECHOLINK MARCH 8th TUES 1410GMT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-06 14:38:06 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <423015a8.1071496@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 348

INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION LIVE ON ECHOLINK MARCH 8th TUES 1410GMT

The next International Space Station's Expedition 10 ARISS school contact will be with students at Rains High School in Emory, Texas on Tuesday, 8 March 2005. The event is scheduled to begin at approximately 14:10 GMT.

This contact will be a telebridge between stations NA1SS and WH6PN in Honolulu, Hawai'i, so it should be audible to anyone in the areas of the Pacific near the islands listening in on the 145.80 MHz downlink. The participants will conduct the conversation in English.

"Rains High School, a county school located in one of the smallest counties in Texas, is comprised of mostly low-income students who often do not get the opportunity to participate in enriching programs. The opportunity to talk with the astronauts on the International Space Station will expose our students to a world that exists beyond the boundaries of Rains County. The questions asked of the astronauts and the ideas generated by the answers will be used as jumping off points for further discussion and research."

Audio should be available for this contact Via EchoLink in the following conference rooms:
AMSAT node 101377
EDU_NET node 77992
See further notes below
Via IRLP Reflector REF9307 starting at 14:00 UTC
REGISTRATION REQUIRED (see below)
Via the internet:
URL: https://e-meetings.mci.com/
CONFERENCE NUMBER: 6037948
PASSCODE: SPACE STATIO

Students will ask as many of the following questions as time allows:

1. How would a baby's development in the womb differ if the mother were in space?
2. What do you think will be the greatest technological advancement that will come out of the research you all are doing now?
3. How do you monitor your radiation exposure?
4. How did you adjust to Newton's third law of motion while in space?

5. Since you have been in space so long, have you had the
opportunity to notice changes that have occurred on the surface
of the earth from your perspective?
6. You have learned a lot of science, but what was the one thing
you did or experiment you performed, while in space,
that you remembered reading or studying about in school, that made
you say, "Wow, it really does work that way"?
7. Do you think people will be able to spend the rest of their
lives living on the space station?
8. Could a sphere large enough to let a small fish swim in it be
formed in near gravity and could a fish actually swim in
it?
9. What is the material used on the space station and how safe is
it from space debris?
10. Are there any lubricants on the space station and if there are,
how are they affected by weightlessness?
11. Have there been any new discoveries by researchers in space
concerning the Texas state molecule, The Bucky Ball, C-60?
12. Are you allowed to carry person items and if so, is there a rule
on how much you can take?
13. Do you ever get to review the final results of the experiments
you help to conduct on the ISS?
14. What does it feel like when the shuttle takes off?
Does it hurt?
15. If you were to push a hot wheels car on a circular loop in space
in the space station, would it go forever and maintain
the same speed?

Please note, the amateur equipment on the ISS will be turned off
prior to the beginning of the contact. It will be returned
to service as quickly as possible.

ARISS is an international educational outreach program partnering
the participating space agencies, NASA, Russian Space
Agency, ESA, CNES, JAXA, and CSA, with the AMSAT and IARU
organisations from participating countries. ARISS offers an
opportunity for students to experience the excitement of Amateur
Radio by talking directly with crewmembers on-board the
International Space Station.
Teachers, parents and communities see, first hand, how Amateur Radio
and crewmembers on ISS can energize youngsters'
interest in science, technology, and learning.
Further information on the ARISS programme is available on the
website http://www.rac.ca/ariss (graciously hosted by the
Radio Amateurs of Canada). Information about the next scheduled ARISS
contact can be found at
http://www.rac.ca/ariss/upcoming.htm#NextContact.

IRLP Notes
Participation in this contact is limited to 20 connections
and requires pre-registration.

Please contact Wayne, VE1WPH, via e-mail at ve1wph@rac.ca
for pre-registration and connection instructions.

EchoLink Notes
The contact between the ISS and school lasts for about 15
minutes +/-. During this contact, we appreciate everyone's
patience and understanding. We must mute everyone except
Dieter, KX4Y to avoid inadvertent, interfering
transmissions into the conference room. Thanks for your
understanding and co-operation.

Thank you & 73,
Scott H. Stevens / N3ASA
ARISS Team Member

52. Free Sports/XXX TV Digital Channels...learn How! CN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-07 05:43:35 UTC
From: "Mr. Amazing!!!!!!!!" <40JD@nY2h.com>
Message-ID: <ef00e$422bea07$83d8a3c5$4945@allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 349

New XP2500A
The World's #1 Digital Satellite Television
Receiver Descrambler is Now Available to you!!!!!

Order Yours at: http://www.fta9000.3322.org

No Monthly Subscription Charges of ANY Sort!
No Service Contracts of ANY Sort!
No Telephone Connections of ANY Sort!!!!
Does NOT use ANY Sort of Satellite Access Card
The World's Number One "Cardless" Satellite Receiver!!!!

A NEW Product for the NEW Year of 2005
Our New XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver Descrambler allows you to view at your convenience the following with the USA, Canada, Cuba, Bahamas, Jamaica, Virgin Islands and Mexico:

View Over 600+ Digital Television Stations FREE! (Everything!)
View Over 200+ Digital Commercial Free Music Stations FREE !(all categories)
View Over 40 Pay-Per-View Movies Channels FREE!
View 8 HBO's/5 Cinemax's/10 Showtime's/8 Starz, etc. FREE!
View Seven Adult Channels FREE FREE FREE 24 Hours A Day (Non-Stop Action)!
Boxing/Football/Soccer/Baseball/Basketball ALL Sports Packages FREE (With No Black Outs)!
Receive Home-Town Local Broadcast Television Stations from 4 Time Zones
Use this New Television Descrambler at Home/Office/Truck/RV etc.
Works In the USA and Canada plus ALL of North and South America and Territories!
Works on: Nagravision, Seca, Viaccess #1 and #2, Irdeto One and Betacrypt Digital Satellite Signals!!!!

NOTE TO AUSTRAILIANS: We get many request about the Australia's "Foxtel Channel". Our New XP2500A Receiver works nearly ANY where in the world - but it will NOT receive "Australia's FOXTEL Broadcast Channel" as of yet.....they use Irdeto #2 a Decoding System.....so wait patiently - our Descrambling Technicians are working HARD on this one, to brake the code.
International Customers.

Our NEW XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver - NOW UNSCRAMBLES THE FOLLOWING SIGNALS:
ABsat
ABS-CBN
Arabesque (ART)
Astro TV
BBC Prime
C+ NL
Canada's Bell ExpressVU
Canal + France
Canal 7 TV Madrid
Canal Pro TV

Canal Rural
Canal Rural
Canal Satellite
Canal Sky-net
Canal+ Digital
Canal+ Digital Aerial
Canal+ Vlanderen
CanalSat
CFI Peco Pro
Channel RAI TV
Cine+ Digital
Cohem sweden
C-SKY NET
CYFRA+
DigiFunClub
Digital+
Dish Network (USA)
Dream TV
EMI Special Channel
Eurosport
Euskaltel
FT Cable
Globe Cast MMBN
GlobeCast
GlobeCast Espana
GlobeCast France
HRT
MCM Europe
Mediaset
Mediavision
MiniMax
MMBN
MTV networks
Orbit Network
ORF Austria
Parabole reunion
Polsat
Premiere
SABC
Selector Tele
Senda/Boxer
SRG Swiss
SVT Europa
Taipei International
TBL TV
Televisa
TPS
TV Cabo
TV Slovenia
TVBS

ViaSat Europe
ViaSat Nordic

Okay.....So STOP PAYING THOSE MONTHLY SUBSCRIPTION COST FOR SUBSCRIPTION CABLE OR SATELLITE TELEVISION��
Get Our New XP2500A Digital Satellite Television Receiver Now - go to the URL below NOW:

Don't Delay Order Yours at: http://www.fta9000.3322.org

kyMWljrpZNxgr82fUoik5i9I8lbK5wG6qpLkRdPQ2BwV5SA5o48osM6qOJy739Jtd

53. Ham Radio Forums

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-07 19:36:08 UTC
From: Eric <k4sci@hamradioforums.com>
Message-ID: <1110224168.876606.293730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 350

Come and visit Ham Radio Forums at http://www.hamradioforums.com -
Registration only takes a minute, and the site has many features for
registered users.

Ham Radio Forums has a Photo Classifieds section where you can buy,
sell, and auction your gear with up to 3 pictures per listing! There
is a Photo Galleries department where every user gets 10 megs of photo
storage, Ham related or not! The extensive Forums section allows you
to read and post messages about anything Ham related. Registered users
have full access to the chat rooms, fully integrated into the site.
And there is an Articles section, where users can publish articles Ham
related.

And it's all FREE! So come check it out at
http://www.hamradioforums.com

Thank You,

k4sci

54. Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 01:14:22 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <efadnUl6bOfzArLfRVn-tg@comcast.com>
Source record: 151
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

I live about 8 miles from 4 local tv stations, and 25 miles from a much desired UHF station. Luckily, they are all in the same general direction.

I plan to buy a medium size vhf/uhf antenna. My coax run will be 50 feet.

Although I don't really need "gain" for the vhf stations, I do need it for the uhf station. "Some" extra gain will be ok for the vhf stations. Whatever the setup, I want to use the lowest-loss RG6 that I can buy.

Here are my questions:

1. Where (online or else) can I find the very best, lowest loss RG6 coax ?

2. Assuming that I need a pre-amp, if I only have a 50 ft run of low-loss coax, will my system work well if I mount the preamp at the tv end of the 50 ft coax, as compared to putting it at the antenna? I realize the advantage of mounting at the antenna, yet I would like the convenience of having the pre-amp inside. I am considering an adjustable pre-amp, and that would be more usuable if I had it at the tv instead of at the antenna.

3. Will a brick chimney (with no steel liner or inserts) about 20 feet from the antenna, but in-line with the desired stations, attenuate the signals ??

4. Are the Radio Shack pre-amps decent ??

My thoughts: Perhaps I will get a pre-amp that will produce about 20 db of gain. I will mount it inside. The 50 ft of coax will have a loss of perhaps 5 db, so I have a net of 15 db gain at the tv. This will be more than enough for the vhf stations that are pretty close, but I am not sure about the uhf station 25 miles away. It would seem to be good enough for that as well.

What do you think ?? Thanks for any advice !!

--james--

55. Is this the place to get help building FM Tx?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 01:25:06 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <SINXd.623003$Xk.197293@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 152

Is this newsgroup the place to get technical support for building pirate FM transmitters from schematics found on the web?

56. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 03:24:54 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <a6PXd.47$845.41@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 153
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

"James" <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com> wrote in message
news:efadnUl6bOfzArLfRVn-tg@comcast.com...
>
>
> I live about 8 miles from 4 local tv stations, and 25 miles from a much
> desired UHF station. Luckily, they are all in the same general
direction.
>
> I plan to buy a medium size vhf/uhf antenna. My coax run will be 50
feet.
>
> Although I don't really need "gain" for the vhf stations, I do need it
for
> the uhf station. "Some" extra gain will be ok for the vhf stations.
> Whatever the setup, I want to use the lowest-loss RG6 that I can buy.
>
> Here are my questions:
>
> 1. Where (online or else) can I find the very best, lowest loss RG6 coax
?
>
> 2. Assuming that I need a pre-amp, if I only have a 50 ft run of low-loss
> coax, will my system work well if I mount the preamp at the tv end of the
> 50 ft coax, as compared to putting it at the antenna? I realize the
> advantage of mounting at the antenna, yet I would like the convenience of
> having the pre-amp inside. I am considering an adjustable pre-amp, and
that
> would be more usuable if I had it at the tv instead of at the antenna.
>
> 3. Will a brick chimney (with no steel liner or inserts) about 20 feet
from
> the antenna, but in-line with the desired stations, attenuate the
signals
> ??
>
> 4. Are the Radio Shack pre-amps decent ??
>
> ------------------------------
>
> My thoughts: Perhaps I will get a pre-amp that will produce about 20
db
> of gain. I will mount it inside. The 50 ft of coax will have a loss of
> perhaps 5 db, so I have a net of 15 db gain at the tv. This will be

more
> than enough for the vhf stations that are pretty close, but I am not sure
> about the uhf station 25 miles away. It would seem to be good enough for
> that as well.
>
> What do you think ?? Thanks for any advice !!

1_ there is very little differance in the loss of the coax if name brands are used. Get some that has a braid and foil shield. Forget about all the stuff that is quad shielded and other things unless you get it at a good price. Look for Belden if you can find it .

2- with the station only 25 miles away and only 50 feet of coax you should not need a preamp. With the VHF stations that close you might even get too much signal and the stations will overlaod the preamp and the reception goes all to pot. (simple explination)

3- probably not so much that you could tell it.

4= almost nothing from Radio Shack is worth it.

57. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 03:29:24 UTC
From: Alan Sung <al@sung.com>
Message-ID: <IuWdndUxUqprI7LfRVn-3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 154
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

"James" <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com> wrote in message
news:efadnUl6bOfzArLfRVn-tg@comcast.com...
>
>
> I live about 8 miles from 4 local tv stations, and 25 miles from a much
> desired UHF station. Luckily, they are all in the same general
direction.
>
> I plan to buy a medium size vhf/uhf antenna. My coax run will be 50
feet.
>
> Although I don't really need "gain" for the vhf stations, I do need it
for
> the uhf station. "Some" extra gain will be ok for the vhf stations.
> Whatever the setup, I want to use the lowest-loss RG6 that I can buy.
>
> Here are my questions:
>
> 1. Where (online or else) can I find the very best, lowest loss RG6 coax
?

You want quad shielded. They're all pretty much the same. Any electric
supply house would have it. You didn't say where you are. A thousand foot
spool costs $110 at http://www.smarthome.com/8527.html

> 2. Assuming that I need a pre-amp, if I only have a 50 ft run of low-loss
> coax, will my system work well if I mount the preamp at the tv end of the
> 50 ft coax, as compared to putting it at the antenna? I realize the
> advantage of mounting at the antenna, yet I would like the convenience of
> having the pre-amp inside. I am considering an adjustable pre-amp, and
that
> would be more usuable if I had it at the tv instead of at the antenna.

No real need for a pre-amp if you are 8 to 25 miles away. I would just get
the next size up antenna (or one with just a better UHF element) if you are
worried about a weak signal.

-al sung
Rapid Realm Technology, Inc.
Hopkinton, MA

58. Re: Is this the place to get help building FM Tx?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 12:32:23 UTC
From: MrCPU <rjstewart@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110457943.110059.114600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 155

Umm. Not really. While you will find people with sufficient technical experience, the vast majority of readers are licenced amateur radio operators and would definately look down on any sort of pirate activity.

That said, I have met people (usually taxi drivers) who are interested in building or putting up a radio station back in their home country of Somalia where there is no government, no rules and pirate radio is actually a very important and useful part of their society. In Somalia, according to one individual I spoke to, one time a group of militants were coming into town with plans of genocide. The local "private" radio station was a valuable tool to warn people about the pending attack and it probably saved lives.

Rob

59. Re: Ham Radio Forums

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 12:49:54 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <SnXXd.3951$yp.839@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 161

I checked out hamradioforums.com and was impressed. Drop by there and say
hello sometime!

Charles Brabham, N5PVL

Director: USPacket http://www.uspacket.org
Admin: HamBlog.Com http://www.hamblog.com
Weblog: http://www.hamblog.com/blog_n5pvl.php

"Eric" <k4sci@hamradioforums.com> wrote in message
news:1110224168.876606.293730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Come and visit Ham Radio Forums at http://www.hamradioforums.com -
> Registration only takes a minute, and the site has many features for
> registered users.
>
> And it's all FREE! So come check it out at
> http://www.hamradioforums.com
>
> Thank You,
>
> k4sci
>

60. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 13:19:07 UTC
From: "William W. Plummer" <William.Plummer@alum.mit.edu>
Message-ID: <zeKdnQDWx-um1K3fRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 156
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

James wrote:

> I live about 8 miles from 4 local tv stations, and 25 miles from a much
> desired UHF station. Luckily, they are all in the same general direction.
>
> I plan to buy a medium size vhf/uhf antenna. My coax run will be 50 feet.
>
> Although I don't really need "gain" for the vhf stations, I do need it for
> the uhf station. "Some" extra gain will be ok for the vhf stations.
> Whatever the setup, I want to use the lowest-loss RG6 that I can buy.
>
> Here are my questions:
>
> 1. Where (online or else) can I find the very best, lowest loss RG6 coax ?
>
> 2. Assuming that I need a pre-amp, if I only have a 50 ft run of low-loss
> coax, will my system work well if I mount the preamp at the tv end of the
> 50 ft coax, as compared to putting it at the antenna? I realize the
> advantage of mounting at the antenna, yet I would like the convenience of
> having the pre-amp inside. I am considering an adjustable pre-amp, and that
> would be more usuable if I had it at the tv instead of at the antenna.
>
> 3. Will a brick chimney (with no steel liner or inserts) about 20 feet from
> the antenna, but in-line with the desired stations, attenuate the signals
> ??
>
> 4. Are the Radio Shack pre-amps decent ??
>
> ------------------------------
>
> My thoughts: Perhaps I will get a pre-amp that will produce about 20 db
> of gain. I will mount it inside. The 50 ft of coax will have a loss of
> perhaps 5 db, so I have a net of 15 db gain at the tv. This will be more
> than enough for the vhf stations that are pretty close, but I am not sure
> about the uhf station 25 miles away. It would seem to be good enough for
> that as well.

Use RG-6/U. It definitely has lower loss than the cheap stuff with the
foil shield. And don't use the twist-on connectors. Get good
connectors and a crucher to install them. Most problems are caused by
poorly installed connectors.

Amplifiers introduce noise and decrease signal-to-noise ration. Don't
use an amplifier if you don't need it. If you do need one, avoid the

Radio Shack models. I tried one and it caused lots of problems.

61. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 13:23:29 UTC
From: Travis Jordan <no.one@no.net>
Message-ID: <ITXXd.839294$Zm5.120050@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 159
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

James wrote:
> I live about 8 miles from 4 local tv stations, and 25 miles from a
> much desired UHF station. Luckily, they are all in the same general
> direction.
>
> I plan to buy a medium size vhf/uhf antenna. My coax run will be
> 50 feet.

Use two separate antennas, one for the local stations and one (yagi or corner reflector with gain) cut for the 25 mile distant station. Join them together with a coupler at the antenna. No preamp should be needed.

> 1. Where (online or else) can I find the very best, lowest loss RG6
> coax ?

Any name brand double-shielded coax (Belden) will be fine.

> 2. Assuming that I need a pre-amp, if I only have a 50 ft run of
> low-loss coax, will my system work well if I mount the preamp at the
> tv end of the 50 ft coax, as compared to putting it at the antenna?

Don't use a preamp unless you install it at the antenna. Preamps amplify noise as well as signal. One exception is where you are using a preamp for distribuiton purposes -- assuming that you have a good signal at the house end of the coax you can add a preamp to boost the signal prior to distribution via a multi-set splitter. But if you have a good antenna you won't need a preamp for a single set installation.

> 3. Will a brick chimney (with no steel liner or inserts) about 20
> feet from the antenna, but in-line with the desired stations,
> attenuate the signals ?

It should not be a problem for stations 8 miles away, but for the 25 mile distant UHF station it could be. If you use the two antenna configuration will the UHF antenna be pointed far enough away from the chimney to miss it? If not, consider mounting the entire antenna assembly on the chimney with a chimney mount.

> 4. Are the Radio Shack pre-amps decent ??

I'm not a fan of RS products, but their distribution amplifiers work OK.

62. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 14:21:03 UTC
From: "William W. Plummer" <William.Plummer@alum.mit.edu>
Message-ID: <w4ednZLdrfEgyq3fRVn-qw@comcast.com>
Source record: 158
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

Travis Jordan wrote:

> Don't use a preamp unless you install it at the antenna. Preamps
> amplify noise as well as signal.
<...>
Right advice, wrong reason. If the noise and signal are both
amplified, the signal-to-noise ratio will be unchanged. The problem is
amplifiers add noise, lowering the SNR.

63. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 14:43:20 UTC
From: Travis Jordan <no.one@no.net>
Message-ID: <c2ZXd.4654501$f47.836306@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 157
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

William W. Plummer wrote:
> Right advice, wrong reason. If the noise and signal are both
> amplified, the signal-to-noise ratio will be unchanged. The problem
> is amplifiers add noise, lowering the SNR.

Well, yes, of course. I just thought a discussion of the merits of
low-noise amplifiers might be beyond the scope of comprehension for the
OP.

64. Canadian Radio Forum is now up and running. Check it out.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 15:06:36 UTC
From: ME <ME@SpamHater.com>
Message-ID: <0oZXd.631397$8l.314370@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 162
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.amateur,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.ve5jl.com/message/

73's

VE5JL

65. FA: Last Call for Vintage tubes, Tonight & only $9.99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 16:48:14 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <VZ_Xd.21753$5r4.2738@fe11.lga>
Source record: 160
Cross-posted to: rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=6516390474&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=6516390502&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=6516390419&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

66. newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 20:21:13 UTC
From: razorbladeshoes@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1110486073.267964.53290@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

hello, i'm relatively new to ham radio and had a few technical
questions i was hoping to throw out...anyone that can answer these
would be very helpful!

1. i've seen transmitter schematics that were simple, and others that
were complex. as a general rule of thumb, are the more complex ones
trying to compensate for frequency drift, or maybe eliminate higher
harmonics? how efficient and/or stable are the simple transmitter
schematics?

2. other than frequency range, what characteristics are you concerned
about when trying to match a transmitter to an amp?

3. without an amp, couldn't you still run the signal to an antenna and
it would be a weak transmitter? all the amp does is increase the
voltage and current supplied to the antenna, correct?

4. for an FM transmitter, does the modulation occur to oscillator
directly, or is the oscillator's signal modulated after "leaving" the
oscillator? i guess what i'm asking is whether or not there is an input
to the oscillator, or is it just an "output only" frequency generator?

thanks!
jason

67. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 20:49:53 UTC
From: xpyttl <xpyttl_NOSPAM@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <nr2Yd.21161$YO5.4539@fe04.lga>
Source record: 164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Hi Jason

Nice questions, let me try to answer a few ..

<razorbladeshoes@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1110486073.267964.53290@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...

> 1. i've seen transmitter schematics that were simple, and others that
> were complex. as a general rule of thumb, are the more complex ones
> trying to compensate for frequency drift, or maybe eliminate higher
> harmonics? how efficient and/or stable are the simple transmitter
> schematics?

One obvious thing is that CW transmitters tend to be simple, SSB transmitters complex. But there are a thousand design variables. One big one is the complexity of the ICs employed. Today you can have a very stable VFO with just a few parts. You tend to pay a little bit of a price in phase noise, but frequency drift is not an issue. With an analog VFO, you can add a lot of complexity trying to get around frequency drift, but phase noise is never an issue. Years ago, all you had was analog. A few years ago, DDS (direct digital synthesis) was complex and expensive. Today, analog VFOs tend on the expensive side! It is similar with amplifiers. In many radios, all, or most, of the PA is in a single brick, instead of a fistfull of parts. Ditto with almost everything up and down the chain.

Frequency is also an issue and again that is changing with technology. A few years ago, it was hard to get directly to VHF. You typically had several oscillators getting mixed up, frequency multiplied, etc. This was especially true if you had an analog VFO because it is very hard to get stability at VHF, and multiplying the frequency also multiplies the drift in an analog VFO. There are still reasons you might want to do some mixing up to get to VHF with a DDS VFO, but DDS parts up into the gigahertz range are now cheap parts.

It was only a few years ago that a DDS VFO cost hundreds of dollars. Today you can buy a chip with a VHF synthesizer and amplifier and modulator for less than the tuning capacitor in an analog VFO.

> 2. other than frequency range, what characteristics are you concerned
> about when trying to match a transmitter to an amp?

If you are buying commercial, you are looking at price, of course, and expected reliability, along with power consumption. For SSB, you need the amplifier to be linear, which implies lower efficiency. For FM/CW you don't need linear, so the amp can be a lot more efficient. If you are designing the amp, then you are worrying about impedance mathcing, as well.

> 3. without an amp, couldn't you still run the signal to an antenna and
> it would be a weak transmitter? all the amp does is increase the
> voltage and current supplied to the antenna, correct?

Yes of course. There are times when you want a lot of power, but most of the time it really isn't necessary. Also, it is a lot easier to get antenna gain than power to the antenna, especially at higher frequencies. The need for power depends a lot on what you do, what frequencies you operate, and to a degree, what "floats your boat". If you are doing EME or HSMS, you need a fair bit of power - hundreds of watts, anyway. If you are chatting on the local repeater, typically a watt is as good as a kilowatt. Lots of folks called QRPers like to use very low power. For them the "legal limit" is five watts, but many try to see what they can do with milliwatts. The current miles/watt record is held by a guy who operated 40 microwatts over a 500+ mile path.

> 4. for an FM transmitter, does the modulation occur to oscillator
> directly, or is the oscillator's signal modulated after "leaving" the
> oscillator? i guess what i'm asking is whether or not there is an input
> to the oscillator, or is it just an "output only" frequency generator?

Typically you would modulate the oscillator, although these days, the audio may well be simply data to the synthesizer. However, because FM is typically done at VHF and higher, there may well be additional oscillators mixed with the modulated signal to get up into the VHF/UHF range.

Hope this helps

..

68. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-10 23:36:08 UTC
From: "dave.harper" <dave.harper@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110497768.182023.13910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

```
xpyttl wrote:
> Hi Jason
>
> Nice questions, let me try to answer a few ..
>
> <razorbladeshoes@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1110486073.267964.53290@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> > 1. i've seen transmitter schematics that were simple, and others
that
> > were complex. as a general rule of thumb, are the more complex ones
> > trying to compensate for frequency drift, or maybe eliminate higher
> > harmonics? how efficient and/or stable are the simple transmitter
> > schematics?
>
> One obvious thing is that CW transmitters tend to be simple, SSB
> transmitters complex. But there are a thousand design variables.
One big
> one is the complexity of the ICs employed. Today you can have a very
stable
> VFO with just a few parts. You tend to pay a little bit of a price
in phase
> noise, but frequency drift is not an issue. With an analog VFO, you
can add
> a lot of complexity trying to get around frequency drift, but phase
noise is
> never an issue. Years ago, all you had was analog. A few years ago,
DDS
> (direct digital synthesis) was complex and expensive. Today, analog
VFOs
> tend on the expensive side! It is similar with amplifiers. In many
radios,
> all, or most, of the PA is in a single brick, instead of a fistfull
of
> parts. Ditto with almost everything up and down the chain.
>
> Frequency is also an issue and again that is changing with
technology. A
> few years ago, it was hard to get directly to VHF. You typically had
> several oscillators getting mixed up, frequency multiplied, etc.
This was
> especially true if you had an analog VFO because it is very hard to
get
```

> stability at VHF, and multiplying the frequency also multiplies the
drift in
> an analog VFO. There are still reasons you might want to do some
mixing up
> to get to VHF with a DDS VFO, but DDS parts up into the gigahertz
range are
> now cheap parts.
>
> It was only a few years ago that a DDS VFO cost hundreds of dollars.
Today
> you can buy a chip with a VHF synthesizer and amplifier and modulator
for

Good info to know. I was kinda looking around to make a (mostly) IC transmitter like that... (anything 70cm and under). Are you aware of any chip PN's or schematics I could dive into to learn?

Thanks,
Dave

69. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-11 00:24:56 UTC
From: xpyttl <xpyttl_NOSPAM@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <wz5Yd.25$ft6.14@fe03.lga>
Source record: 166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"dave.harper" <dave.harper@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1110497768.182023.13910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> Good info to know. I was kinda looking around to make a (mostly) IC
> transmitter like that... (anything 70cm and under). Are you aware of
> any chip PN's or schematics I could dive into to learn?

Analog Devices' parts are favored by hams. The greatest number of projects out there use the AD9850, but that part is a little older, and does not provide modulation input. Also, it is really only good up to about 30 MHz, you can push a bit past that but the power requirements explode and the noise goes up. Without any component changes it will go down to 1 Hz. Newer AD98xx parts are starting to get more attention, and some of those are useful up into the low 100's of MHz. The 99xx parts have more features yet.

TI has a TRF4400 which is a 440 MHz synthesizer with modulation and an amp (not much of an amp, tho!), and the almost identical 4900 for 900 MHz. Some folks have used these down to 6 meters. The TI parts have greater channel spacing than the AD parts, but that also reduces the frequency of the crystal or oscillator, which has a big effect on the power consumption. TI also has a 2050/1/2 which are synthesizers (I don't recall if they have modulation) up into the gigahertz range. I've been toying with using one of the TI parts as a VFO for an HF rig by using a prescaler to divide down the frequency (and consequsntly the spacing).

The AD parts are pricey, but AD is very good about samples. The TI parts are all in the five buck neighborhood. There are a zillion projects/kits out there based on the AD parts.

If you've ever built an analog VFO, these DDS parts are like black magic. They take little in the way of support circuitry, no fretting about layout, no spending weeks trying to work out the temperature compensation, no moving around because you breathed on it, you just dial in the frequency you want and you are spot on.

..

70. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-11 00:50:12 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <9YudnVBInMXYdq3fRVn-3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 167
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

I do thank all persons for the helpful replies !! I am busily making notes
!!

Thanks again !!

--James--

71. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-11 03:50:06 UTC
From: Mark <makolber@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1110513006.411811.122230@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 168
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

use a remote line powered preamp near the antenna. Put it in the attic
if you want to be able to serivce it. You can use RG6 or even low
loss RG59 is you use a preamp near the antenna.

since yo uneed it for UHF only, try to find one that has only a little
gain at VHF.

The key to a good signal to noise is to have a minimum loss BEFORE the
fist amplifier. Putting the amplifier after the coax is NOT as good as
putting the amplifer before the coax.

But a few feet won't hurt so mounting it in the attic where you can get
to it easilly is a good compromise.

Mark

72. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-11 07:15:37 UTC
From: not_real@xxx.yyy
Message-ID: <4231444f.11474046@news.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 169
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

On 10 Mar 2005 19:50:06 -0800, "Mark" <makolber@yahoo.com> wrote:

>use a remote line powered preamp near the antenna. Put it in the attic
>if you want to be able to serivce it. You can use RG6 or even low
>loss RG59 is you use a preamp near the antenna.
>
>since yo uneed it for UHF only, try to find one that has only a little
>gain at VHF.
>
>The key to a good signal to noise is to have a minimum loss BEFORE the
>fist amplifier. Putting the amplifier after the coax is NOT as good as
>putting the amplifer before the coax.
>
>But a few feet won't hurt so mounting it in the attic where you can get
>to it easilly is a good compromise.
>
>Mark
>

As a video pro, I recommend the higher quality RG-6/U with braided
shield.

Low quality RG-6 with a foil shield will both radiate and absorb
signals on similar channels (say... same channel cable and off-air
signals). If cable tv is not an issue, maybe the cheaper stuff will
work, but you can still have problems if, for example, there is a
channel 3 or 4 in your area and you use a VCR that re-radiates at that
channel.

I got tired of the ghosts, threw away all of the cheap RG6 with the
pre-molded connecters and put my own connectors on the best RG-6/U
that I could buy.

No more ghosts... and the best signals I've ever seen... These were
the results.

Beachcomber

73. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-11 13:49:13 UTC
From: razorbladeshoes@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1110548953.740433.8750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

hey, thanks for the info. that was very helpful! as you probably
expected, i would like to ask a couple of follow-ups on 2 of the
questions:

xpyttl wrote:

> > 2. other than frequency range, what characteristics are you
concerned
> > about when trying to match a transmitter to an amp?
>
> If you are buying commercial, you are looking at price, of course,
and
> expected reliability, along with power consumption. For SSB, you
need the
> amplifier to be linear, which implies lower efficiency. For FM/CW
you don't
> need linear, so the amp can be a lot more efficient. If you are
designing
> the amp, then you are worrying about impedance mathcing, as well.

i guess what i meant was more along these lines: you need to make sure
the peak-to-peak input voltage and current capabilities of the input is
matched with the amp, right? or does the amp have a lot of leeway in
those regards?

> > 4. for an FM transmitter, does the modulation occur to oscillator
> > directly, or is the oscillator's signal modulated after "leaving"
the
> > oscillator? i guess what i'm asking is whether or not there is an
input
> > to the oscillator, or is it just an "output only" frequency
generator?
>
> Typically you would modulate the oscillator, although these days, the
audio
> may well be simply data to the synthesizer. However, because FM is
> typically done at VHF and higher, there may well be additional
oscillators
> mixed with the modulated signal to get up into the VHF/UHF range.

just to make sure i have it straight, if i were to transmit a sine wave
at 146mhz, anyone listening in on 146 mhz wouldn't hear anything
(except maybe less noise than usual). if i were to vary the frequency
between 146.0001 and 145.9999 at a rate of 100hz, then anyone recieving
would hear a quiet 100hz tone. now if i were to vary the frequency

between 146.001 and 145.999 (holding all previous listeners constant), then anyone listening would hear a much louder tone...correct? or am i not understanding it yet? ;-)

thanks again for the help!
jason

74. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-11 14:45:42 UTC
From: xpyttl <xpyttl_NOSPAM@earthling.net>
Message-ID: <UbiYd.410$ES4.71@fe02.lga>
Source record: 171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

<razorbladeshoes@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1110548953.740433.8750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> i guess what i meant was more along these lines: you need to make sure
> the peak-to-peak input voltage and current capabilities of the input is
> matched with the amp, right? or does the amp have a lot of leeway in
> those regards?

Actually, no. Typically the amp doesn't have a lot of flexibility there,
but in general the exciter does.

In amateur practice, the impedance of almost anything that connects together
at RF is 50 ohms or converted to 50 ohms. Given that, the power level then
converts directly to voltage and current.

Typically, HF exciters have a lot of range in output power. The FCC
prohibits the sale of HF power amplifiers having a required drive of less
than 80 watts. Since most HF exciters have 100 watts out, the required
input power of HF amps is rarely specified, since it will almost always
match almost all exciters. I don't think other countries have the same
rules, but the FCC also requires that the amps cannot easily be modified to
accept lower drive, so it is expensive for manufacturers to make amps
differently for different markets, since that typically would require a
complete redesign.

On VHF, it's a little different. Most VHF exciters only have a few output
power settings. VHF amps generally specify the input power, and typically,
it will be a fairly wide range. But still, if you want, say, 200 watts at 2
meters, you would buy a different amp for a 5 watt HT than you would for a
50 watt mobile.

> just to make sure i have it straight, if i were to transmit a sine wave
> at 146mhz, anyone listening in on 146 mhz wouldn't hear anything
> (except maybe less noise than usual). if i were to vary the frequency
> between 146.0001 and 145.9999 at a rate of 100hz, then anyone recieving
> would hear a quiet 100hz tone. now if i were to vary the frequency
> between 146.001 and 145.999 (holding all previous listeners constant),
> then anyone listening would hear a much louder tone...correct? or am i
> not understanding it yet? ;-)

That is exactly right.

There is a weird behavior of FM receivers called the "capture effect". A
signal of sufficient amplitude at the input of an FM receiver cose to where
the receiver is tuned will totally quiet the receiver. In an AM, CW or SSB
signal, the amplitude is converted to audio almost directly. As a result,

noise on the input appears as noise on the output.

FM is different, though. In FM, we want to hear the frequency modulation. When there is no signal, we hear the detector randomly wandering around trying to interpret the noise as signal, but the amplitude of the noise really has no effect. Once a signal is detected that is strong enough for the detector to follow, the detector follows the signal and there is no more noise. To exaggerate this effect, most FM receivers amplify the signal so much that the amplifiers become saturated and amplitude variation in the input signal is clipped (well, softly clipped), so that the later stages of the receiver see the same amplitude. This is different than AM/SSB/CW where it is the amplitude changes you are looking for.

This is one of the reasons that FM signals have so much higher quality ... any noise is actually a result of noise in the receiver (or transmitter) rather than the atmosphere. With other modes, not only can you hear the atmospheric noise, but at lower frequencies, the atmospheric noise is many times higher than the noise inherent in the receiver.

The other reason is bandwidth. In amateur practice, FM signals are 5 kHz wide, compared to about 2.5 for SSB. In commercial practice, FM signals are wider still.

There is another "gotcha" in your description above. If you vary the carrier at, say, 1000 Hz, from say, 146.999 to 147.001, the actual bandwidth will be somewhat wider than you expect, and it will be dependent on the frequency of the modulation. I know this doesn't make sense, it has to do with some weird math. If you studied Fourier series back in school it was some abstract mathematical thing that had nothing to do with the real world. Well, guess what. Fourier has everything to do with radio! The result is that to stay within the 5 kHz bandwidth, the highest modulating frequency has to be somewhat lower than 5 kHz. This is one reason why the FCC prohibits amateurs from broadcasting music; reasonable fidelity of music requires higher bandwidth than voice.

..

75. Save text file to CW .wav file?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-12 22:17:53 UTC
From: Gary <danahers@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Q96dnc8ntakW967fRVn-uA@comcast.com>
Source record: 172

I need to create some practice code files for guys and would love to skip some steps. What I would like to find is a program that reads a text file and then creates directly a .wav file (or something similar). I want to be able to adjust the speed of the sent character. There are dozens of code practice practice programs, but will anything do what I am looking for? I can run a program that sends code to the sound card and then in turn send it back through the microphone jack to be saved by a second program, but I'd prefer one program running, doing both jobs.

76. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-13 04:48:38 UTC
From: John Franklin <jpfrank@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <GCPYd.2634$qW.903@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Does anyone here remember the simple CW transmitter that used a 6146 as a keyed ocillator? It would put out about 60 watts. There was a very low current light bulb in series with the crystal to limit the current that could flow in the crystal circuit. I think it was in the 1959 or 60 HANDBOOK. I used a gaseous regulator tube on the screen. It didn't chirp too badly.....Analog VFO's yes now there is a fun project!

"xpyttl" <xpyttl_NOSPAM@earthling.net> wrote in message
news:wz5Yd.25$ft6.14@fe03.lga...
> "dave.harper" <dave.harper@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1110497768.182023.13910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> If you've ever built an analog VFO, these DDS parts are like black magic.
> They take little in the way of support circuitry, no fretting about
> layout,
> no spending weeks trying to work out the temperature compensation, no
> moving
> around because you breathed on it, you just dial in the frequency you want
> and you are spot on.
>
> ..
>
>

77. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-13 04:59:11 UTC
From: "Bob S." <bohica610@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110689951.733015.48840@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 174
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

James wrote:
>

> My thoughts: Perhaps I will get a pre-amp that will produce about
20 db
> of gain. I will mount it inside. The 50 ft of coax will have a
loss of
> perhaps 5 db, so I have a net of 15 db gain at the tv. This will
be more
> than enough for the vhf stations that are pretty close, but I am not
sure
> about the uhf station 25 miles away. It would seem to be good
enough for
> that as well.
>
> What do you think ?? Thanks for any advice !!
>
>
> --james--

As others have stated, you probably won't need a preamp for signal
gain. However, I use a low gain preamp as lightning protection for the
tv's input circuitry. I figure it's better to replace a $20 preamp than
to replace a $500 tv.
Bob

78. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-13 07:58:13 UTC
From: w_tom <w_tom1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4233F295.F76F2BDB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 175
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

Many people forget their primary school science. They
assume destructive transients enter as if ocean waves.
Crashing and damaging only that one location. Reality: it is
electricity. Electricity first flows through everything in
the circuit. Only then does something (or many things) in
that circuit fail. The poster 'feels' that the pre-amp will
stop or block what 3 miles of sky could not? How silly.

Sacrificial protection is the classic myth. One more
reason why. It would take milliseconds or longer for the
pre-amp to become an open circuit. A destructive transient
does damage in microseconds. Even the fastest 'sacrificial'
protector - a fast blow fuse - takes tens of milliseconds to
blow. Where is protection provided by a pre-amp? Mythical
once we apply numbers.

"Bob S." wrote:
> As others have stated, you probably won't need a preamp for signal
> gain. However, I use a low gain preamp as lightning protection for
> the tv's input circuitry. I figure it's better to replace a $20
> preamp than to replace a $500 tv.
> Bob

79. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-13 16:25:39 UTC
From: "Bob S." <bohica610@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1110731139.531175.192550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 176
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,rec.radio.amateur

w_tom wrote:
> Many people forget their primary school science. They
> assume destructive transients enter as if ocean waves.
> Crashing and damaging only that one location. Reality: it is
> electricity. Electricity first flows through everything in
> the circuit. Only then does something (or many things) in
> that circuit fail. The poster 'feels' that the pre-amp will
> stop or block what 3 miles of sky could not? How silly.
>
> Sacrificial protection is the classic myth. One more
> reason why. It would take milliseconds or longer for the
> pre-amp to become an open circuit. A destructive transient
> does damage in microseconds. Even the fastest 'sacrificial'
> protector - a fast blow fuse - takes tens of milliseconds to
> blow. Where is protection provided by a pre-amp? Mythical
> once we apply numbers.

A hotly debatable subject. Based upon a straightwire theory where voltage produces heat to blow the fuse, you are entirely correct. However when you add electronic components, everything changes. The output of transistors in a preamp is limited by the source voltage (Vcc), thereby limiting any surge until the transistor blows. And bear in mind I'm talking about a surge from the tv antenna and not a surge in the ac wiring. Without a preamp, every lightning damaged tv I have had was damage to the tuner section, which is antenna/cable inputs and not from ac power surges. So in my experience it is not a myth.
Bob

80. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-13 16:40:13 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <N1_Yd.2504$qf2.1495@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 177
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

"w_tom" <w_tom1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4233F295.F76F2BDB@hotmail.com...
> Many people forget their primary school science. They
> assume destructive transients enter as if ocean waves.
> Crashing and damaging only that one location. Reality: it is
> electricity. Electricity first flows through everything in
> the circuit. Only then does something (or many things) in
> that circuit fail. The poster 'feels' that the pre-amp will
> stop or block what 3 miles of sky could not? How silly.
>
> Sacrificial protection is the classic myth. One more
> reason why. It would take milliseconds or longer for the
> pre-amp to become an open circuit. A destructive transient
> does damage in microseconds. Even the fastest 'sacrificial'
> protector - a fast blow fuse - takes tens of milliseconds to
> blow. Where is protection provided by a pre-amp? Mythical
> once we apply numbers.
>
>
While it will not protect from a direct hit it may help from the spikes
produced by near by flashes. I have a radio repeater on top of a mountain
and the phone line connected to it is my biggset problem . I installed a
couple of very low amp fuses and a couple of coils after them. The fuses
blow often after a thunder storm but they do protect the other equipment.
No doubt that a direct hit would take out everything.

81. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-13 17:11:10 UTC
From: mcalhoun@ksu.edu
Message-ID: <d11s7e$7q@unix2.cc.ksu.edu>
Source record: 179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

>just to make sure i have it straight, if i were to transmit a sine wave
>at 146mhz, anyone listening in on 146 mhz wouldn't hear anything
>....[snip]....

CW is NOT dead on two meters; anyone listening in CW mode would hear you!
--
--Myron A. Calhoun.
Five boxes preserve our freedoms: soap, ballot, witness, jury, and cartridge
PhD EE (retired). "Barbershop" tenor. CDL(PTXS). W0PBV. (785) 539-4448
NRA Life Member and Certified Instructor (Home Firearm Safety, Rifle, Pistol)

82. Re: Low Loss RG6, and other tv antenna questions ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-13 17:20:26 UTC
From: w_tom <w_tom1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4234765A.630B3019@hotmail.com>
Source record: 178
Cross-posted to: alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.amateur

Lightning will find a path to earth ground with or without that in-line pre-amp. It is nonsense to wish something will stop, block, absorb, or filter such transients. Anything that is going to accomplish that protection is already inside the TV tuner.

Either it suffers a direct hit or it suffers nothing. And that means everything in that path suffers the same current equally. If nearby strikes were so destructive as implied, that we routinely see cell phones and car radios damaged by nearby lightning. Too often the 'so called' nearby strike is really a direct strike. But that is beyond the scope of this discussion and is already explained (using a horse as example) in the newsgroup microsoft.public.windowsxp.basics on 11 Mar 2005 entitled "Power surge safety".

The preamp does nothing to provide transistor safety. For transistor safety, earth ground defines that. Some inductance in the coax can assist IF the earthing system exists. But no earthing system means inline impedance (the pre-amp) provides nothing.

Richard Harrison discusses this often in the newsgroup red.radio.antenna.amateur. He posted this on 12 Dec 2003 entitled "Lightning Arrester":
> A folded VHF monopole fed by coax and well grounded at the
> tower top is nearly immune to lightning.
>
> Coax, inside, rejects common-mode propagation of lightning
> energy. Coax, outside, needs good grounding to make a good
> path around (bypass for) protected equipment.
>
> The equipment needs direct low-impedance grounding so that
> most surge energy is dropped across the coax, not the
> equipment. Coils of extra coax may be used to raise the
> impedance of the outside of the coax.

In this and most every post from experienced industry professionals, there is nothing stopping lightning damage. Either it is given a non-destructive path to earth, or it goes right on through that pre-amp and TV tuner, as necessary, to find earth ground. For those blessed with basic electrical knowledge, the transient is a current source. That means voltage will increase as necessary to overwhelm everything in

that path. That also means everything in that path sees the same current. Current passes destructively down that wire EXCEPT if the human provides the transient with a better path to earth. Earthing is the protection; not some suicidal pre-amp or fuse.

If fuses blew, well now you know how much protection is already inside that tuner. The fuses quit long after the tuner had protected itself and long after the transient had completely finished. That pre-amp provides no protection.

Ralph Mowery wrote:
> While it will not protect from a direct hit it may help from the
> spikes produced by near by flashes. I have a radio repeater on
> top of a mountain and the phone line connected to it is my biggset
> problem . I installed a couple of very low amp fuses and a couple
> of coils after them. The fuses blow often after a thunder storm
> but they do protect the other equipment. No doubt that a direct
> hit would take out everything.

83. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-13 23:18:03 UTC
From: razorbladeshoes@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1110755883.668992.58630@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

thanks again for the help...your answers led me to a couple other
questions, if i may ask?

xpyttl wrote:

> In amateur practice, the impedance of almost anything that connects
together
> at RF is 50 ohms or converted to 50 ohms. Given that, the power
level then
> converts directly to voltage and current.

so if i were to design a transmitter around an COTS amp, how would i
determine what i needed to "drive" it at, in terms of voltage? if i
have 3 variables (V, I, and R) then i'd need to know 2 of the 3, then i
can solve for the third... as it is, i only know R...?

> This is one of the reasons that FM signals have so much higher
quality ...
> any noise is actually a result of noise in the receiver (or
transmitter)
> rather than the atmosphere. With other modes, not only can you hear
the
> atmospheric noise, but at lower frequencies, the atmospheric noise is
many
> times higher than the noise inherent in the receiver.

so i imagine people can do CW over FM, but does it usually occur on (I
guess what would be called) a simplified AM transceiver? either a
signal's present or not?

also, when people refer to ASK and FSK, is ASK basically an audio
output that's been sent over FM? and FSK is more like CW, only to a
receiver that can monitor both mark and space (0 and 1) frequencies?

thanks again!
jason

84. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-13 23:32:27 UTC
From: J M Noeding <la8ak@online.no>
Message-ID: <haj931tptni508nnsc6bsrmch5lt9fifm9@4ax.com>
Source record: 181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On 13 Mar 2005 15:18:03 -0800, razorbladeshoes@gmail.com wrote:

>
>also, when people refer to ASK and FSK, is ASK basically an audio
>output that's been sent over FM? and FSK is more like CW, only to a
>receiver that can monitor both mark and space (0 and 1) frequencies?
>
>thanks again!
>jason

always thought amplitude-shift-keying was mainly used for morse
---
J. M. Noeding, LA8AK, N-4623 Kristiansand
http://home.online.no/~la8ak/c.htm

85. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-14 00:32:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <u96dndYtlsMSRqnfRVn-tQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"J M Noeding" <la8ak@online.no> wrote in message
news:haj931tptni508nnsc6bsrmch5lt9fifm9@4ax.com...
> On 13 Mar 2005 15:18:03 -0800, razorbladeshoes@gmail.com wrote:
>
>
>>
>>also, when people refer to ASK and FSK, is ASK basically an audio
>>output that's been sent over FM? and FSK is more like CW, only to a
>>receiver that can monitor both mark and space (0 and 1) frequencies?
>>
>>thanks again!
>>jason
>
> always thought amplitude-shift-keying was mainly used for morse
> ---
> J. M. Noeding, LA8AK, N-4623 Kristiansand
> http://home.online.no/~la8ak/c.htm

I believe he meant AFSK (audio frequency shift keying) vs FSK (frequency
shift keying).

86. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-14 02:38:37 UTC
From: razorbladeshoes@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1110767917.711707.123960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Dee Flint wrote:
> "J M Noeding" <la8ak@online.no> wrote in message
> news:haj931tptni508nnsc6bsrmch5lt9fifm9@4ax.com...
> > On 13 Mar 2005 15:18:03 -0800, razorbladeshoes@gmail.com wrote:
> >
> >
> >>
> >>also, when people refer to ASK and FSK, is ASK basically an audio
> >>output that's been sent over FM? and FSK is more like CW, only to a
> >>receiver that can monitor both mark and space (0 and 1)
frequencies?
> >>
> >>thanks again!
> >>jason
> >
> > always thought amplitude-shift-keying was mainly used for morse
> > ---
> > J. M. Noeding, LA8AK, N-4623 Kristiansand
> > http://home.online.no/~la8ak/c.htm
>
> I believe he meant AFSK (audio frequency shift keying) vs FSK
(frequency
> shift keying).

yes, that is what i meant. i didn't even know amplitude shift keying
existed.

am i correct about afsk being audio tones transmitted over FM, while
fsk is just alternating between two non-modulating frequencies?

jason

87. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-14 03:00:54 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <1139vj62uop81b0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <1110767917.711707.123960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
<razorbladeshoes@gmail.com> wrote:

>yes, that is what i meant. i didn't even know amplitude shift keying
>existed.
>
>am i correct about afsk being audio tones transmitted over FM, while
>fsk is just alternating between two non-modulating frequencies?

That's pretty close, but not exactly on target.

AFSK can be used on top of either AM or FM. In amateur systems it's
most commonly used with FM.

FSK shifts the carrier frequency... a process which counts as a
modulation. The amount of frequency shift (in Hz) and the rate at
which you shift it (also in Hz) vary a lot from one usage to another.
There are both narrow-band and wide-band FSK modulations in use.

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

88. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-14 03:22:19 UTC
From: razorbladeshoes@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1110770539.279298.66640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Dave Platt wrote:
> In article <1110767917.711707.123960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> <razorbladeshoes@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> >yes, that is what i meant. i didn't even know amplitude shift keying
> >existed.
> >
> >am i correct about afsk being audio tones transmitted over FM, while
> >fsk is just alternating between two non-modulating frequencies?
>
> That's pretty close, but not exactly on target.
>
> AFSK can be used on top of either AM or FM. In amateur systems it's
> most commonly used with FM.
>
> FSK shifts the carrier frequency... a process which counts as a
> modulation. The amount of frequency shift (in Hz) and the rate at
> which you shift it (also in Hz) vary a lot from one usage to another.
> There are both narrow-band and wide-band FSK modulations in use.

thanks! also, i was just reading about mixers... and getting confused.
i've run some matlab programs to figure out how you can take something
at frequency x and frequency y, and end up with an output of frequency
(x-y). one transmitter's mixer i saw took a 160mhz and 14mhz input to
get a 146mhz output. adding or multiplying them together certainly does
not yeild 146mhz. so how does that work?

thanks for the help!
jason

89. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-14 03:28:24 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sx7Zd.3092$qf2.2559@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

> > I believe he meant AFSK (audio frequency shift keying) vs FSK
> (frequency
> > shift keying).
>
> yes, that is what i meant. i didn't even know amplitude shift keying
> existed.
>
> am i correct about afsk being audio tones transmitted over FM, while
> fsk is just alternating between two non-modulating frequencies?
>
> jason
>

You are partly correct. If audio tones are fed into the microphone input (audio stages) of an AM or FM transmitter you are generating AFSK. If an audio tone is fed into a SSB transmitter it will generate a single output frequency. If the tone is switched off and on , it will be received as a CW transmitter being keyed off and on. Some newer transceivers generate CW this way. If the tone is shifted in frequency , the output will be a carrier shifted the same ammount. This is received the same as a FSK transmitter. You can get the same effect if you have a crystal controled transmiter and switch a capacitor across the crystal to change the frequency.
There is almost no way to tell the differance between the two. If 100% pure tones are used and everything else it 100% correct then there is no differance in the transmitted signals. As always nothing is 100% and you can tell the differance with very close and strong signals. This is still close enough it does not matter.

90. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-14 03:41:20 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <113a1v0ipl0o331@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <1110770539.279298.66640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>,
<razorbladeshoes@gmail.com> wrote:

>thanks! also, i was just reading about mixers... and getting confused.
>i've run some matlab programs to figure out how you can take something
>at frequency x and frequency y, and end up with an output of frequency
>(x-y). one transmitter's mixer i saw took a 160mhz and 14mhz input to
>get a 146mhz output. adding or multiplying them together certainly does
>not yeild 146mhz. so how does that work?

You need a nonlinear function in order to get mixing behavior, and
create the sum and difference frequencies.

If you just add (e.g.) sin 160x and sin 14x, you end up with a simple
sum of sins, and no other frequencies show up - ordinary superposition.

Run the resulting sum through a nonlinear function (e.g. square it, or
take the square root, or clip it whenever its absolute value exceeds
1.0, or something like that), and take a look at the frequency content
of what you get as a result.

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

91. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-14 06:53:25 UTC
From: Paul Keinanen <keinanen@sci.fi>
Message-ID: <5gba31pprsm608562v38llqhcvlqa0ogru@4ax.com>
Source record: 188
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sun, 13 Mar 2005 19:32:17 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>>>also, when people refer to ASK and FSK, is ASK basically an audio
>>>output that's been sent over FM? and FSK is more like CW, only to a
>>>receiver that can monitor both mark and space (0 and 1) frequencies?

>> always thought amplitude-shift-keying was mainly used for morse

>I believe he meant AFSK (audio frequency shift keying) vs FSK (frequency
>shift keying).

The ITU emission designators for some digital modes and some typical
usage:

* A1A On/Off keying for manual reception (Morse)

* A1D ASK, Amplitude shift keying. The only recent use I have seen
is in some 433 MHz SRD (Short Range Devices)

* F1D Pure FSK (RTTY is classified as F1B)

* F2D Audio subcarrier modulated by amplitude or frequency (e.g.
1200 bit/s AX.25 packet) modulating an FM transmitter

* A2A Audio subcarrier on/off modulated by Morse code modulating
an AM transmitter (e.g. aeronautical beacons in the LF band)

* A2D Audio subcarrier modulated by amplitude or frequency
modulating an AM transmitter

* J2A Audio subcarrier on/off modulated by Morse code modulating
an SSB transmitter (many amateur multimode rigs)

* J2D Audio subcarrier modulated by amplitude or frequency (e.g.
300 bit/s AX.25 packet) modulating an SSB transmitter

On the air A1A and J2A are equivalent.

On the air F1D and J2A are equivalent and can be generated and
detected in both ways.

I hope this clarifies some of the issues.

Paul OH3LWR

92. replacing the Yaesu VX5R HT final output stage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-14 18:28:27 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <fJkZd.675439$Xk.74530@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 189

What's involved in replacing the final output stage on a Yaesu VX5R HT?
What would be a reasonable price for a repair shop to charge for performing
this task?

--
73
Tom H
VE7FAB

93. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-14 18:47:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <P_kZd.3771$qW.1887@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"Dave Platt" <dplatt@radagast.org> wrote in message
news:1139vj62uop81b0@corp.supernews.com...
> In article <1110767917.711707.123960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>,
> <razorbladeshoes@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>yes, that is what i meant. i didn't even know amplitude shift keying
>>existed.
>>
>>am i correct about afsk being audio tones transmitted over FM, while
>>fsk is just alternating between two non-modulating frequencies?
>
> That's pretty close, but not exactly on target.
>
> AFSK can be used on top of either AM or FM. In amateur systems it's
> most commonly used with FM.

That is not correct either. AFSK is used extensively with Amateur Radio
digital systems, such as PSK-31, RTTY, even CW can be and is generated
using AFSK. And the modulation METHOD is not important. It can be either
Amplitude or Frequency Modulation.

Dan/W4NTI

>
> FSK shifts the carrier frequency... a process which counts as a
> modulation. The amount of frequency shift (in Hz) and the rate at
> which you shift it (also in Hz) vary a lot from one usage to another.
> There are both narrow-band and wide-band FSK modulations in use.
>
> --
> Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
> Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
> I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
> boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

94. Re: newbie technical questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-14 23:05:19 UTC
From: NoSpam <nospam@no.way>
Message-ID: <PMoZd.136417$Th1.53705@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

razorbladeshoes@gmail.com wrote:

>
> thanks! also, i was just reading about mixers... and getting confused.
> i've run some matlab programs to figure out how you can take something
> at frequency x and frequency y, and end up with an output of frequency
> (x-y). one transmitter's mixer i saw took a 160mhz and 14mhz input to
> get a 146mhz output. adding or multiplying them together certainly does
> not yeild 146mhz. so how does that work?
>

That is a fair question, and one which is frequently asked.

Look up the trig identity for (sin a)(sin b). Let a and b each be in the form wt [w stands for greek lower case omega], so a = 2(pi)f1(t) and b = 2(pi)f2(t) where f1 and f2 are your two frequencies in Hz. Do the substitution & algebra on the identity, and then I think you'll see where the signals at the sum and difference of frequencies f1 and f2 come from. :-)

The name "mixer" can be a little misleading.....it doesn't necessarily just sum the two inputs in a linear fashion (by just adding them, like a kitchen mixer combines the ingredients for a cake) but there is also an element of non-linearity in the electrical network.

Depending on the nature of the non-linearity, mixing can also generate other higher-order products, like signals at 2f1+f2, 2f1-f2, etc. Whether this is good or bad depends on your particular application. For example, do some reading on "intermod".

95. FA: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-15 15:02:25 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <kVCZd.30859$uJ7.13835@fe11.lga>
Source record: 193
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5759550863&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

96. FA: COLLINS R-390A FINAL I.F. UNIT w/ALL 4 COLLINS FILTERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-15 15:03:25 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <gWCZd.30860$MF7.25079@fe11.lga>
Source record: 192
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.military.collecting,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5759550872&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

97. FA: $10>ANTENNA SPECIALIST MR306 CB ANTENNA M'CYLE-MARINE-BOAT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-15 15:04:34 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <lXCZd.30861$ZL7.22321@fe11.lga>
Source record: 194
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48692&item=5759550889&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

98. D.C. power cord for a Yaesu FT-747

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-15 18:14:26 UTC
From: Sam <aa8wl@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ytednfjmVfKfu6rfRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 195

Still looking for a D.C. power cord for a Yaesu FT-747 radio. If you have one let me know what you would like for it. TNX 73' de aa8wl Sam

aa8wl@comcast.net

99. AM reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-16 05:50:19 UTC
From: yoetz <yoez@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <HVPZd.31659$iW7.29025@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196

Searching for an AM radio:

My only concern is best AM reception inside concrete or steel buildings and warehouses, can not add additional antennas.

Which is the better choice between these two radios:

CCRadio plus

http://www.ccrane.com/ccradio-plus-am-fm-radio.aspx

or

Grundig S350

http://www.etoncorp.com/US/products/product.aspx?catid=2&subcatid=5&prodid=24

Your feedback is greatly appreciated.

Please respond directly to hobenzy@yahoo.com

Thank you

100. AM reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-16 05:55:02 UTC
From: yoetz <yoez@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <6_PZd.31664$Fb.27710@fe11.lga>
Source record: 197

Searching for an AM radio:

My only concern is best AM reception inside concrete or steel buildings and warehouses, can not add additional antennas.

Which is the better choice between these two radios:

CCRadio plus

http://www.ccrane.com/ccradio-plus-am-fm-radio.aspx

or

Grundig S350

http://www.etoncorp.com/US/products/product.aspx?catid=2&subcatid=5&prodid=24

Your feedback is greatly appreciated.

Please respond directly to hobenzy@yahoo.com

Thank you

101. Re: AM reception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-16 11:36:01 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <BSUZd.690831$Xk.499332@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 198

Concrete shouldn't be a problem unless it has tons of metal rebar. Aluminum siding is bad. Anything that conducts electricity tends to block radio waves of any wavelength. If all you want is AM band, just get a cheapo $5 am band radio, the Grundig is a shortwave set that's designed primarily for the shortwave band.

102. Better to store batteries in freezer or refrigerator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-16 19:06:40 UTC
From: "Bruce W.1" <no@email.com>
Message-ID: <4t%Zd.5952$ZB6.725@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 199

For many years I've stored most of my batteries in the refrigerator, that be alkaline, li-ion, Ni-Cad, NiMH, lead-acid, all types. And I can say that it greatly prolongs their life.

Now I'm wondering if it might be better yet to store them in the freezer. Does anyone here know?

Thanks for your help.

Bruce AF8F

103. Re: Better to store batteries in freezer or refrigerator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-16 21:49:54 UTC
From: KA7RGO@nospam.com
Message-ID: <MPG.1ca249e2da1da92498968b@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 200

In article <4t%Zd.5952$ZB6.725@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>, no@email.com
says...
> For many years I've stored most of my batteries in the refrigerator,
> that be alkaline, li-ion, Ni-Cad, NiMH, lead-acid, all types. And I can
> say that it greatly prolongs their life.
>
> Now I'm wondering if it might be better yet to store them in the
> freezer. Does anyone here know?
>
> Thanks for your help.
>
> Bruce AF8F
>
According to Battery University, batteries should normally be stored at
59 deg F. See here: http://www.batteryuniversity.com/partone-19.htm
--
KA7RGO

104. Re: Better to store batteries in freezer or refrigerator?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-17 05:16:12 UTC
From: ka7rgo@nospam.com
Message-ID: <MPG.1ca2b243c8c1bc2898968c@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 1

In article <cech31doialh6u1kk7qq0uvvchv5dg6pnh@4ax.com>,
tiny@rotifer.com says...
> I keep my zinc-carbon units in a bag in the deep freeze;
> http://web.telia.com/~u85920178/begin/batt-00.htm#zincc
>
> On Wed, 16 Mar 2005 19:06:40 GMT, "Bruce W.1" <no@email.com> wrote:
>
> >For many years I've stored most of my batteries in the refrigerator,
> >that be alkaline, li-ion, Ni-Cad, NiMH, lead-acid, all types. And I can
> >say that it greatly prolongs their life.
> >
> >Now I'm wondering if it might be better yet to store them in the
> >freezer. Does anyone here know?
> >
> >Thanks for your help.
> >
> >Bruce AF8F
>
>
Interesting.

I worked for a calibration laboratory that worked lots of portable
battery powered equipment. We used alkaline batteries in nearly
everything, about 3 cases a month (48/case I think). At one time we
kept batteries in the refrigerator until OSHA required food and
batteries not be stored in the same unit.

We contacted one of the battery manufactures and asked about cold
storage. We were told don't do it, there wasn't enough life extension
to bother with it. By contract, all of our batteries had to be date
coded with date of manufacture as we were only allowed to leave them in
an instrument for 6 months. Batteries replaced due to date code
requirements were load tested and good ones used as flashlight
batteries.
--
KA7RGO

105. Low Power AM Radio Broadcasting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-17 16:23:42 UTC
From: WCRV@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10699-4239AF0E-499@storefull-3317.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 2

The Low-Power AM station hobby has become more popular over the past 2 years.
In the U.S, it is legal to broadcast over the AM band 540kHz-1700kHz using a 3
meter antenna and a 100mW transmitter.
Until recently, building a small AM radio station was very complicated and very expensive, until now.
Using a SSTRAN AMT-3000 transmitter, which comes as a kit for under $100.00US, or a built version which comes assembled and tested for under $200.00 US, and a 3 meter, coil loaded and tunable antenna for around $225.00 US, anyone can get on the air right now!
I get questions about range: the system I am describing has had reports from 1/2 mile to over 4 miles! Range totally depends on these factors: location, scope of the land, conductivity, choice of frequency/adjacencies, and use of this antenna.
If the transmitter and antenna are installed correctly in a proper area, several miles are possible. Many people are interested in a good, solid local signal and this system does it for a fraction of the amount of money you will spend for a comparable system.
If you are interested in low power AM Broadcasting, I invite you to visit this site:
http://antenna18431.tripod.com/antenna.htm
In this site you will find information on the 3 meter antenna, pictures of it, information and pictures of the transmitter and a link to email questions, comments and inquiries to.
If you would like to be on the air, this is an excellent choice to do it well, and at a very affordable price!

106. IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-17 23:48:00 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <QGo_d.1968$C7.1874@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 3
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

QST:

International IRLP Contest starts at 1101 AEDT (0001z) today, March 18,
2004. Over 100 nodes worldwide now participating with more joining
daily..details, contest rules & regs can be found at
http://kg4zxk.com/index.php or http://www.qsl.net/vk3irl/ as can a
pre-formatted log sheet and what contacts equal what points.

Join in this new and fascinating way of communicating. The contest concludes
at the closing of the IRLP Conference in Las Vegas, April 17, 2004.

Supported by the Bass IRLP Group, WIA, ARRL & Chesapeake AR Club, USA.

Ashley VK3HAG
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=1220943

Echolink No: *225827
****
Australian Amateur Radio Station
****
Visit WIA @ www.wia.org.au
Visit NERG @ www.nerg.asn.au
****

107. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-18 06:04:56 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <irrk3190d56um5m4p6kgni0dvepmpslet7@4ax.com>
Source record: 4
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

On Thu, 17 Mar 2005 23:48:00 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
wrote:

>QST:
>
>International IRLP Contest starts at 1101 AEDT (0001z) today, March 18,
>2004. Over 100 nodes worldwide now participating with more joining
>daily..details, contest rules & regs can be found at
>http://kg4zxk.com/index.php or http://www.qsl.net/vk3irl/ as can a
>pre-formatted log sheet and what contacts equal what points.
>
>Join in this new and fascinating way of communicating. The contest concludes
>at the closing of the IRLP Conference in Las Vegas, April 17, 2004.
>

Time travel?

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

108. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-18 14:18:40 UTC
From: Phaselock Ohms <PL@bakerstreet.com>
Message-ID: <pwB_d.56674$xt.14944@fed1read07>
Source record: 5
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Thanks for the notice, but I was busy then.

--
Elementary Wattson
P.O.
I see I observe, I deduce

>>Time Traveller Wrote:
>>QST:
>>
>>International IRLP Contest starts at 1101 AEDT (0001z) today, March 18,
>>2004. Over 100 nodes worldwide now participating with more joining
>>daily..details, contest rules & regs can be found at
>>http://kg4zxk.com/index.php or http://www.qsl.net/vk3irl/ as can a
>>pre-formatted log sheet and what contacts equal what points.
>>
>>Join in this new and fascinating way of communicating. The contest
>>concludes
>>at the closing of the IRLP Conference in Las Vegas, April 17, 2004.
>>

109. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-18 15:12:36 UTC
From: Richard Newstead <g3cwi@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <opsnt9vc0bw50je8@news.btconnect.net>
Source record: 6
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

On Fri, 18 Mar 2005 07:47:16 +0000, Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com>
wrote:

> On Thu, 17 Mar 2005 23:48:00 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
> wrote:
>
>> QST:
>>
>> International IRLP Contest starts at 1101 AEDT (0001z) today, March 18,
>> 2004. Over 100 nodes worldwide now participating with more joining
>> daily..details, contest rules & regs can be found at
>> http://kg4zxk.com/index.php or http://www.qsl.net/vk3irl/ as can a
>> pre-formatted log sheet and what contacts equal what points.
>

Anyone noticed how April 1st gets earlier each year?

--
SOTA Beams New Product - The WaterLog
http://www.sotabeams.co.uk

110. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-18 15:39:46 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <8htl31hhh08rkhgnajsho00hu3a84fn787@4ax.com>
Source record: 7
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

On Thu, 17 Mar 2005 23:48:00 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote:

>QST:
>
>International IRLP Contest starts at 1101 AEDT (0001z) today, March 18,
>2004. Over 100 nodes worldwide now participating with more joining
>daily..details, contest rules & regs can be found at
>http://kg4zxk.com/index.php or http://www.qsl.net/vk3irl/ as can a
>pre-formatted log sheet and what contacts equal what points.
>
>Join in this new and fascinating way of communicating. The contest concludes
>at the closing of the IRLP Conference in Las Vegas, April 17, 2004.
>
>Supported by the Bass IRLP Group, WIA, ARRL & Chesapeake AR Club, USA.
>
>Ashley VK3HAG

Bwahahahahahahahhaaaaaa !

73 de Jock.
--
"Maybe this world is another planet's Hell."
- Aldous Huxley (1894-1963)

111. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-18 19:42:21 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <423b2ee8.1204591@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 8
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:

: So why cross-post this drivel to a UK newsgroup?

could the same question be asked about talking about
licences that cb'ers consider a bargain ?

112. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-18 22:14:44 UTC
From: 11 <11@11.11>
Message-ID: <opl_d.2936$C7.1571@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 9
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

http://groups.google.com.au/groups?q=Ashley+Geelan&btnG=Search&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8

http://groups.google.com.au/groups?hl=en&lr=&q=Ashley+S+Geelan&btnG=Search

http://groups.google.com.au/groups?q=%22Ashley+Geelan%22&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&start=40&sa=N

113. New Message Board

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-19 11:17:02 UTC
From: chuck Adkins <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111231022.369890.27260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 10

http://s9.invisionfree.com/Radio_Waves

114. Ham Radio Magazine - FREE subscription

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-19 22:37:56 UTC
From: K9YA Telegraph <no_reply@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8R1%d.12093$DW.8546@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 11

Subscribe to the K9YA Telegraph e-Zine. It's hip! It's Wow! It's FREE!

The K9YA Telegraph is a general interest Ham Radio electronic magazine. It contains new-never before published articles, pictures and cartoons on topics of interest to all Amateur Radio operators. It's in full-color and delivered to
your computer monthly as a PDF file.

Download sample issues or subscribe at: http://www.k9ya.org.

Subscribe NOW to receive the April issue!

April 2005

The Cracker Barrel ByPhilip Cala-Lazar, K9PL
Secret Service for Me By Paul Hendershott, W9BBR
A Novel Rotary Rhombic Antenna By Dick Sylvan, W9CBT
My J-38 and Radio Magic By Rick Hiller, W5RH
Beat Me Daddy, Eight to the Bar By Rod Newkirk, VA3ZBB/W9BRD
Help Wanted

115. digital signal software snake-oil

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-20 08:17:08 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <8ka%d.734819$8l.727804@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 12

I installed, configured, and got up and running with AGWPE Packet Engine (and it's client AGWTerm), Multipsk, POC32, Digipan, MixW2 and a host of lesser applications purported to be capable of decoding digital signals of one format or another. Then I systematically scanned the spectrum from 530khz to 1ghz (minus a few chunks) and found a total of 20 - 30 clear, non-voice, data signals of one type or another which I fed into my soundcard's 'line in' receptacle, with these applications running. I know the input was going where it was supposed to because the waterfall display showed the various signals as they beeped, warbled, and chirped. Sometimes, when Mixw2 was in certain modes, garbage would appear, but after all of that time and effort with all of those applications, not even ONE signal got decoded. I listened to samples of digital signals on a website and I was certain that I had found pager signals, but POC32 didn't think they were pages. I tuned into the national APRS uplink channel and I could hear what sounded like mobile APRS stations uploading their little frames of data, but WinAPRS wouldn't decode them.
What's my point in all of this? If there's anyone else out there who's thinking to themselves, "Boy, that digital radio idea seems interesting, why, maybe I'll just down load some of those applications sometime and decode some digital signals." Don't be so sure. Yes, all of those applications CLAIM to be able to decode the right kind of digital signal, but I tried every data signal, on almost the whole radio spectrum, and I tried each signal with each mode-setting on each of those applications, and it didn't work for me, not even once --- maybe it only works for certain people.

--
73
Tom
HAM Radio Callsign:
VA7FAB
Skype Name:
va7fab_tom_in_vancouver

116. spectrum abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-20 12:51:25 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <hle%d.737632$6l.644294@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 13

There's a guy within 2m range of my location who's making interfering transmissions and not identifying himself, and just generally being an idiot. For instance, 2 licenced operators will be talking about a problem one of them is having with antenna baluns or something, and this individual will cut in with a comment like, "They're giving licences to anyone these days --- if you can't even run a radio ...", and then he's gone. I'm new to this. I just got my licence last week, but I know it's possible to DF a radio signal. I've been listening to this guy for weeks. If Industry Canada has more important things to do, then how about farming out the job to some volunteers. All it would take is to localize him to where he lives, and knock on his door and let him know someone knows who he is. There's no way he's sophisticated enough to move from place to place to evade a sweep. I'll bet we wouldn't even need to take the radio away --- just let him know someone is on the case and he'll pack it in. I'm sure this is a situation that happens over and over, though, what's the usual method of solving it?

--
73
Tom
HAM Radio Callsign:
VA7FAB
Skype Name:
va7fab_tom_in_vancouver

117. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-20 23:33:23 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <7Ln%d.5318$C7.3980@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 14
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
news:8htl31hhh08rkhgnajsho00hu3a84fn787@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 17 Mar 2005 23:48:00 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
wrote:
>
> >QST:
> >
> >International IRLP Contest starts at 1101 AEDT (0001z) today, March 18,
> >2005. Over 100 nodes worldwide now participating with more joining
> >daily..details, contest rules & regs can be found at
> >http://kg4zxk.com/index.php or http://www.qsl.net/vk3irl/ as can a
> >pre-formatted log sheet and what contacts equal what points.
> >
> >Join in this new and fascinating way of communicating. The contest
concludes
> >at the closing of the IRLP Conference in Las Vegas, April 17, 2005.
> >
> >Supported by the Bass IRLP Group, WIA, ARRL & Chesapeake AR Club, USA.
> >
> >Ashley VK3HAG
>
It is for any country that has an IRLP node, not just the USA and Australia.

It is called the "1st Aussie-American IRLP Contest" because of the
Australian Club & US Club sponsoring it. Some web sites have been updated to
read "1st International IRLP Contest"

There's plenty of UK nodes in the contest, the list is on the website

118. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-20 23:40:42 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <423e09f5$0$32617$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 15
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote in message
news:7Ln%d.5318$C7.3980@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> "Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
> news:8htl31hhh08rkhgnajsho00hu3a84fn787@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 17 Mar 2005 23:48:00 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
> wrote:
> >
> > >QST:
> > >
> > >International IRLP Contest starts at 1101 AEDT (0001z) today, March 18,
> > >2005. Over 100 nodes worldwide now participating with more joining
> > >daily..details, contest rules & regs can be found at
> > >http://kg4zxk.com/index.php or http://www.qsl.net/vk3irl/ as can a
> > >pre-formatted log sheet and what contacts equal what points.
> > >
> > >Join in this new and fascinating way of communicating. The contest
> concludes
> > >at the closing of the IRLP Conference in Las Vegas, April 17, 2005.
> > >
> > >Supported by the Bass IRLP Group, WIA, ARRL & Chesapeake AR Club, USA.
> > >
> > >Ashley VK3HAG
> >
> It is for any country that has an IRLP node, not just the USA and Australia.
>
> It is called the "1st Aussie-American IRLP Contest" because of the
> Australian Club & US Club sponsoring it. Some web sites have been updated to
> read "1st International IRLP Contest"
>
> There's plenty of UK nodes in the contest, the list is on the website
>
>

Not my LOCAL Node

Local OP says there's enough contest on HF where SOME SKILL is needed and says
he's on holiday until the end of April.

To have contest on TWO DAYS a week is TOO much , for SEVEN Days its insufferable.

Cheers

DieSea

119. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 00:18:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <itidnf5fq7l0j6PfRVn-3g@adelphia.com>
Source record: 16
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

DieSea wrote:

> Not my LOCAL Node
>
> Local OP says there's enough contest on HF where SOME SKILL is needed and says
> he's on holiday until the end of April.
>
> To have contest on TWO DAYS a week is TOO much , for SEVEN Days its insufferable.

I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.

- Mike -

120. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 00:28:41 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <423e16e2$0$8756$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 17
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:itidnf5fq7l0j6PfRVn-3g@adelphia.com...
> DieSea wrote:
>
> > Not my LOCAL Node
> >
> > Local OP says there's enough contest on HF where SOME SKILL is needed and says
> > he's on holiday until the end of April.
> >
> > To have contest on TWO DAYS a week is TOO much , for SEVEN Days its
insufferable.
>
> I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>
> - Mike -
>

They should be , after all they can be used to control an EchoLink or IRLP Node .

I Should also add that this contest is on for a FULL MONTH , that's a full 28 days
or more .

If I remember MattD had some suitable comment a day or so back.

DieSea

121. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 01:19:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Tip%d.1927$Vi3.510@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 18
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:itidnf5fq7l0j6PfRVn-3g@adelphia.com...
> DieSea wrote:
>
>> Not my LOCAL Node
>>
>> Local OP says there's enough contest on HF where SOME SKILL is needed and
>> says
>> he's on holiday until the end of April.
>>
>> To have contest on TWO DAYS a week is TOO much , for SEVEN Days its
>> insufferable.
>
> I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>
> - Mike -
>

Or Baby Monitors ?

Dan/W4NTI

122. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 01:20:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Sjp%d.1928$Vi3.726@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 19
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"DieSea" <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom> wrote in message
news:423e16e2$0$8756$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:itidnf5fq7l0j6PfRVn-3g@adelphia.com...
>> DieSea wrote:
>>
>> > Not my LOCAL Node
>> >
>> > Local OP says there's enough contest on HF where SOME SKILL is needed
>> > and says
>> > he's on holiday until the end of April.
>> >
>> > To have contest on TWO DAYS a week is TOO much , for SEVEN Days its
> insufferable.
>>
>> I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>>
>> - Mike -
>>
>
> They should be , after all they can be used to control an EchoLink or IRLP
> Node .
>
> I Should also add that this contest is on for a FULL MONTH , that's a full
> 28 days
> or more .
>
> If I remember MattD had some suitable comment a day or so back.
>
> DieSea
>
>
>
Great....I'll join in if someone can help me get my CW Key to operate on it.

Dan/W4NTI

123. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 07:31:04 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <423e780d_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 20
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "DieSea" <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom> wrote in message
> news:423e16e2$0$8756$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk...
>
>>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>news:itidnf5fq7l0j6PfRVn-3g@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>DieSea wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Not my LOCAL Node
>>>>
>>>>Local OP says there's enough contest on HF where SOME SKILL is needed
>>>>and says
>>>>he's on holiday until the end of April.
>>>>
>>>>To have contest on TWO DAYS a week is TOO much , for SEVEN Days its
>>
>>insufferable.
>>
>>>I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>>>
>>>- Mike -
>>>
>>
>>They should be , after all they can be used to control an EchoLink or IRLP
>>Node .
>>
>>I Should also add that this contest is on for a FULL MONTH , that's a full
>>28 days
>>or more .
>>
>>If I remember MattD had some suitable comment a day or so back.
>>
>>DieSea
>>
>>
>>
>
> Great....I'll join in if someone can help me get my CW Key to operate on it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

There would be no reason why you can't use CW over IRLP. Stop bagging IRLP, if you don't like it then don't use it!

WAKE UP! There are so many different things you can do on Amateur Radio, there is room for everyone.

I just checked, there are a total of 1591 IRLP nodes, 1034 active, 879 idle and 105 in calls. This indicates the following to me:

1. IRLP is a popular way of communications on Amateur Radio.

2. This contest is going to have very little impact on any one local node. Big deal someone is going to dial it up, put out a call either they will make a contact or they won't and then they will close the link!

Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags you for using it, I know I certainly don't.

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

124. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 08:22:53 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <423e8431$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 22
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 18:31:04 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>1. IRLP is a popular way of communications on Amateur Radio.
>
>
> IRLP has as much to do with Amateur Radio as smoke signals or
> semaphore.
>
> The only difference is, communication by smoke signals or semaphore
> would be a whole lot more challenging and satisfying than IRLP.
>
> 73 de G3NYY

WAKE UP! You *MUST* use your radio, the person at the other end *MUST*
use their radio. Last time I checked that was Amateur Radio.

Like I said before there are *MANY* parts to our hobby, I don't knock
what you do in Amateur Radio, so leave people alone that like IRLP.

Without new innovations in Amateur Radio we will not get new people into
the hobby. If we don't get new people into the hobby then Amateur Radio
will die a natural death and then we will have to find another hobby!

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

125. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 08:25:48 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <423e850a$0$8741$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 21
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

> >
> > Great....I'll join in if someone can help me get my CW Key to operate on it.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> There would be no reason why you can't use CW over IRLP. Stop bagging
> IRLP, if you don't like it then don't use it!
>
> WAKE UP! There are so many different things you can do on Amateur
> Radio, there is room for everyone.
>
> I just checked, there are a total of 1591 IRLP nodes, 1034 active, 879
> idle and 105 in calls. This indicates the following to me:
>
> 1. IRLP is a popular way of communications on Amateur Radio.
>
> 2. This contest is going to have very little impact on any one local
> node. Big deal someone is going to dial it up, put out a call either
> they will make a contact or they won't and then they will close the link!
>
> Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags you
> for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>
>
> --
> The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>
> 73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
> http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
> VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

Simon

I'm not bagging IRLP or Voip

A contest running for 24 hours perhaps or at a push 1 weekend but 24 / 7 for 28 days no way.

Ofcom / the RSGB have put in the conditions of issuing a Notice of Variation , that the holder of the Nov MUST be on site at all times the node is running , if he's not then the can rescind his Nov if caught.

I would be surprised if any UK amateur providing a VoiP Gateway would be at home for 24 / 7 for 28 days .

It there fore puts the UK amateur at a dis-advantage as soon as the licensee walks of the property , the node should be turned off.

DieSea

126. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 08:40:47 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <423e8863_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 23
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

DieSea wrote:
>>>Great....I'll join in if someone can help me get my CW Key to operate on it.
>>>
>>>Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>There would be no reason why you can't use CW over IRLP. Stop bagging
>>IRLP, if you don't like it then don't use it!
>>
>>WAKE UP! There are so many different things you can do on Amateur
>>Radio, there is room for everyone.
>>
>>I just checked, there are a total of 1591 IRLP nodes, 1034 active, 879
>>idle and 105 in calls. This indicates the following to me:
>>
>>1. IRLP is a popular way of communications on Amateur Radio.
>>
>>2. This contest is going to have very little impact on any one local
>>node. Big deal someone is going to dial it up, put out a call either
>>they will make a contact or they won't and then they will close the link!
>>
>>Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags you
>>for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>>
>>
>>--
>>The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>>
>>73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>>http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
>>VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
>
>
>
> Simon
>
> I'm not bagging IRLP or Voip
>
>
> A contest running for 24 hours perhaps or at a push 1 weekend but 24 / 7 for 28
> days no way.
>
> Ofcom / the RSGB have put in the conditions of issuing a Notice of Variation ,
> that the holder of the Nov MUST be on site at all times the node is running , if

> he's not then the can rescind his Nov if caught.
>
> I would be surprised if any UK amateur providing a VoiP Gateway would be at home
> for 24 / 7 for 28 days .
>
> It there fore puts the UK amateur at a dis-advantage as soon as the licensee walks
> of the property , the node should be turned off.
>
> DieSea

I certainly wouldn't expect anyone to go against the regs. But what has
that got to do with the IRLP contest? My point was with so many active
nodes out there the load would be spread out and traffic I would expect
be very minimal on any given node.

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

127. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 09:28:06 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <423e93a3$0$32620$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 24
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

> >
> > It there fore puts the UK amateur at a dis-advantage as soon as the licensee
walks
> > of the property , the node should be turned off.
> >
> > DieSea
>
> I certainly wouldn't expect anyone to go against the regs. But what has
> that got to do with the IRLP contest? My point was with so many active
> nodes out there the load would be spread out and traffic I would expect
> be very minimal on any given node.
>
>

It certainly doesn't make the "PLAYING FIELDS LEVEL"

Our local Node op has been away this weekend helping at the Blackpool Rally so his nodes been down since Friday , its just gone up.

In some respect we're lucky as he's an elderly bloke with mobility problems , he doesn't get out much , so when he's up its on , he's still p***ed off with this 24 / 7 x 28 day contest .

The Next comment you make is that its radio to radio , that's not 100 % correct , I know of at least 3 VK's , 2 VE's and at least 5 G / M stations that don't have a radio connected to their node . Its quite easy to confirm that comment about G / M stations if you know where to look.

DieSea.

128. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 10:54:27 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <423ea7b7$1_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 25
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 19:22:53 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Without new innovations in Amateur Radio we will not get new people into
>>the hobby. If we don't get new people into the hobby then Amateur Radio
>>will die a natural death and then we will have to find another hobby!
>
>
> So, like others of your ilk, you are intent upon converting amateur
> radio into multi-band CB to satisfy your own selfish aims ... using
> the completely false pretext of "saving the hobby from dying".
>
> Yes, I thought so.
>
> 73 de G3NYY

I am not going to waste my time with you *IDIOT*, I have shit listed you!

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

129. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 10:57:38 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <423ea877_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 26
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

DieSea wrote:
>>>It there fore puts the UK amateur at a dis-advantage as soon as the licensee
>
> walks
>
>>>of the property , the node should be turned off.
>>>
>>>DieSea
>>
>>I certainly wouldn't expect anyone to go against the regs. But what has
>>that got to do with the IRLP contest? My point was with so many active
>>nodes out there the load would be spread out and traffic I would expect
>>be very minimal on any given node.
>>
>>
>
>
> It certainly doesn't make the "PLAYING FIELDS LEVEL"
>
> Our local Node op has been away this weekend helping at the Blackpool Rally so his
> nodes been down since Friday , its just gone up.
>
> In some respect we're lucky as he's an elderly bloke with mobility problems , he
> doesn't get out much , so when he's up its on , he's still p***ed off with this 24
> / 7 x 28 day contest .
>
>
> The Next comment you make is that its radio to radio , that's not 100 % correct ,
> I know of at least 3 VK's , 2 VE's and at least 5 G / M stations that don't have a
> radio connected to their node . Its quite easy to confirm that comment about G / M
> stations if you know where to look.
>
> DieSea.

Are you sure you are not confusing IRLP with echolink? I personally
don't agree with using your computer to access the the network like
echolink does, but I am not going to stop any licensed person from doing
so if the regs. allow it.

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452

VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

130. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 11:17:06 UTC
From: Kate <vk4xyl@nospam.ft.net.au>
Message-ID: <423ead05$0$251$61c65585@uq-127creek-reader-03.brisbane.pipenetworks.com.au>
Source record: 27
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Tip%d.1927$Vi3.510@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:itidnf5fq7l0j6PfRVn-3g@adelphia.com...
>> DieSea wrote:
>>
>>> Not my LOCAL Node
>>>
>>> Local OP says there's enough contest on HF where SOME SKILL is needed
>>> and says
>>> he's on holiday until the end of April.
>>>
>>> To have contest on TWO DAYS a week is TOO much , for SEVEN Days its
>>> insufferable.
>>
>> I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>>
>> - Mike -
>>
>
> Or Baby Monitors ?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Sorry no, the babies objected strenuously to having their yelling webcast
across IRLP. A spokesbaby stated 'Goo goo GAH!!'

Kate vk4xyl :-))

131. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 11:39:15 UTC
From: Chris Kirby <g4fzn@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dfct315bf4it279cn7jvamljb369d63gdg@4ax.com>
Source record: 28
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Simon VK3XEM wrote:

>DieSea wrote:
>> The Next comment you make is that its radio to radio , that's not 100 % correct ,
>> I know of at least 3 VK's , 2 VE's and at least 5 G / M stations that don't have a
>> radio connected to their node . Its quite easy to confirm that comment about G / M
>> stations if you know where to look.
>>
>> DieSea.
>
>Are you sure you are not confusing IRLP with echolink? I personally
>don't agree with using your computer to access the the network like
>echolink does, but I am not going to stop any licensed person from doing
>so if the regs. allow it.

"Diesea" is not confusing anything with anything. He is talking about
IRLP. ie. the system which is supposed to be radio > radio only.

--
Chris

132. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 12:06:55 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3a7rj8F6a9aspU1@individual.net>
Source record: 29
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

> IRLP has as much to do with Amateur Radio as smoke signals or
> semaphore.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

You have a very narrow view of what actually constitutes Amateur Radio.

Brad.

133. Re: spectrum abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 12:50:34 UTC
From: MrCPU <rjstewart@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111409434.747885.181660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 30

We have our share of trolls here in Toronto. Generally speaking,
thanks to cutbacks IC does dick-all. If you have any buddies in the
RCMP and they are willing to assist you, you can try and get charges
laid but AFAIK only an IC rep or an RCMP officer can enforce IC regs.

134. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 13:15:00 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <423ec8d1$0$8741$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 31
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

> >
> > It certainly doesn't make the "PLAYING FIELDS LEVEL"
> >
> > Our local Node op has been away this weekend helping at the Blackpool Rally so
his
> > nodes been down since Friday , its just gone up.
> >
> > In some respect we're lucky as he's an elderly bloke with mobility problems ,
he
> > doesn't get out much , so when he's up its on , he's still p***ed off with
this 24
> > / 7 x 28 day contest .
> >
> >
> > The Next comment you make is that its radio to radio , that's not 100 %
correct ,
> > I know of at least 3 VK's , 2 VE's and at least 5 G / M stations that don't
have a
> > radio connected to their node . Its quite easy to confirm that comment about G
/ M
> > stations if you know where to look.
> >
> > DieSea.
>
> Are you sure you are not confusing IRLP with echolink? I personally
> don't agree with using your computer to access the the network like
> echolink does, but I am not going to stop any licensed person from doing
> so if the regs. allow it.
>
>
> --
> The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>
> 73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
> http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
> VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

Simon

If had meant EchoLink , I would have said EchoLink , you as I were talking
about IRLP and my response was in that vein.

I have been around before the inception of IRLP by Dave Cameron even before the
demise of IPhone and the I'll fated ILink.

If you know how to look you will find a number of IRLP nodes on air in the UK that CAN NOT be attached to a radio.

DieSea.

135. Manuals on DVD for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 13:15:29 UTC
From: Hamradio station <News@virgin.co.uk>
Message-ID: <d1mhog$h8v$1@reader10.wxs.nl>
Source record: 32

Might be interesting:
www.qso.nl/instruction.htm

is selling a DVD with over 1100 hamradio manuals.

Mainly old stuff, but some "hard to get" manuals.

73's

Rob.

136. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 13:30:06 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <423ecc5a$0$32613$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 33
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Chris Kirby" <g4fzn@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:dfct315bf4it279cn7jvamljb369d63gdg@4ax.com...
> Simon VK3XEM wrote:
>
> >DieSea wrote:
> >> The Next comment you make is that its radio to radio , that's not 100 %
correct ,
> >> I know of at least 3 VK's , 2 VE's and at least 5 G / M stations that don't
have a
> >> radio connected to their node . Its quite easy to confirm that comment about
G / M
> >> stations if you know where to look.
> >>
> >> DieSea.
> >
> >Are you sure you are not confusing IRLP with echolink? I personally
> >don't agree with using your computer to access the the network like
> >echolink does, but I am not going to stop any licensed person from doing
> >so if the regs. allow it.
>
>
>
> "Diesea" is not confusing anything with anything. He is talking about
> IRLP. ie. the system which is supposed to be radio > radio only.
>
>
> --
> Chris

Thanks Chris

As for EchoLink and its "USERS" (Please Note the words Users and EchoLink) I
Wonder how many "ON AIR PROVIDERS" are heartily fed up with a USER connecting with
out identifying and then disconnecting after 5 minutes and still have not
identified .

I KNOW several UK EchoLink on air providers where USERS are banned with certain
exceptions , one is a VK4 , a very pleasant "OLD GENT" of 85+ that Chris and I
have known for years.

Sorry to Hi-Jack your reply Chris .

DieSea

137. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 14:29:47 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <qemt31903nd7q82jgat743dq33gig8g58e@4ax.com>
Source record: 34
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

On Sun, 20 Mar 2005 23:33:23 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote:

>"Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
>news:8htl31hhh08rkhgnajsho00hu3a84fn787@4ax.com...

I very much doubt it. I cannot imagine why I would want to write about
this sort of nonsense.

>> >International IRLP Contest starts at 1101 AEDT (0001z) today, March 18,
>> >2005. Over 100 nodes worldwide now participating with more joining
>> >daily..details, contest rules & regs can be found at
>> >http://kg4zxk.com/index.php or http://www.qsl.net/vk3irl/ as can a
>> >pre-formatted log sheet and what contacts equal what points.
>> >
>> >Join in this new and fascinating way of communicating. The contest
>> concludes at the closing of the IRLP Conference in Las Vegas, April 17, 2005.

------%<-----

73 de Jock.
--
"Maybe this world is another planet's Hell."
- Aldous Huxley (1894-1963)

138. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 14:37:21 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <aqmt31lvfk49jf8e9levohpttn6i7p0q44@4ax.com>
Source record: 35
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 18:31:04 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote:

>>>I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.

How many points would I accrue from working my nearest cellphone
node which is about 2 km distant?

>WAKE UP! There are so many different things you can do on Amateur
>Radio, there is room for everyone.

Absolutely, but this isn't one of them.

>The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

No doubt these organisations will be relieved to hear that.

73 de Jock.
--
"Maybe this world is another planet's Hell."
- Aldous Huxley (1894-1963)

139. Re:Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 15:23:25 UTC
From: DieSea <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom>
Message-ID: <423ee6f5$0$32620$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk>
Source record: 36
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

>
> !!**Success**!!
>
> :-)))
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

Now Wlat

PLEASE treat Simon with some respect

He wears a Halo , drives a Volvo and me_thinks could be XCB , he may even be known to Lucifer.

Say no more ;;-)

DieSea

140. Re: spectrum abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 15:42:13 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <pXB%d.746593$Xk.554895@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 37

I guess you're right. According to the rules and regulations only officials can legally prosecute the guy --- but maybe he doesn't know that ...

--
73
Tom
VA7FAB

Skype (voIP 'ware) Name:
va7fab_tom_in_vancouver

141. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 16:45:55 UTC
From: Walter Raleigh <walterraleigh69ANTISPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <OWC%d.50020$Z14.38052@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 38
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Kate" <vk4xyl@nospam.ft.net.au> wrote in message
news:423ead05$0$251$61c65585@uq-127creek-reader-03.brisbane.pipenetworks.com.au...
> Sorry no, the babies objected strenuously to having their yelling webcast
> across IRLP. A spokesbaby stated 'Goo goo GAH!!'

With the emphasis on "GAH!" :)

--
73s de Walter R.

142. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 17:22:21 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <423f02a2_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 39
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

DieSea wrote:
>>>It certainly doesn't make the "PLAYING FIELDS LEVEL"
>>>
>>>Our local Node op has been away this weekend helping at the Blackpool Rally so
>
> his
>
>>>nodes been down since Friday , its just gone up.
>>>
>>>In some respect we're lucky as he's an elderly bloke with mobility problems ,
>
> he
>
>>>doesn't get out much , so when he's up its on , he's still p***ed off with
>
> this 24
>
>>>/ 7 x 28 day contest .
>>>
>>>
>>>The Next comment you make is that its radio to radio , that's not 100 %
>
> correct ,
>
>>>I know of at least 3 VK's , 2 VE's and at least 5 G / M stations that don't
>
> have a
>
>>>radio connected to their node . Its quite easy to confirm that comment about G
>
> / M
>
>>>stations if you know where to look.
>>>
>>>DieSea.
>>
>>Are you sure you are not confusing IRLP with echolink? I personally
>>don't agree with using your computer to access the the network like
>>echolink does, but I am not going to stop any licensed person from doing
>>so if the regs. allow it.
>>
>>
>>--

>>The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>>
>>73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>>http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
>>VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
>
>
> Simon
>
> If had meant EchoLink , I would have said EchoLink , you as I were talking
> about IRLP and my response was in that vein.
>
> I have been around before the inception of IRLP by Dave Cameron even before the
> demise of IPhone and the I'll fated ILink.
>
> If you know how to look you will find a number of IRLP nodes on air in the UK that
> CAN NOT be attached to a radio.
>
> DieSea.

OK, I stand corrected there. In that case I am surprised Dave allows
them on the system as I understood the philosophy behind IRLP was to
USE the radio.

I am hopefully setting up a node for my local club later this year and I
have *NO* intention of operating it from the console, if or when I do
use it, it will be via a *RADIO* the way it is meant to be.

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

143. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 17:27:17 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <423f03c9$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 40
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Jock. wrote:
> On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 18:31:04 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>>I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>
>
> How many points would I accrue from working my nearest cellphone
> node which is about 2 km distant?
>
>
>>WAKE UP! There are so many different things you can do on Amateur
>>Radio, there is room for everyone.
>
>
> Absolutely, but this isn't one of them.
>
> -----
>
>
>>The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>
>
> No doubt these organisations will be relieved to hear that.
>
> 73 de Jock.

Another moron on my shit list! Ignorance.

Just because I don't work CW doesn't mean I want it banned, in fact I
would like to see CW allocations retained as it is a valid an historical
part of our hobby. Just as IRLP is valid and *NEW* part of our hobby.

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

144. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 21:51:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ilH%d.518$z.20@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 42
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
news:423e780d_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...

>
> Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags you
> for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>
>
> --
> The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>
> 73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
> http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
> VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.

My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET connected
to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want, but it is
NOT ham radio.

Dan/W4NTI

145. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 21:56:43 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3a8u8rF5sn3ruU1@individual.net>
Source record: 41
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:ilH%d.518$z.20@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...

>
> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>
> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
connected
> to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want, but it is
> NOT ham radio.
>

No doubt similar utterances were made when spark transmitters were phased
out.

Technology moves on, that is the nature of things. No one is forcing you to
use ILRP or any of the other modes.

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk
www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
FP#898

146. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 22:45:14 UTC
From: Stan <Rubbish@rubbish.com>
Message-ID: <_7I%d.2975$Ab.298@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 43
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Brian Reay" <brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:3a8u8rF5sn3ruU1@individual.net...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ilH%d.518$z.20@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>
>> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>>
>> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
> connected
>> to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want, but it
>> is
>> NOT ham radio.
>>
>
> No doubt similar utterances were made when spark transmitters were phased
> out.
>
> Technology moves on, that is the nature of things. No one is forcing you
> to
> use ILRP or any of the other modes.
>
> --
> Brian Reay
> www.g8osn.org.uk
> www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
> FP#898
>
>
Missed the point there Brian, Dan is complaining about what the mode is
called, not the fact that it exists..

73 de Stan, G4EGH.

147. Re: spectrum abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 22:52:15 UTC
From: "Joe R.Morris, Jr." <Joebaby@midsouth.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zeI%d.5725$rL3.1910@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 45

I dont know alot about this kinda of stuff......and call me an CB idiot if you wish to do so......cause mainly I only deal with CB type stuff.....there are some antennas out there you can track his signal with.....sorta like a "rabbit hunt" if you will....once you find out his pretty close area....then install a small "transmitting bug" for his listening pleasure...LOL

Joebaby
High Tech Redneck
Rednecks, Inc. of Northwest Mississippi ©2005
USA
"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:hle%d.737632$6l.644294@pd7tw2no...
> There's a guy within 2m range of my location who's making interfering
> transmissions and not identifying himself, and just generally being an
> idiot. For instance, 2 licenced operators will be talking about a problem
> one of them is having with antenna baluns or something, and this
> individual will cut in with a comment like, "They're giving licences to
> anyone these days --- if you can't even run a radio ...", and then he's
> gone. I'm new to this. I just got my licence last week, but I know it's
> possible to DF a radio signal. I've been listening to this guy for weeks.
> If Industry Canada has more important things to do, then how about farming
> out the job to some volunteers. All it would take is to localize him to
> where he lives, and knock on his door and let him know someone knows who
> he is. There's no way he's sophisticated enough to move from place to
> place to evade a sweep. I'll bet we wouldn't even need to take the radio
> away --- just let him know someone is on the case and he'll pack it in.
> I'm sure this is a situation that happens over and over, though, what's
> the usual method of solving it?
>
> --
> 73
> Tom
> HAM Radio Callsign:
> VA7FAB
> Skype Name:
> va7fab_tom_in_vancouver
>
>

148. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 22:52:44 UTC
From: "Martin, VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@yahoo.invalid>
Message-ID: <423f5039$1_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 44
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
news:aqmt31lvfk49jf8e9levohpttn6i7p0q44@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 18:31:04 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
> wrote:
>
>>>>I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>
> How many points would I accrue from working my nearest cellphone
> node which is about 2 km distant?
>
>>WAKE UP! There are so many different things you can do on Amateur
>>Radio, there is room for everyone.
>
> Absolutely, but this isn't one of them.

I fail to see the difference between using an FM repeater that is linked to another repeater on a different band, which is commonly done, and using IRLP.... Yet using the repeater is perfectly acceptable?

IRLP is, usually, vastly different than EchoLink.. If stations at both ends are using radio, then does it really matter how the link between is established? Using linked repeaters is fine, some of which use links outside amateur bands, yet nobody here is suggesting that we put crap on those operators!

Yes, DX contacts via HF are nice, and may be what many consider to be true amateur radio, but not everyone can get on HF bands and if IRLP helps increase activity on radio bands then how can it be a bad thing?

I do, however, agree that a 24/7 contest for a whole 28 days is starting to get a bit much! 24/7 for 1 or 2 days should be enough, just like most other amateur contests.

Cheers

--
Martin, VK2UMJ

To reply by e-mail, replace ".invalid" with ".com.au"

"I cannot help but notice that there is no problem
between us that cannot be solved by your departure."

149. Re: spectrum abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 23:12:08 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <cxI%d.750982$6I.733978@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 46

Install a bug? I'm not sure I'm following you. You mean set a jammer?
Yeah --- but then he'd just change frequencies (if that was what you meant).
How do CB people shut down deliberate interference?

I'll bet there are some interesting stories out there about how this kind
situation has been dealt with in the past, like I said, I'm sure this is a
perennial issue.

--
73
Tom
VA7FAB
Skype Name:
va7fab_tom_in_vancouver

150. Re: spectrum abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-21 23:38:16 UTC
From: "Joe R.Morris, Jr." <Joebaby@midsouth.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IVI%d.5735$rL3.1331@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 47

Well at one time around my area.....we had this garbage can called "Big John"......more like a toilet was he......so one day we figured out where he was transmitting from.....his house to be exact.......one night undercover.......with folks who had experience with this kinda of stuff...of course.......we installed "transmitting bugs" so to speak.......they transmitted on one frequency at about 500mW to 1 W off of a 9 volt battery for some time.....not only did we install one under hsi base antenna (home unit) we also installed a couple more on his vehicles in nice, hideable places where he would never know to look....so no matter where he went....for about 2 to 3 months all he heard was this wierd transmission in his radios.....found out he was taking all his radios to the shop to see what was wrong with them.....nothing was wrong with them.......we had went to another channel to do our talking.....on the CB band and sometimes to talk about him we went to USB/LSB to talk as well.....he still dont know what happened or who did it.....since then he moved about 100 miles off from here.....

Joebaby
High Tech Redneck
Rednecks, Inc. of Northwest Mississippi ©2005

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:cxI%d.750982$6l.733978@pd7tw2no...
> Install a bug? I'm not sure I'm following you. You mean set a jammer?
> Yeah --- but then he'd just change frequencies (if that was what you
> meant). How do CB people shut down deliberate interference?
>
> I'll bet there are some interesting stories out there about how this kind
> situation has been dealt with in the past, like I said, I'm sure this is a
> perennial issue.
>
> --
> 73
> Tom
> VA7FAB
> Skype Name:
> va7fab_tom_in_vancouver
>
>

151. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 01:40:11 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <wLOdnWDgRqjk6qLfRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 48
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:itidnf5fq7l0j6PfRVn-3g@adelphia.com...
>
>>DieSea wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Not my LOCAL Node
>>>
>>>Local OP says there's enough contest on HF where SOME SKILL is needed and
>>>says
>>>he's on holiday until the end of April.
>>>
>>>To have contest on TWO DAYS a week is TOO much , for SEVEN Days its
>>>insufferable.
>>
>>I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>>
>>- Mike -
>>
>
>
> Or Baby Monitors ?

That would be really kewl, Dan!

- Mike KB3EIA -

152. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 01:42:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <wLOdnWPgRqiP5aLfRVn-pg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 49
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

```
Simon VK3XEM wrote:

> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> "DieSea" <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom> wrote in message
>> news:423e16e2$0$8756$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk...
>>
>>> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>> news:itidnf5fq7l0j6PfRVn-3g@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>> DieSea wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Not my LOCAL Node
>>>>>
>>>>> Local OP says there's enough contest on HF where SOME SKILL is
>>>>> needed and says
>>>>> he's on holiday until the end of April.
>>>>>
>>>>> To have contest on TWO DAYS a week is TOO much , for SEVEN Days its
>>>
>>>
>>> insufferable.
>>>
>>>> I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>>>>
>>>> - Mike -
>>>>
>>>
>>> They should be , after all they can be used to control an EchoLink or
>>> IRLP Node .
>>>
>>> I Should also add that this contest is on for a FULL MONTH , that's a
>>> full 28 days
>>> or more .
>>>
>>> If I remember MattD had some suitable comment a day or so back.
>>>
>>> DieSea
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
```

>> Great....I'll join in if someone can help me get my CW Key to operate
>> on it.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> There would be no reason why you can't use CW over IRLP. Stop bagging
> IRLP, if you don't like it then don't use it!
>
> WAKE UP! There are so many different things you can do on Amateur
> Radio, there is room for everyone.
>
> I just checked, there are a total of 1591 IRLP nodes, 1034 active, 879
> idle and 105 in calls. This indicates the following to me:
>
> 1. IRLP is a popular way of communications on Amateur Radio.
>
> 2. This contest is going to have very little impact on any one local
> node. Big deal someone is going to dial it up, put out a call either
> they will make a contact or they won't and then they will close the link!
>
> Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags you
> for using it, I know I certainly don't.

But we really NEED to allow this with cell phones, dagnabit! And not
for just Hams, but for everyone.

- Mike KB3EIA -

153. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 01:59:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <OdWdncY-kv6b4aLfRVn-vg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 50
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Simon VK3XEM wrote:

> Walt Davidson wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 18:31:04 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> 1. IRLP is a popular way of communications on Amateur Radio.
>>
>>
>>
>> IRLP has as much to do with Amateur Radio as smoke signals or
>> semaphore.
>>
>> The only difference is, communication by smoke signals or semaphore
>> would be a whole lot more challenging and satisfying than IRLP.
>>
>> 73 de G3NYY
>
>
> WAKE UP! You *MUST* use your radio, the person at the other end *MUST*
> use their radio. Last time I checked that was Amateur Radio.

Here is what the difference is.

There is very little difference between IRLP and people using the
internet for making telephone calls.

If a person made a gateway for IRLP using FRS radios, it would be the
same thing. For CB radio, same thing.

There are voice chat rooms for people on the internet already. They are
probably more reliable than IRLP. THey cut out the weak link - the radio.

The whole setup eliminates every interest and challenge of Amateur
radio, except for the talking. There is as much technical acumen needed
for me to talk around the world using IRLP as there is for me to pick up
the telephone.

> Like I said before there are *MANY* parts to our hobby, I don't knock
> what you do in Amateur Radio, so leave people alone that like IRLP.

Um, no thanks. Seriously, if you want to do IRLP, then have at it. But
if you do silly things like have contests, then I think I'll voice my
opinion if ya don't mind.

> Without new innovations in Amateur Radio we will not get new people into
> the hobby. If we don't get new people into the hobby then Amateur Radio
> will die a natural death and then we will have to find another hobby!

And here is the real kick. Do you actually *think* that IRLP is some
kind of "innovation"? It is the internet equivalent of a phone patch.
Like I say, if that is your think, then fine. But to actually have a
contest for such things is silly.

Of course, last time I checked, there was no law against being silly! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

154. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 02:08:56 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <423f7e0d_2@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 55
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Mike Coslo wrote:
> Simon VK3XEM wrote:
>
>> Walt Davidson wrote:
>>
>>> On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 18:31:04 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> 1. IRLP is a popular way of communications on Amateur Radio.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> IRLP has as much to do with Amateur Radio as smoke signals or
>>> semaphore.
>>>
>>> The only difference is, communication by smoke signals or semaphore
>>> would be a whole lot more challenging and satisfying than IRLP.
>>>
>>> 73 de G3NYY
>>
>>
>>
>> WAKE UP! You *MUST* use your radio, the person at the other end
>> *MUST* use their radio. Last time I checked that was Amateur Radio.
>
>
> Here is what the difference is.
>
>
> There is very little difference between IRLP and people using the
> internet for making telephone calls.
>
> If a person made a gateway for IRLP using FRS radios, it would be
> the same thing. For CB radio, same thing.
>
> There are voice chat rooms for people on the internet already. They
> are probably more reliable than IRLP. THey cut out the weak link - the
> radio.
>
> The whole setup eliminates every interest and challenge of Amateur
> radio, except for the talking. There is as much technical acumen needed

> for me to talk around the world using IRLP as there is for me to pick up
> the telephone.
>
>> Like I said before there are *MANY* parts to our hobby, I don't knock
>> what you do in Amateur Radio, so leave people alone that like IRLP.
>
>
> Um, no thanks. Seriously, if you want to do IRLP, then have at it.
> But if you do silly things like have contests, then I think I'll voice
> my opinion if ya don't mind.
>
>> Without new innovations in Amateur Radio we will not get new people
>> into the hobby. If we don't get new people into the hobby then
>> Amateur Radio will die a natural death and then we will have to find
>> another hobby!
>
>
> And here is the real kick. Do you actually *think* that IRLP is some
> kind of "innovation"? It is the internet equivalent of a phone patch.
> Like I say, if that is your think, then fine. But to actually have a
> contest for such things is silly.
>
> Of course, last time I checked, there was no law against being
> silly! 8^)
>
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Up until now I haven't made comment on the contest, that is probably
because I have no real interest at present for contesting, although that
does change from time to time.

I suppose a month long contest is a long time, although I would have
thought that being spread over a large network such as IRLP it wouldn't
have really created a great deal of traffic on any given node.

Besides, how many operators are going to be contesting all month? Most
I would expect will just jump on in their spare time, just as they would
for normal operating.

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

155. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 02:12:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8ISdnW0F07W54qLfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 51
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Martin, VK2UMJ wrote:

> "Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
> news:aqmt31lvfk49jf8e9levohpttn6i7p0q44@4ax.com...
>
>>On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 18:31:04 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>>
>>How many points would I accrue from working my nearest cellphone
>>node which is about 2 km distant?
>>
>>
>>>>>WAKE UP! There are so many different things you can do on Amateur
>>>Radio, there is room for everyone.
>>
>>Absolutely, but this isn't one of them.
>
>
> I fail to see the difference between using an FM repeater that is linked to
> another repeater on a different band, which is commonly done, and using
> IRLP.... Yet using the repeater is perfectly acceptable?

Umm, do we have FM repeater contests?

> IRLP is, usually, vastly different than EchoLink.. If stations at both ends
> are using radio, then does it really matter how the link between is
> established?

That depends. The idea of using Handi-talkies to talk across the world
by IRLP is interesting after a fashion, but in reality not a lot
different than using a phone patch to do the same.

Using linked repeaters is fine, some of which use links
> outside amateur bands, yet nobody here is suggesting that we put crap on
> those operators!

Frankly, although I use FM repeaters of the linked and normal variety,
they are only for utilitarian purposes, and really aren't all that much
"fun"

> Yes, DX contacts via HF are nice, and may be what many consider to be true
> amateur radio, but not everyone can get on HF bands and if IRLP helps

> increase activity on radio bands then how can it be a bad thing?

It is a dilution of Amateur radio.

> I do, however, agree that a 24/7 contest for a whole 28 days is starting to
> get a bit much! 24/7 for 1 or 2 days should be enough, just like most other
> amateur contests.

Under no circumstances is it "like" other amateur contests.

This is what is a little bothersome about the whole thing. For me to participate in an HF contest, (excluding that I have to do this stuff to get on the air anyhow) I need to erect an antenna system that works fairly well, I have to hone my receiving skills so that I can pull weak signals, and to learn general good operating practices.

Now, what do I need to do with IRLP?.....

The bothersome part is that it seems like too many IRLP'ers and Echolinker's, just don't "get " that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

156. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 02:15:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8ISdnWwF07Va4qLfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 52
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Brian Reay wrote:

> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ilH%d.518$z.20@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>
>>Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>>
>>My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>>internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
>
> connected
>
>>to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want, but it is
>>NOT ham radio.
>>
>
>
> No doubt similar utterances were made when spark transmitters were phased
> out.
>
> Technology moves on, that is the nature of things. No one is forcing you to
> use ILRP or any of the other modes.

Kindly indicate how IRLP is a moving on of technology?

I can do EVERYTHING that IRLP or Echolink can do by eliminating the
silly Handi-Talkie on the end.

It would all work better if the radio part was eliminated.

- Mike KB3EIA -

157. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 02:16:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8ISdnW8F07Vr4qLfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 53
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> "Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
> news:423e780d_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>
>
>>Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags you
>>for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>>
>>
>>--
>>The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>>
>>73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>>http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
>>VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
>
>
> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>
> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET connected
> to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want, but it is
> NOT ham radio.

It is an H-T audio chat room, Dan.

- Mike KB3EIA -

158. Re: spectrum abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 02:21:35 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <PiL%d.752389$8l.548831@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 54

Hah --- good one! I'll bet there's a hundred more out there that are just as good, too. How complicated a deal was it to get position fix on Big John? Was it in the city or the country? With my luck, just as I started to get real strong readings that he's right in front of me I'd look up to see a highrise. Too bad you didn't have a guy in the radio shop in on the play, that way when he brings his gear in to be fixed the problems mysteriously just keep multiplying ...

Tom
Skype Name:
va7fab_tom_in_vancouver

159. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 03:41:00 UTC
From: "Martin, VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@yahoo.invalid>
Message-ID: <423f93f1_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 56
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:8ISdnW0F07W54qLfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com...
> Martin, VK2UMJ wrote:
>
>> "Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
>> news:aqmt31lvfk49jf8e9levohpttn6i7p0q44@4ax.com...
>>
>>>On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 18:31:04 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>>>
>>>How many points would I accrue from working my nearest cellphone
>>>node which is about 2 km distant?
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>WAKE UP! There are so many different things you can do on Amateur
>>>>>>Radio, there is room for everyone.
>>>
>>>Absolutely, but this isn't one of them.
>>
>>
>> I fail to see the difference between using an FM repeater that is linked
>> to another repeater on a different band, which is commonly done, and
>> using IRLP.... Yet using the repeater is perfectly acceptable?
>
> Umm, do we have FM repeater contests?

Yep..... Well, contests that allow FM repeater contacts anyway...

>
>> IRLP is, usually, vastly different than EchoLink.. If stations at both
>> ends are using radio, then does it really matter how the link between is
>> established?
>
> That depends. The idea of using Handi-talkies to talk across the world by
> IRLP is interesting after a fashion, but in reality not a lot different
> than using a phone patch to do the same.
>

True, but even a phone patch at one stage in history was popular and they
both still use radio to some degree. Maybe not everybody's 'cup of tea',
but still neither is CW, or SSTV, or RTTY, etc..

>
> Using linked repeaters is fine, some of which use links
>> outside amateur bands, yet nobody here is suggesting that we put crap on
>> those operators!
>
> Frankly, although I use FM repeaters of the linked and normal variety,
> they are only for utilitarian purposes, and really aren't all that much
> "fun"

I agree, and while I would much prefer to run DX on 50MHz SSB, that isn't always possible so what happens during other times? Do I just switch all the radio gear off and give up, or resort to using repeaters and the like???

>
>> Yes, DX contacts via HF are nice, and may be what many consider to be
>> true amateur radio, but not everyone can get on HF bands and if IRLP
>> helps increase activity on radio bands then how can it be a bad thing?
>
> It is a dilution of Amateur radio.

Voice was a dilution of Amateur Radio way back in the early days where CW was all the go, yet here we are removing CW from the entrance requirements. Rather than criticise those using IRLP, shouldn't we just 'understand' that maybe they use it for a reason and then encourage them to experiment with other modes??? On the other hand, I know of many long time hams that use HF often for DX contacts, even using CW, but at other times (e.g. when mobile, when portable, or when conditions are very poor) they use IRLP to keep in touch with overseas stations AND encourage newcomers to the hobby to chat with people outside their city....

>
>> I do, however, agree that a 24/7 contest for a whole 28 days is starting
>> to get a bit much! 24/7 for 1 or 2 days should be enough, just like most
>> other amateur contests.
>
> Under no circumstances is it "like" other amateur contests.
>
> This is what is a little bothersome about the whole thing. For me to
> participate in an HF contest, (excluding that I have to do this stuff to
> get on the air anyhow) I need to erect an antenna system that works fairly
> well, I have to hone my receiving skills so that I can pull weak signals,
> and to learn general good operating practices.
>
> Now, what do I need to do with IRLP?.....

Erect an an antenna system that works fairly well (depending if you use a repeater or simplex node), learn general good operating practices, get DTMF working on your radio!!!!

>
> The bothersome part is that it seems like too many IRLP'ers and
> Echolinker's, just don't "get " that.

I agree EchoLink is just not amateur radio, although I use it sometimes from inside the house where the XYL wont let me bring my radios! But again, rather than criticise and make fun of their chosen mode, wouldn't we be much better off encouraging the use of other modes????

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

--
Martin, VK2UMJ

To reply by e-mail, replace ".invalid" with ".com.au"

Q. Why is Santa so jolly?
A. Because he knows where all the bad girls live!

160. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 07:08:45 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3a9ujvF665avnU1@individual.net>
Source record: 57
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:8ISdnWwF07Va4qLfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com...

> > No doubt similar utterances were made when spark transmitters were
phased
> > out.
> >
> > Technology moves on, that is the nature of things. No one is forcing you
to
> > use ILRP or any of the other modes.
>
>
> Kindly indicate how IRLP is a moving on of technology?
>
> I can do EVERYTHING that IRLP or Echolink can do by eliminating the
> silly Handi-Talkie on the end.
>
> It would all work better if the radio part was eliminated.

So you've moved on "even further".

Personally, I like the radio bit and, to me, Echolink (or the other VOIP
systems) aren't "real amateur radio". However, I don't feel the urge to tell
you how you should enjoy your hobby. If Echolink does it for you, please
enjoy the hobby in your own way.

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk
www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
FP#898

161. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 09:06:16 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3aa5ciF6692gaU1@individual.net>
Source record: 82
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
> connected to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want,
> but it is NOT ham radio.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Bollocks. That rules out repeater linking and remote shacks using any form
of landline. I hope you can convince the DXCC committee to reject all those
QSL cards from peole using remote bases.

Brad

162. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 09:20:19 UTC
From: Chris Kirby <g4fzn@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <miov3110ffmoc8ilgra2u01b345hcmpeu6@4ax.com>
Source record: 68
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

nana wrote:

>Bollocks. That rules out repeater linking and remote shacks using any form
>of landline. I hope you can convince the DXCC committee to reject all those
>QSL cards from peole using remote bases.
>

QSL cards can be exchanged for any sort of radio contact. There are no
rules. Perfectly ok to QSL after an eQSO/Echolnk/IRLP contact. The
problem will arise for DXCC when the card does not make it clear that
the QSO was not 100% radio, and these cards are used to claim awards.

73,
--
Chris

163. IC-207H Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 12:41:40 UTC
From: Steve K <stevejk@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111495300.808269.302610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 84
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur

Greetings,

I'm looking for Windows software to program the Icom IC-207H mobile.

Thank you for your help.

Steve

164. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 12:45:19 UTC
From: Ron038548@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111495519.643734.60160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 85

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

165. FA: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK>W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 15:36:43 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <eYW%d.4232$Ed4.119@fe10.lga>
Source record: 58
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

No Bids @ $10
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5761524102&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

166. Re: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK>W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 15:51:46 UTC
From: Scan da bands <RK22@msn.com>
Message-ID: <42403a5d$0$19489$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 59
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hey fatboy, I'm tired of your cross-posted spam. I will now report every one
of your abusive spam posts to ebay. Say buh-bye to your account, tubby.
>
"RICH-WA2RQY" <wa2rqy@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:eYW%d.4232$Ed4.119@fe10.lga...
> No Bids @ $10
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5761524102&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>

167. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 20:26:33 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <tpv041pkhr0ollk698gvffhejtfklrk5ms@4ax.com>
Source record: 71
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 13:08:56 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote:

>Besides, how many operators are going to be contesting all month? Most
>I would expect will just jump on in their spare time, just as they would
>for normal operating.

Are you seriously labelling the morons who indulge in this sort
of nonsense "operators"? Seriously?

73 de Jock.
--
"Maybe this world is another planet's Hell."
- Aldous Huxley (1894-1963)

168. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 21:07:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <VN%%d.1955$S46.1019@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Brian Reay" <brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:3a8u8rF5sn3ruU1@individual.net...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ilH%d.518$z.20@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>
>> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>>
>> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
> connected
>> to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want, but it
>> is
>> NOT ham radio.
>>
>
> No doubt similar utterances were made when spark transmitters were phased
> out.
>
> Technology moves on, that is the nature of things. No one is forcing you
> to
> use ILRP or any of the other modes.
>
> --
> Brian Reay
> www.g8osn.org.uk
> www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
> FP#898
>
>
Oh I don't think so Brian. Spark was a MODE not a different system of
sending the information.

CW is continous wave. I.E. still sending information by the same method.
I.E. over the airwaves now called RADIO.

Do you see the difference yet?

I do. And so does anyone else with a brain.

Look...new technology is fine. Old technology is also fine. But these two
things ARE NOT INTERCHANGABLE. Radio is Radio. Internet is Internet. Get
it?

Dan/W4NTI

169. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 21:07:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <IO%%d.1956$S46.421@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 61
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

```
"Stan" <Rubbish@rubbish.com> wrote in message
news:_7I%d.2975$Ab.298@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
>
> "Brian Reay" <brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> news:3a8u8rF5sn3ruU1@individual.net...
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:ilH%d.518$z.20@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>
>>> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>>>
>>> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>>> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
>> connected
>>> to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want, but it
>>> is
>>> NOT ham radio.
>>>
>>
>> No doubt similar utterances were made when spark transmitters were phased
>> out.
>>
>> Technology moves on, that is the nature of things. No one is forcing you
>> to
>> use ILRP or any of the other modes.
>>
>> --
>> Brian Reay
>> www.g8osn.org.uk
>> www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
>> FP#898
>>
>>
> Missed the point there Brian, Dan is complaining about what the mode is
> called, not the fact that it exists..
>
> 73 de Stan, G4EGH.
>

Thank you Stan. Perhaps my American is not up to the English standards,
eh?

Dan/W4NTI
```

170. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 21:14:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <wU%%d.1959$S46.1122@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 67
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:8ISdnW8F07Vr4qLfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>> "Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
>> news:423e780d_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>>
>>
>>>Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags you
>>>for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>>>
>>>
>>>--
>>>The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>>>
>>>73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>>>http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
>>>VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
>>
>>
>> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>>
>> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
>> connected to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want,
>> but it is NOT ham radio.
>
>
> It is an H-T audio chat room, Dan.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
As I said....call it whatever they want. But it ain't Ham Radio.

Dan/W4NTI

171. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 21:15:37 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <ZV%%d.1961$S46.1199@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"nana" <nana@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:3aa5ciF6692gaU1@individual.net...
>> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
>> connected to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want,
>> but it is NOT ham radio.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
> Bollocks. That rules out repeater linking and remote shacks using any form
> of landline. I hope you can convince the DXCC committee to reject all
> those QSL cards from peole using remote bases.
>
> Brad
>

No it does not Brad. It's not the technology it's the terminology.

Dan/W4NTI

172. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 21:16:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <fX%%d.1963$S46.631@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 77
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Chris Kirby" <g4fzn@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:miov3110ffmoc8ilgra2u01b345hcmpeu6@4ax.com...
> nana wrote:
>
>>Bollocks. That rules out repeater linking and remote shacks using any form
>>of landline. I hope you can convince the DXCC committee to reject all
>>those
>>QSL cards from peole using remote bases.
>>
>
> QSL cards can be exchanged for any sort of radio contact. There are no
> rules. Perfectly ok to QSL after an eQSO/Echolnk/IRLP contact. The
> problem will arise for DXCC when the card does not make it clear that
> the QSO was not 100% radio, and these cards are used to claim awards.
>
> 73,
> --
> Chris

Which opens up another area of consternation. Here it is; If you don't do
it via radio, you don't qualify for a ham RADIO award.

Dan/W4NTI

173. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 21:42:19 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3abhpvF68qu2dU1@individual.net>
Source record: 74
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:VN%%d.1955$S46.1019@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> Oh I don't think so Brian. Spark was a MODE not a different system of
> sending the information.
>
> CW is continous wave. I.E. still sending information by the same method.
> I.E. over the airwaves now called RADIO.
>
> Do you see the difference yet?
>
> I do. And so does anyone else with a brain.
>
> Look...new technology is fine. Old technology is also fine. But these
two
> things ARE NOT INTERCHANGABLE. Radio is Radio. Internet is Internet.
Get
> it?

What I don't 'get' is why people get so 'up tight' over such things?

If people enjoy VOIP systems fine, if they don't, fine. Ditto Morse, Packet,
Phone, Repeaters, ...

I've yet to see a facet of the hobby that has adversely impacted my
enjoyment- even if is a facet that leaves me cold.

What is this urge to insist everyone does it 'your way'?

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk
www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
FP#898

174. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 21:46:53 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3abi2hF62ntojU1@individual.net>
Source record: 79
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:IO%%d.1956$S46.421@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>
> > Missed the point there Brian, Dan is complaining about what the mode is
> > called, not the fact that it exists..
> >
> > 73 de Stan, G4EGH.
> >
>
> Thank you Stan. Perhaps my American is not up to the English standards,
> eh?
>

Well, in that case why don't you call it something else. Then come back
when someone makes you call it by the name that upsets you.

Untill then, 'mellow out'.

In the scheme of things to worry about this must rate below which shoes I
wear tomorrow.

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk
www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
FP#898

175. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 22:12:48 UTC
From: Stan <Rubbish@rubbish.com>
Message-ID: <AL00e.3557$Ab.743@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 60
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:IO%%d.1956$S46.421@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>>
>> Missed the point there Brian, Dan is complaining about what the mode is
>> called, not the fact that it exists..
>>
>> 73 de Stan, G4EGH.
>>
>
> Thank you Stan. Perhaps my American is not up to the English standards,
> eh?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
Your English is fine, Dan. Brian sometimes misses the point, which is
surprising, given the education he apparently received :-)

73 de Stan, G4EGH

176. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-22 23:26:36 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <4240a97f$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 81
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Jock. wrote:
> On Tue, 22 Mar 2005 13:08:56 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Besides, how many operators are going to be contesting all month? Most
>>I would expect will just jump on in their spare time, just as they would
>>for normal operating.
>
>
> Are you seriously labelling the morons who indulge in this sort
> of nonsense "operators"? Seriously?
>
> 73 de Jock.

No, I am actually labeling *YOU* as a moron and shit listing you!

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

177. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 00:22:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <_eadnUr00aszK93fRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 66
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Brian Reay wrote:

> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:VN%%d.1955$S46.1019@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > Oh I don't think so Brian. Spark was a MODE not a different system of
>
>>sending the information.
>>
>>CW is continous wave. I.E. still sending information by the same method.
>>I.E. over the airwaves now called RADIO.
>>
>>Do you see the difference yet?
>>
>>I do. And so does anyone else with a brain.
>>
>>Look...new technology is fine. Old technology is also fine. But these
>
> two
>
>>things ARE NOT INTERCHANGABLE. Radio is Radio. Internet is Internet.
>
> Get
>
>>it?
>
>
> What I don't 'get' is why people get so 'up tight' over such things?
>
> If people enjoy VOIP systems fine, if they don't, fine. Ditto Morse, Packet,
> Phone, Repeaters, ...
>
> I've yet to see a facet of the hobby that has adversely impacted my
> enjoyment- even if is a facet that leaves me cold.
>
> What is this urge to insist everyone does it 'your way'?

I believe Dan and myself are *not* insisting that people do things
our way. IIRC, Dan is mainly CW and at least some SSB.

I do probably 90 percent PSK31, a VHF net check-in, and some SSB
contesting.

Have he or I been insisting on people using our favorite modes?

I know that my objection to the internet modes is *not* their existence, but that so many of their adherents want to call them "radio". And having a contest only exacerbates the silliness.

And yes, a person uses their radio to access the network injection point. Not much of a challenge, that.

- Mike KB3EIA -

178. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 00:24:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <_eadnUX00auwKt3fRVn-qw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 76
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Brian Reay wrote:

> Well, in that case why don't you call it something else. Then come back
> when someone makes you call it by the name that upsets you.
>
> Untill then, 'mellow out'.

Dan, why are IRLP fans so quick to tell people to shut up and go away when someone disagrees with them. Are people like you and "concerned amateur" typical of what we might meet if we sample the mode?

- Mike KB3EIA -

179. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 00:32:00 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3abro0F67u1fnU1@individual.net>
Source record: 73
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:_eadnUr00aszK93fRVn-qw@adelphia.com...
>
> I know that my objection to the internet modes is *not* their
> existence, but that so many of their adherents want to call them
> "radio". And having a contest only exacerbates the silliness.

Hmm, and what someone else calls it bothers you? AND they want a contest.
The cheek of it!

This a akin to "How many angels can dance on the head of a pin." Take a
chill pill.

> And yes, a person uses their radio to access the network injection
> point. Not much of a challenge, that.

So don't do it yourself, seek out something you find rewarding rather than
worry how others get their kicks.

Oh I agree that it isn't much challenge but so what? Who are 'they' harming?

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk
www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
FP#898

180. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 00:40:14 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3abs7eF684g5rU1@individual.net>
Source record: 72
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:_eadnUX00auwKt3fRVn-qw@adelphia.com...
>
>
> Brian Reay wrote:
>
>
> > Well, in that case why don't you call it something else. Then come back
> > when someone makes you call it by the name that upsets you.
> >
> > Untill then, 'mellow out'.
>
>
> Dan, why are IRLP fans so quick to tell people to shut up and go away
> when someone disagrees with them. Are people like you and "concerned
> amateur" typical of what we might meet if we sample the mode?
>

I don't think anyone is saying "shut up" etc. I'm saying unless ILRP impacts you, why worry?

Over the years I've come across many amateurs who hated some aspect of the hobby- packet, anything computer based,..... Not one ever seems to have found a niche they really do like.

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk
www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
FP#898

181. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 00:55:20 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <Y730e.8198$C7.5554@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 70
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Only the nominated Participating Nodes may be used for the contest. However,
nodes that are connected to Reflectors that are Participating (ie a UK Nov
node) still count, but only the Participating Nodes may be connected to by
contesters, therefore it is fair to all stations, as only those nominated
in each country are participating nodes. Note that new nodes are added daily
to the participating list.

"DieSea" <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom> wrote in message
news:423e93a3$0$32620$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk...
>
>
>
> > >
> > > It there fore puts the UK amateur at a dis-advantage as soon as the
licensee
> walks
> > > of the property , the node should be turned off.
> > >
> > > DieSea
> >
> > I certainly wouldn't expect anyone to go against the regs. But what has
> > that got to do with the IRLP contest? My point was with so many active
> > nodes out there the load would be spread out and traffic I would expect
> > be very minimal on any given node.
> >
> >
>
> It certainly doesn't make the "PLAYING FIELDS LEVEL"
>
> Our local Node op has been away this weekend helping at the Blackpool
Rally so his
> nodes been down since Friday , its just gone up.
>
> In some respect we're lucky as he's an elderly bloke with mobility
problems , he
> doesn't get out much , so when he's up its on , he's still p***ed off with
this 24
> / 7 x 28 day contest .
>
>
> The Next comment you make is that its radio to radio , that's not 100 %
correct ,
> I know of at least 3 VK's , 2 VE's and at least 5 G / M stations that

don't have a
> radio connected to their node . Its quite easy to confirm that comment
about G / M
> stations if you know where to look.
>
> DieSea.
>
>

182. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 01:03:22 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <4240c02e$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 83
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
> Brian Reay wrote:
>
>
>> Well, in that case why don't you call it something else. Then come back
>> when someone makes you call it by the name that upsets you.
>>
>> Untill then, 'mellow out'.
>
>
>
> Dan, why are IRLP fans so quick to tell people to shut up and go
> away when someone disagrees with them. Are people like you and
> "concerned amateur" typical of what we might meet if we sample the mode?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

The argument I see here is some appear to be *AGAINST* IRLP therefore it should not be allowed on the Amateur Bands, and that is what is annoying me the most.

My attitude is that any Amateur should be free to operate what ever band, mode, etc. they wish provided they are not interfering with others without being hounded by others simply because they don't believe that mode (or what ever you want to call it) should not be on Amateur Radio.

There is plenty of spectrum for us to *SHARE*, to pursue the hobby in the way each of us want to. Although I have not operated CW myself I hope that allocations remain for those that do make use of this part of our hobby. Just because I don't operate a given mode does not mean that I should believe it be abolished.

The great thing with our hobby as I see it is that there are so many different aspects of it to explore, IRLP is just one of them!

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

183. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 01:08:20 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <4240c158$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 65
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Ashley VK3HAG wrote:
> Only the nominated Participating Nodes may be used for the contest. However,
> nodes that are connected to Reflectors that are Participating (ie a UK Nov
> node) still count, but only the Participating Nodes may be connected to by
> contesters, therefore it is fair to all stations, as only those nominated
> in each country are participating nodes. Note that new nodes are added daily
> to the participating list.

That certainly knocks down a lot of the flamers!

>
> "DieSea" <DieSea.NoSpamPlease@ntlworldd.ccom> wrote in message
> news:423e93a3$0$32620$db0fefd9@news.zen.co.uk...
>
>>
>>
>>>>It there fore puts the UK amateur at a dis-advantage as soon as the
>
> licensee
>
>>walks
>>
>>>>of the property , the node should be turned off.
>>>>
>>>>DieSea
>>>
>>>I certainly wouldn't expect anyone to go against the regs. But what has
>>>that got to do with the IRLP contest? My point was with so many active
>>>nodes out there the load would be spread out and traffic I would expect
>>>be very minimal on any given node.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>It certainly doesn't make the "PLAYING FIELDS LEVEL"
>>
>>Our local Node op has been away this weekend helping at the Blackpool
>
> Rally so his
>
>>nodes been down since Friday , its just gone up.
>>
>>In some respect we're lucky as he's an elderly bloke with mobility
>
> problems , he

>
>>doesn't get out much , so when he's up its on , he's still p***ed off with
>
> this 24
>
>>/ 7 x 28 day contest .
>>
>>
>>The Next comment you make is that its radio to radio , that's not 100 %
>
> correct ,
>
>>I know of at least 3 VK's , 2 VE's and at least 5 G / M stations that
>
> don't have a
>
>>radio connected to their node . Its quite easy to confirm that comment
>
> about G / M
>
>>stations if you know where to look.
>>
>>DieSea.
>>
>>
>
>
>

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

184. IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 01:56:50 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <C140e.8277$C7.1806@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 69
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

1st International IRLP Contest 2005

Participating Nodes (Ed. 56) 22/3/2005
1020 Chemainus BC Canada
1080 Kamloops BC Canada
1150 Summerland BC Canada
1230 Calgary AB Canada
1270 Lethbridge AB Canada
1375 Okanagan Falls
1410 Kamloops BC Canada
1547 Penticton BC Canada
1750 Flin Flon MB Canada

2080 Greenwood NS Canada
2120 Peterborough ON Canada
2160 Thornhill ON Canada
2410 Kitchener ON Canada

3018 Houston TX USA
3033 Mountain Home AR USA

3089 Sisters OR USA
3180 Palm Springs CA USA
3197 Kailua-Oahu HI USA
3203 Valdez AK USA

3337 Columbus TX USA
3374 Shaghai China (Subject to operating times single node)
3535 Nebraska NE USA
3608 Colby KS USA
3668 North Shore, Oahu HI USA
3672 GUAM GU USA
3720 Waikiki, Oahu HI USA
3780 Half Moon Bay CA USA
3820 Phoenix AZ USA
3822 Wasilla AK USA
3892 Tucson AZ USA
3893 Tucson AZ USA

4128 Bartow FL USA WC4PEM VHF
4156 Bartow FL USA WC4PEM UHF
4250 Rochester NY USA
4550 Atlanta GA USA
4597 Tiffin OHIO USA
4654 Clearfield UT USA

4850 Aurora IL USA

?4865 Portsmouth VA USA kg4zxk.com
& THE CHESAPEAKE AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE

5130 Maltby SY England
5150 Sheffield SY England
5196 Edinburgh Scotland
5200 Rotherham SY England
5350 Melton Mowbray LE England
5400 Manchester CHESHIRE England
5450 Manchester CHESHIRE England
5474 Sandres Norway
5515 Nuremberg Bayern Germany
5590 Milwaukee WI USA
5635 Leeds WYORK England
5670 Great Falls MT USA
5682 Galway GALWAY Ireland
5717 Greenfield LANCS England
5883 Waterford City WATERFORD Ireland
5994 Barnsley SYORK England
5150 Sheffield SY England

6260 Wagga Wagga NSW Australia
6300 Melbourne Vic Australia
6350 Kangaroo Ground Vic Australia
6390 Melbourne Vic Australia

?6391 Arthurs Seat Vic Australia Bass Amateur Radio IRLP Group

6392 IRLP Conference USA
Operating during conference when time allows. Days of operating are the
16th and 17th April by
VK3JED - Tony

?6405 Gold Coast Qld Australia Sponsor of Trophy
VK4JAA Terry

6527 Coffs Harbour NSW Australia
6549 Waikato VHF Group New Zealand
6600 Karratha WA Australia Subject to operating hours
6610 Albany WA Australia
6620 Collie WA Australia
6700 Mt Barrow North East TAS Australia
6793 Hastings New Zealand
6875 Millicent SA Australia
6887 Seoul Republic of South Korea single node
6943 Feilding MANAWATU New Zealand

7170 Pomona CA USA
7270 South Hadley MA USA
7430 Lakeside MT USA
7500 Valsayn TRINIDAD Trinidad and Tobago

7710 Cocoa FL USA
7773 Aguascalientes AGUAS Mexico
7820 Queens/New York City NY USA
8300 Durban KZ Natal South Africa
8467 White River MPUMALANGA South Africa
8600 Eindohoven Netherlands
8620 Eindohoven Netherlands
8900 Madras TN India single node
8904 Aguascalientes AGUAS Mexico

Participating Reflector List

9050 North East Reflector please refrain from using sub-channels
9058 North East Reflector please refrain from using sub-channels
9075 Alaska Reflector please refrain from using sub channels
9213 Raleigh Reflector
9250 Western Reflector Please refrain from using sub-channels
9500 Sydney Reflector NSW Australia
9870 Denver Reflector Please refrain from using sub-channels

THE CONTEST IS FOR IRLP MODE ONLY

RULES:

The contest will be held starting MARCH 18 at 0001 GMT and ending APRIL 17
2359 GMT

POINTS WILL BE AWARDED AS FOLLOWS-

1. 1 point for a contact with someone not in the contest in your own
country
2. 2 points for a contact with someone in the contest in your own country
3. 2 points for a contact with someone in another country who is not in the
contest
4. 3 points for contact with someone in the contest in another country
5. 5 points for contact with single node countries and special event
stations such as the International Space Station etc

6. The following information must be included in your log
NAME
QTH
NODE #
CONTACT NUMBER-I.E.- You are number 001

Any contacts without this information will be counted as a single point
contact.

7. At present the logging program that will be used will be DXKEEPER, which
is available free, on line.
8. For those without computers, paper logs will be sent to BRUCE DEHN
306 CRIPPLE CREEK CT.
V.A. BEACH, V.A. USA
Logs should be sent in within 30 days of the end of the contest.

9. No duplicates allowed. one contact per callsign.
10. All nodes and reflectors may be used as long as the node/reflectors allow. persons who abuse this privilege will be disallowed from the prizes.
11. Only voice communications allowed. (Maybe next year we will allow other modes)
12. Electronic logs are to be emailed to apostle465@netscape.net for disposition.
(keep a copy of your logs for your own records)
13 Please include as much information as possible in your logs if you want an accurate count. again, please note with each entry, what you think the point count should be for that contact.
14. All amateur radio rules and regulations apply especially any involved with nodes/reflectors.

TRY AND KEEP TO THE RULES AND DON'T ABUSE THE NODES/REFLECTORS.
HAVE FUN AND HELP PROMOTE IRLP 'KEEPING THE RADIO IN AMATEUR RADIO' AS A VIABLE METHOD OF COMMUNICATIONS

--
VK3HAG

I'm up to contact #30, others have reached the 100+ mark

185. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 02:02:29 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <V640e.8281$C7.4062@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 63
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

I choose to use IRLP as it allows me to connect to hams interstate and overseas, until I can get HF gear put up, which seeing as we are moving, not much point until at new QTH later this year. Personally, as a newbie to ham radio, (but long time cb'er and scanner user) it is a great way to get one interested in amateur radio. When I have a HF set-up I will have a list of contacts overseas I have made via IRLP and try to contact them on HF.

As a newcomer to ham radio IRLP has made me want to get my HF gear, hf antennas etc sooner, ie IRLP has encouraged me to make international contacts on HF one day

As for the IRLP contest I think it's great, because I've never been in any AR contest, although after listening for a while I did give out some numbers for the John Moyle Field Day. To me the IRLP contest is a great way to have fun and at the same time learn about contesting, as a newbie to AR I've never being in a contest before, nor did I know what they were all about. Yes, I do read, but if your new to ham radio, all that information on the various AR contests in AR magazine didn't make any sense until I started participating in the IRLP contest, now I've got some idea of how a contest works, instead of having to learn in the middle of a pile-up. (Like the one at the beginning and end of each three hour block of the JMFD, here in Melbourne the 2m/70cm FM call channels where very alive, to say the least) Note also that I intend to try many different aspects of ar besides FM repeaters, FM simplex and IRLP.

As a newcomer to ham radio the IRLP contest meant that I participated (gave out about 15pts as Home Station) in the John Moyle Field Day, which I wouldn't have done without the previous two days practice at contesting on the IRLP mode, as I didn't have a clue about contesting.

So, IRLP is bad because it's introduced a new ham to contesting and international contacts. I'm so disappointed that IRLP taught me these skills. Not.

Now, I'm looking forward to the next Australian contest that includes V/UHF FM and I'll participate, as I will in HF contests when I have HF gear. The IRLP Contest has provided the opportunity for me to be introduced to, and learn about contesting in a contest that is relaxed-pace one, so unlike a 'pile-up' on HF with a newcomer trying in vain to get through, I've got some practice at calling "CQ Contest" and responding.

And the last one for a 'newbie'. No I don't know CW. Will I learn CW? Yes. After a while, once I have been a ham for a while I will start to practice CW. I do have a key and I do listen to CW practice transmissions from time to time.

The contest goes for a month so there would be no 'pile ups', so the end would co-incide with the IRLP Conference in Las Vegas, and the operation of Node 6392 by VK3JED in Las Vegas! Further, the Node Owners of all the Participating Stations have given the Bass IRLP Group permission to use thier nodes for the contest. If the knockers took a look at the Participating Nodes list they would see that the UK and USA have many more nodes listed than other countries.

Should I try packet and satellite work? How about ATV? I'd ask, but too many people in here will say "that's not ham radio" so I'll try them all anyway and see what takes my fancy :)

PS:Any VK3's with a packet TNC to sell or know where to get one from?

VK3HAG@NERG.NOSPAM.ASN.NET.

"Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message news:4240c02e$1_1@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> >
> > Brian Reay wrote:
> >
> >
> >> Well, in that case why don't you call it something else. Then come back
> >> when someone makes you call it by the name that upsets you.
> >>
> >> Untill then, 'mellow out'.
> >
> >
> >
> > Dan, why are IRLP fans so quick to tell people to shut up and go
> > away when someone disagrees with them. Are people like you and
> > "concerned amateur" typical of what we might meet if we sample the mode?
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> The argument I see here is some appear to be *AGAINST* IRLP therefore it
> should not be allowed on the Amateur Bands, and that is what is annoying
> me the most.
>
> My attitude is that any Amateur should be free to operate what ever
> band, mode, etc. they wish provided they are not interfering with others
> without being hounded by others simply because they don't believe that
> mode (or what ever you want to call it) should not be on Amateur Radio.
>
> There is plenty of spectrum for us to *SHARE*, to pursue the hobby in
> the way each of us want to. Although I have not operated CW myself I
> hope that allocations remain for those that do make use of this part of
> our hobby. Just because I don't operate a given mode does not mean that
> I should believe it be abolished.

>
> The great thing with our hobby as I see it is that there are so many
> different aspects of it to explore, IRLP is just one of them!
>
>
> --
> The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>
> 73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
> VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

186. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 02:22:14 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <-4KdnRy7RpxIT93fRVn-og@adelphia.com>
Source record: 78
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Martin, VK2UMJ wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:8ISdnW0F07W54qLfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com...
>
>>Martin, VK2UMJ wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
>>>news:aqmt31lvfk49jf8e9levohpttn6i7p0q44@4ax.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 21 Mar 2005 18:31:04 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>I don't understand why cell phones aren't included.
>>>>
>>>>How many points would I accrue from working my nearest cellphone
>>>>node which is about 2 km distant?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>WAKE UP! There are so many different things you can do on Amateur
>>>>>Radio, there is room for everyone.
>>>>
>>>>Absolutely, but this isn't one of them.
>>>
>>>
>>>I fail to see the difference between using an FM repeater that is linked
>>>to another repeater on a different band, which is commonly done, and
>>>using IRLP.... Yet using the repeater is perfectly acceptable?
>>
>>Umm, do we have FM repeater contests?
>
>
> Yep..... Well, contests that allow FM repeater contacts anyway...
>
>
>>>IRLP is, usually, vastly different than EchoLink.. If stations at both
>>>ends are using radio, then does it really matter how the link between is
>>>established?

>>
>>That depends. The idea of using Handi-talkies to talk across the world by
>>IRLP is interesting after a fashion, but in reality not a lot different
>>than using a phone patch to do the same.
>>
>
>
> True, but even a phone patch at one stage in history was popular and they
> both still use radio to some degree. Maybe not everybody's 'cup of tea',
> but still neither is CW, or SSTV, or RTTY, etc..

Certainly. One of the major reasons that I got into Ham radio *was* the
phone patch. One of my other hobbies is off road 4WD'ing. North of my
QTH is a great place for that. Unfortunately, I sometimes get stuck, and
extricating myself can take anywhere from a few minutes to half a day
(and I think you IRLP'ers have some odd ideas on how to have fun!!)
hehehe but I digress. My XYL gets a bit worried when I don't show up for
dinner, and cell phones just don't work that far out in the woods. But I
can patch in with either my handheld or truck radio, and let her know
I'll be late. All this much to the amusement of my local Ham bud's.

>
>> Using linked repeaters is fine, some of which use links
>>
>>>outside amateur bands, yet nobody here is suggesting that we put crap on
>>>those operators!
>>
>>Frankly, although I use FM repeaters of the linked and normal variety,
>>they are only for utilitarian purposes, and really aren't all that much
>>"fun"
>
>
> I agree, and while I would much prefer to run DX on 50MHz SSB, that isn't
> always possible so what happens during other times? Do I just switch all
> the radio gear off and give up, or resort to using repeaters and the like???

Well, I don't know what your situation is for the lower HF bands. But
remember, my issue isn't with the mode, even though I don't care for it.
I just think things like contests are silly.

>
>>>Yes, DX contacts via HF are nice, and may be what many consider to be
>>>true amateur radio, but not everyone can get on HF bands and if IRLP
>>>helps increase activity on radio bands then how can it be a bad thing?
>>
>>It is a dilution of Amateur radio.
>
>
> Voice was a dilution of Amateur Radio way back in the early days where CW
> was all the go, yet here we are removing CW from the entrance requirements.

Well, I can see a difference when most of the transmission is via fiber
rather than the air.

> Rather than criticise those using IRLP, shouldn't we just 'understand' that
> maybe they use it for a reason and then encourage them to experiment with
> other modes??? On the other hand, I know of many long time hams that use HF
> often for DX contacts, even using CW, but at other times (e.g. when mobile,
> when portable, or when conditions are very poor) they use IRLP to keep in
> touch with overseas stations AND encourage newcomers to the hobby to chat
> with people outside their city....

Or, will it encoiurage newcomers to simply stay at the lowes level,
because if chatting to people around the world is their goal, then they
have achieved it. As I have said, if that is what floats their boat,
then so be it.

>>>I do, however, agree that a 24/7 contest for a whole 28 days is starting
>>>to get a bit much! 24/7 for 1 or 2 days should be enough, just like most
>>>other amateur contests.
>>
>>Under no circumstances is it "like" other amateur contests.
>>
>>This is what is a little bothersome about the whole thing. For me to
>>participate in an HF contest, (excluding that I have to do this stuff to
>>get on the air anyhow) I need to erect an antenna system that works fairly
>>well, I have to hone my receiving skills so that I can pull weak signals,
>>and to learn general good operating practices.
>>
>>Now, what do I need to do with IRLP?.....
>
>
> Erect an an antenna system that works fairly well (depending if you use a
> repeater or simplex node), learn general good operating practices, get DTMF
> working on your radio!!!!

And let us hope they don't stop there!

>
>>The bothersome part is that it seems like too many IRLP'ers and
>>Echolinker's, just don't "get " that.
>
>
> I agree EchoLink is just not amateur radio, although I use it sometimes from
> inside the house where the XYL wont let me bring my radios!

hehe, my XYL was also pretty critical. I did eventually tell her that
I was thinking about taking up a new hobby in place of amateur radio.
The new hobby was going to bars and chatting with loose "wimmin". I
retrospect, the ham radio didn't seem so bad. Now as long as my antennas
aren't too obvious, she doesn't complain too much.

But again,
> rather than criticise and make fun of their chosen mode, wouldn't we be much

> better off encouraging the use of other modes????

Oh, heck, when you put it *that* way..... 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

187. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 02:25:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zLidnXbkgvM4Tt3fRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 75
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Brian Reay wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:_eadnUr00aszK93fRVn-qw@adelphia.com...
> >
>
>>I know that my objection to the internet modes is *not* their
>>existence, but that so many of their adherents want to call them
>>"radio". And having a contest only exacerbates the silliness.
>
>
> Hmm, and what someone else calls it bothers you? AND they want a contest.
> The cheek of it!

Quite!

> This a akin to "How many angels can dance on the head of a pin." Take a
> chill pill.

Brian, Chill pills are for the upset. Its just my opinion.

- Mike KB3EIA -

188. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 02:28:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zLidnXHkgvPYSd3fRVn-sA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 80
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Brian Reay wrote:

> I don't think anyone is saying "shut up" etc. I'm saying unless ILRP impacts
> you, why worry?

Right. II understan you, but at least two peopple here have told me to
shut up. Or go away, or something like that.

Considering that this is a Usenet group, wouldn't shutting up and going
away deprive them of a good row?

- Mike KB3EIA -

189. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 03:54:05 UTC
From: wonderer <afor2217@bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <xL50e.8404$C7.3485@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 87
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:wU%%d.1959$S46.1122@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:8ISdnW8F07Vr4qLfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com...
>>
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>
>>> "Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
>>> news:423e780d_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags you
>>>>for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>--
>>>>The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>>>>
>>>>73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>>>>http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
>>>>VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
>>>
>>>
>>> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>>>
>>> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>>> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
>>> connected to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you
>>> want, but it is NOT ham radio.
>>
>>
>> It is an H-T audio chat room, Dan.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
> As I said....call it whatever they want. But it ain't Ham Radio.

oh!! yes it is

let's take it one bit at a time

R = repeater = radio, receiver of repeater linked to
transmitter of a repeater in another country
the reverse is true.

I = internet, no problems here

L is for linking, no problems here

P is for protocol, to enable the two repeaters
to be able to be linked to gether, it is
radio at both ends.

what problem do you have with this

ALF VK5ZKL

>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

190. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 05:07:05 UTC
From: "Martin, VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@yahoo.invalid>
Message-ID: <4240f98b_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 86
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:-4KdnRy7RpxIT93fRVn-og@adelphia.com...
> Martin, VK2UMJ wrote:
>
[SNIP]

>> I agree, and while I would much prefer to run DX on 50MHz SSB, that isn't
>> always possible so what happens during other times? Do I just switch all
>> the radio gear off and give up, or resort to using repeaters and the
>> like???
>
> Well, I don't know what your situation is for the lower HF bands. But
> remember, my issue isn't with the mode, even though I don't care for it. I
> just think things like contests are silly.

Well, perhaps.. Then again *I* think that CW contests are silly!!!! ;-)
(now watch as I am slowly flamed by all the CW operators!!)

No, seriously, I agree that perhaps "contest" was a poor choice of titles
for it, although I see what they are trying to do - just the same as we are
all trying to do and get people active in some way. I also think the 28
days was a tad too long, for *any* 'contest'. Maybe if it only included
simplex nodes, or at least a special category to encourage these, that
would've been better as then at least there is some additional skill needed
to erect an antenna suitable for simplex contacts (a piece of string often
suffices for repeaters!!).

[SNIP]

>> Voice was a dilution of Amateur Radio way back in the early days where CW
>> was all the go, yet here we are removing CW from the entrance
>> requirements.
>
> Well, I can see a difference when most of the transmission is via fiber
> rather than the air.
>

Yes, so can I, but amateur 'radio' encompases many, many different
technologies. The days of "wireless" are long gone, decades ago the thought
of connecting a computer to give Packet or SSTV would no doubt have also
been frowned upon as 'not amateur radio'.....

>
>> Rather than criticise those using IRLP, shouldn't we just 'understand'
>> that maybe they use it for a reason and then encourage them to experiment

>> with other modes??? On the other hand, I know of many long time hams
>> that use HF often for DX contacts, even using CW, but at other times
>> (e.g. when mobile, when portable, or when conditions are very poor) they
>> use IRLP to keep in touch with overseas stations AND encourage newcomers
>> to the hobby to chat with people outside their city....
>
> Or, will it encoiurage newcomers to simply stay at the lowes level,
> because if chatting to people around the world is their goal, then they
> have achieved it. As I have said, if that is what floats their boat, then
> so be it.
>

I think that depends a lot on several factors, including the attitude of fellow amateurs and how much encouragement we give them to try other bands. Of course, if money or space limits your abilities then perhaps IRLP will be the only option used, but I would much prefer in that case a licensed amateur use IRLP rather than see them just give up their licence and leave the hobby!

For those that can set up other bands, it is up to fellow amateurs (I believe) to 'spark their interest' and encorage them. I have seen young Scouts and Guides amazed at how they can chat via radio to people all over the world, but often the lead up to them experiencing that is to get them on Packet and show them how a computer can be linked to another computer without using phone lines.. By sparking their interest using something they know (computers), we get them to try radio...

[SNIP]

>> Erect an an antenna system that works fairly well (depending if you use a
>> repeater or simplex node), learn general good operating practices, get
>> DTMF working on your radio!!!!
>
> And let us hope they don't stop there!
>

Too true!! It would certainly be nice to see them progress and take part in other 'on air' contests next year!

>>
>>>The bothersome part is that it seems like too many IRLP'ers and
>>>Echolinker's, just don't "get " that.
>>
>>
>> I agree EchoLink is just not amateur radio, although I use it sometimes
>> from inside the house where the XYL wont let me bring my radios!
>
> hehe, my XYL was also pretty critical. I did eventually tell her that I
> was thinking about taking up a new hobby in place of amateur radio. The
> new hobby was going to bars and chatting with loose "wimmin". I
> retrospect, the ham radio didn't seem so bad. Now as long as my antennas
> aren't too obvious, she doesn't complain too much.

>

I have no problems with antenna's from the XYL, just none of those damn
noisy radio's inside! Has something to do with not enough room I guess.....

> But again,
>> rather than criticise and make fun of their chosen mode, wouldn't we be
>> much better off encouraging the use of other modes????
>
> Oh, heck, when you put it *that* way........ 8^)

I fully understand your feelings about IRLP, but I just don't think that
publicly criticising those that choose to use the mode is of any benefit, to
anyone! If you (or anyone) truly felt strongly enough about the length of
the contest, then I'm sure that politely worded notes to the organisers
would've gotten much further than some of the whinges we have seen on here!
Otherwise, for those that simply don't like IRLP, for whatever reason, is
there really any benefit to telling everyone this? I don't like CW, but I
don't make a big fuss everytime a CW contest is announces - I simply don't
take part in it! Likewise for those that don't like Packet, or RTTY, or
SSTV - I guess they just avoid those modes. So why should we start a debate
about IRLP that just ends up making us all look rather childish?????

Anyway, have fun and don't get too bogged in that 4WD!!!!

--
Martin, VK2UMJ

To reply by e-mail, replace ".invalid" with ".com.au"

Press any key to continue, or any other key to exit.

191. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 06:58:42 UTC
From: blackbox <blackbox@limited.com>
Message-ID: <Cs80e.3692$Ab.1529@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 88
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

As I Australian living in the UK, I personally can't understand the "Dial-A-Contest" principle, so Ashley it's not just "whinging poms" that can't understand the need to have this pointless contests on the Internet.

>"It isn't the good old days of the colonies when people actually gave a >rats what pommies had to say"

Didn't Australia just vote to keep the monarchy? I believe we did and voted to continue to be ruled by the UK.

I personally voted against, but the majority voted to continue being ruled by the UK, so in a way Ashley our Country agreed to "give a rats" what the UK poms say"

Sorry just had to throw that in the lighten the mood :)

BB

192. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 07:00:25 UTC
From: blackbox <blackbox@limited.com>
Message-ID: <du80e.3693$Ab.2247@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 89
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

/BB curses at that dam spell checker ;)

193. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 07:15:04 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3acjboF67fhveU1@individual.net>
Source record: 90
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:zLidnXbkgvM4Tt3fRVn-sA@adelphia.com...
> Brian Reay wrote:
>
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:_eadnUr00aszK93fRVn-qw@adelphia.com...
> > >
> >
> >>I know that my objection to the internet modes is *not* their
> >>existence, but that so many of their adherents want to call them
> >>"radio". And having a contest only exacerbates the silliness.
> >
> >
> > Hmm, and what someone else calls it bothers you? AND they want a
contest.
> > The cheek of it!
>
> Quite!

You seem a bit sensitive.

>
> > This a akin to "How many angels can dance on the head of a pin." Take a
> > chill pill.
>
> Brian, Chill pills are for the upset.

Well, you certainly seem upset.

>Its just my opinion.

Fair enough, and live by it by simply having nothing to do with VOIP etc.
Leave those who like it to do their own thing.

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk
www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
FP#898

194. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 08:46:31 UTC
From: G-S <gh@castbus.com.au>
Message-ID: <1142b75qop4q07@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 91
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

blackbox wrote:

>
> Didn't Australia just vote to keep the monarchy? I believe we did and
> voted to continue to be ruled by the UK.

I didn't vote for the system to be altered because they didn't offer a directly elected option, and if the claytons republic option had gotten through then no second chance would have been available.

This way the republic is still on the agenda and there is likely to be another referendum in the medium term (say the next 20 years), with a good chance it'll include a directly elected president (or Judge Advocate General) or whatever you want to call the re-named directly elected replacement for the govenor general.

G-S VK3DMN

195. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 13:56:07 UTC
From: Ron038652@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111586166.984841.154730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 92

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

196. Trouble with motorola P080

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 16:26:53 UTC
From: Jacek <a_jaco@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <d1s568$if1$1@node2.news.atman.pl>
Source record: 93

Hello!
I'm Motorola P080 tranceiver owner. The one I use went probably broken - it gives a short beep afrer powerin on and displays "EPM ERR" message.
Possible cause of this malfunction is application (Tuner32 for Motorola programming) failure during the programming procedure.
Some parameters of my TRX were changed, but this application doen't allow me to restore their last values. My attempts to restore some older configuration files don't give any results.
I also tried to use EntryLevelProgramming application - it also gives no positive results. I'd appreciate any suggestions, how to repair it.

Best regards, Jacek.

197. Re: What is the range of a VX-150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 17:03:23 UTC
From: TJ <tjtrucker@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <vjh0e.765632$8l.583585@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 94

"Gary S." <Idontwantspam@net> wrote in message
news:l9hj219rc822gmo18406ofoimpr3fo9fko@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 5 Mar 2005 09:33:29 -0500, "James" <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
> wrote:
>
>>That is cb lingo. "If I put my radio on 2 meter, it gets hot."
>>
>>CB lingo, pure and simple.....
>>
> I wouldn't know. Not ever active with CB, although I do have one in my
> random emergency radios.
>
> It is the term that I hear hundreds of hams using 2 meter gear use.
> May not be grammatically correct, but is common usage.
>
> One could also think of it as an adjective form rather than a noun
> form.
>
> But I wouldn't want to interfere with your campaign against everyone
> who is not exactly like you.
>
> I did note that your additions to this thread had exactly zero to do
> with the original question.
>
> Happy trails,
> Gary (net.yogi.bear)
> --
> At the 51st percentile of ursine intelligence
>
> Gary D. Schwartz, Needham, MA, USA
> Please reply to: garyDOTschwartzATpoboxDOTcom

I gather none you are licences amateur radio operators, 2 meters is in
reference to the 2 meter band, aka 144 to 148 Mega Hertz. And the mode of
operation is FM aka Frequency Modulation. It's not a "cb" childrens band
lingo, it's actually "Amateur Radio or Professional Radio" lingo.

73 (not 73s)...de ve7agw Al

198. New DX Radio Forum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 18:25:30 UTC
From: g0ylm@gb7mbc.net
Message-ID: <1111602330.625478.83280@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 95

new DX and DXcluster forum at http://www.gb7mbc.net/forum/
Enjoy

199. Re: What is the range of a VX-150?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 18:57:25 UTC
From: "Gary S." <Idontwantspam@net>
Message-ID: <uhe3415s3ciujtgduka6a6v8vqd8bhge3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 96

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 17:03:23 GMT, "TJ" <tjtrucker@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>"Gary S." <Idontwantspam@net> wrote in message
>news:l9hj219rc822gmo18406ofoimpr3fo9fko@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 5 Mar 2005 09:33:29 -0500, "James" <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>That is cb lingo. "If I put my radio on 2 meter, it gets hot."
>>>
>>>CB lingo, pure and simple.....
>>>
>> I wouldn't know. Not ever active with CB, although I do have one in my
>> random emergency radios.
>>
>> It is the term that I hear hundreds of hams using 2 meter gear use.
>> May not be grammatically correct, but is common usage.
>>
>> One could also think of it as an adjective form rather than a noun
>> form.
>>
>> But I wouldn't want to interfere with your campaign against everyone
>> who is not exactly like you.
>>
>> I did note that your additions to this thread had exactly zero to do
>> with the original question.
>>
>> Happy trails,
>> Gary (net.yogi.bear)

>I gather none you are licences amateur radio operators, 2 meters is in
>reference to the 2 meter band, aka 144 to 148 Mega Hertz. And the mode of
>operation is FM aka Frequency Modulation. It's not a "cb" childrens band
>lingo, it's actually "Amateur Radio or Professional Radio" lingo.
>
>73 (not 73s)...de ve7agw Al
>
I am a licensed ham operator who uses the 2 meter band frequently. I
have picked up usage from other hams. This is the most common band
used for public service events.

Have not touched CB/11 meter very much, and none recently. Too much
noise from those operating illegally overpowered units. Probably still
have one in the basement. These days, I would not think it reliable
enough to use for any emergency use, and FRS to be superior for the

car-to-car usage within a caravan.

James is merely trying to stir up trouble, and does not care to help new hams. Many others like that who are anti-Elmers.

Yes, 73 is enough, no need for 73s,

Happy trails,
Gary (net.yogi.bear)
--
At the 51st percentile of ursine intelligence

Gary D. Schwartz, Needham, MA, USA
Please reply to: garyDOTschwartzATpoboxDOTcom

200. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 19:14:33 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <fvf341lm3671qi9ln31ia2ajkclu6kvobo@4ax.com>
Source record: 97
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

On Wed, 23 Mar 2005 12:08:20 +1100, Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote:

>Ashley VK3HAG wrote:
>> Only the nominated Participating Nodes may be used for the contest. However,
>> nodes that are connected to Reflectors that are Participating (ie a UK Nov
>> node) still count, but only the Participating Nodes may be connected to by
>> contesters, therefore it is fair to all stations, as only those nominated
>> in each country are participating nodes. Note that new nodes are added daily
>> to the participating list.
>
>That certainly knocks down a lot of the flamers!

Really? To me it just makes the intending participants look even
sillier than before.

73 de Jock.
--
"Maybe this world is another planet's Hell."
- Aldous Huxley (1894-1963)

201. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 21:01:12 UTC
From: Kate <vk4xyl@nospam.ft.net.au>
Message-ID: <4241d8ef$0$269$61c65585@uq-127creek-reader-03.brisbane.pipenetworks.com.au>
Source record: 98
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"blackbox" <blackbox@limited.com> wrote in message
news:du80e.3693$Ab.2247@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> /BB curses at that dam spell checker ;)
>
>
<pedant>
Damn
</pedant>

Kate vk4xyl :-)

202. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 22:09:24 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <4241e887.2290795@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 99
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Brian Reay" <brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote:

: No doubt similar utterances were made when spark transmitters were phased
: out.

Dear Dear Brian.

you mean...you dont know ???

Surley you could have come up with a more definite statement than THAT ??

:
: Technology moves on, that is the nature of things. No one is forcing you to
: use ILRP or any of the other modes.
but yet you force M3 candiates to do a MORSE ASSESSMENT ??

No UK morse assessment pass = no UK licence whatsoever (FACT!)

COMPULSORY MORSE remains in the UK...
something you had a problem with prior to ITU meeting.

have a really really nice day Brian.

203. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 22:10:41 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <4241e925.2448737@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 100
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Brian Reay" <brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote:

: I don't think anyone is saying "shut up" etc. I'm saying unless ILRP impacts
: you, why worry?

morse test didnt impact you but you still shoutred from the roof tops and
wrote loads of emails to anyone who would rwad them ?

:
: Over the years I've come across many amateurs who hated some aspect of the
: hobby- packet, anything computer based,..... Not one ever seems to have
: found a niche they really do like.
yeh... you disagreed witht he morse test...
but now enforce the morse assessment COMPULSRY REQUIREMENT

204. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-23 23:59:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <9pn0e.2394$z.439@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 101
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:_eadnUX00auwKt3fRVn-qw@adelphia.com...
>
>
> Brian Reay wrote:
>
>
>> Well, in that case why don't you call it something else. Then come back
>> when someone makes you call it by the name that upsets you.
>>
>> Untill then, 'mellow out'.
>
>
> Dan, why are IRLP fans so quick to tell people to shut up and go away when
> someone disagrees with them. Are people like you and "concerned amateur"
> typical of what we might meet if we sample the mode?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
Probably so.

Dan/W4NTI

205. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 00:00:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Dqn0e.2397$z.171@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 102
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Brian Reay" <brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:3abs7eF684g5rU1@individual.net...
>
>
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:_eadnUX00auwKt3fRVn-qw@adelphia.com...
>>
>>
>> Brian Reay wrote:
>>
>>
>> > Well, in that case why don't you call it something else. Then come
>> > back
>> > when someone makes you call it by the name that upsets you.
>> >
>> > Untill then, 'mellow out'.
>>
>>
>> Dan, why are IRLP fans so quick to tell people to shut up and go away
>> when someone disagrees with them. Are people like you and "concerned
>> amateur" typical of what we might meet if we sample the mode?
>>
>
> I don't think anyone is saying "shut up" etc. I'm saying unless ILRP
> impacts
> you, why worry?
>
> Over the years I've come across many amateurs who hated some aspect of
> the
> hobby- packet, anything computer based,..... Not one ever seems to
> have
> found a niche they really do like.
>
>
> --
> Brian Reay
> www.g8osn.org.uk
> www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
> FP#898
>
>
There you go putting words into my mouth again Brian. I never said I hate

IRLP. I said I don't like it being called Ham Radio.

And I don't much care if you like my opinion or not.

So there.

Dan/W4NTI

206. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 00:10:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <Wzn0e.2411$z.1312@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 103
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

```
"wonderer" <afor2217@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
news:xL50e.8404$C7.3485@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:wU%%d.1959$S46.1122@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>> news:8ISdnW8F07Vr4qLfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com...
>>>
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>
>>>> "Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:423e780d_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags
>>>>>you for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>--
>>>>>The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>>>>>
>>>>>73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>>>>>http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
>>>>>VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>>>>
>>>> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>>>> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
>>>> connected to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you
>>>> want, but it is NOT ham radio.
>>>
>>>
>>> It is an H-T audio chat room, Dan.
>>>
>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>> As I said....call it whatever they want. But it ain't Ham Radio.
>
> oh!! yes it is
```

> let's take it one bit at a time
>
> R = repeater = radio, receiver of repeater linked to
> transmitter of a repeater in another country
> the reverse is true.
>
> I = internet, no problems here
>
> L is for linking, no problems here
>
> P is for protocol, to enable the two repeaters
> to be able to be linked to gether, it is
> radio at both ends.
>
> what problem do you have with this
>
> ALF VK5ZKL
>
>

Gawd Almighty you Ausies are a thick headed bunch, ain't ya?

Ham RADIO is communications with a RADIO or a RADIO system.

INTERNET is communications with a NETWORK of interconnected computers
organized in such a manner to send "packets" of data world-wide.

Do you see any mention of RADIO there?

Next.

Dan/W4NTI

207. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 00:12:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <_Bn0e.2414$z.479@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 104
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Brian Reay" <brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:3abhpvF68qu2dU1@individual.net...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:VN%%d.1955$S46.1019@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > Oh I don't think so Brian. Spark was a MODE not a different system of
>> sending the information.
>>
>> CW is continous wave. I.E. still sending information by the same
>> method.
>> I.E. over the airwaves now called RADIO.
>>
>> Do you see the difference yet?
>>
>> I do. And so does anyone else with a brain.
>>
>> Look...new technology is fine. Old technology is also fine. But these
> two
>> things ARE NOT INTERCHANGABLE. Radio is Radio. Internet is Internet.
> Get
>> it?
>
> What I don't 'get' is why people get so 'up tight' over such things?
>
> If people enjoy VOIP systems fine, if they don't, fine. Ditto Morse,
> Packet,
> Phone, Repeaters, ...
>
> I've yet to see a facet of the hobby that has adversely impacted my
> enjoyment- even if is a facet that leaves me cold.
>
> What is this urge to insist everyone does it 'your way'?
>
> --
> Brian Reay
> www.g8osn.org.uk
> www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
> FP#898
>
>

Because I am right, and you are wrong. Further more I am NOT trying to
force you to believe it my way. I am just trying to show you that you are W
R O N G.

Keep calling IRLP or VOIP Ham radio all you want. The rest of us know better.

Dan/W4NTI

208. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 00:15:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <qEn0e.2417$z.925@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 105
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Brian Reay" <brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:3abro0F67u1fnU1@individual.net...
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:_eadnUr00aszK93fRVn-qw@adelphia.com...
> >
>> I know that my objection to the internet modes is *not* their
>> existence, but that so many of their adherents want to call them
>> "radio". And having a contest only exacerbates the silliness.
>
> Hmm, and what someone else calls it bothers you? AND they want a
> contest.
> The cheek of it!
>
> This a akin to "How many angels can dance on the head of a pin." Take a
> chill pill.
>
>> And yes, a person uses their radio to access the network injection
>> point. Not much of a challenge, that.
>
> So don't do it yourself, seek out something you find rewarding rather than
> worry how others get their kicks.
>
> Oh I agree that it isn't much challenge but so what? Who are 'they'
> harming?
>
> --
> Brian Reay
> www.g8osn.org.uk
> www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
> FP#898
>
>

It seems to me the ONLY person here having a problem is Brian.

Dan/W4NTI

209. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 00:36:20 UTC
From: Walter Raleigh <walterraleigh69ANTISPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uZn0e.50192$Z14.38003@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 106
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"ZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net> wrote in message news:4241e925.2448737@news.iol.ie...

"shoutred"

"rwad"

"yeh"

"witht"

"COMPULSRY"

Glass houses and stones come to mind. When preaching to Brian about his actions and beliefs, an attempt to respond in the same language would be nice.

HTH

--
Walter

210. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 02:05:29 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <4242203d_2@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 107
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Brian Reay" <brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> news:3abs7eF684g5rU1@individual.net...
>
>>
>>
>>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>news:_eadnUX00auwKt3fRVn-qw@adelphia.com...
>>
>>>
>>>Brian Reay wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Well, in that case why don't you call it something else. Then come
>>>>back
>>>>when someone makes you call it by the name that upsets you.
>>>>
>>>>Untill then, 'mellow out'.
>>>
>>>
>>>Dan, why are IRLP fans so quick to tell people to shut up and go away
>>>when someone disagrees with them. Are people like you and "concerned
>>>amateur" typical of what we might meet if we sample the mode?
>>>
>>
>>I don't think anyone is saying "shut up" etc. I'm saying unless ILRP
>>impacts
>>you, why worry?
>>
>>Over the years I've come across many amateurs who hated some aspect of
>>the
>>hobby- packet, anything computer based,..... Not one ever seems to
>>have
>>found a niche they really do like.
>>
>>
>>--
>>Brian Reay
>>www.g8osn.org.uk
>>www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
>>FP#898
>>

>>
>
> There you go putting words into my mouth again Brian. I never said I hate
> IRLP. I said I don't like it being called Ham Radio.
>
> And I don't much care if you like my opinion or not.
>
> So there.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

What I don't like is the way you are putting down a legitimate part of
the hobby. Whether you like it or not it IS a legitimate part of
Amateur Radio.

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

211. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 02:15:43 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <424222a2$1_2@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 108
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "Brian Reay" <brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> news:3abhpvF68qu2dU1@individual.net...
>
>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>news:VN%%d.1955$S46.1019@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>Oh I don't think so Brian. Spark was a MODE not a different system of
>>>sending the information.
>>>
>>>CW is continous wave. I.E. still sending information by the same
>>>method.
>>>I.E. over the airwaves now called RADIO.
>>>
>>>Do you see the difference yet?
>>>
>>>I do. And so does anyone else with a brain.
>>>
>>>Look...new technology is fine. Old technology is also fine. But these
>>
>>two
>>
>>>things ARE NOT INTERCHANGABLE. Radio is Radio. Internet is Internet.
>>
>>Get
>>
>>>it?
>>
>>What I don't 'get' is why people get so 'up tight' over such things?
>>
>>If people enjoy VOIP systems fine, if they don't, fine. Ditto Morse,
>>Packet,
>>Phone, Repeaters, ...
>>
>>I've yet to see a facet of the hobby that has adversely impacted my
>>enjoyment- even if is a facet that leaves me cold.
>>
>>What is this urge to insist everyone does it 'your way'?
>>
>>--
>>Brian Reay
>>www.g8osn.org.uk
>>www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk

>>FP#898
>>
>>
>
>
> Because I am right, and you are wrong. Further more I am NOT trying to
> force you to believe it my way. I am just trying to show you that you are W
> R O N G.
>
> Keep calling IRLP or VOIP Ham radio all you want. The rest of us know
> better.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

I've got bad news for you, with a quick check of
http://status.irlp.net/statuspage.html showing a total 1595 IRLP Nodes
within the IRLP network and 139 currently engaged in use as I post this
message, that IRLP is a popular and *VALID* part of Amateur Radio.

Just because you do not see it as part of Amateur Radio that it isn't.

It might not be part of YOUR hobby, but that is a different story.

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

212. w6cna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 02:32:18 UTC
From: hossman <haasesjunk@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111631538.560394.235790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 109

Does anyone have any info on W6CNA, Dick Gallion, Las Vegas.

Old Navy buddy, lost contact. Has anyone heard his key?

Hossman WA9ENO

213. Re: w6cna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 02:51:35 UTC
From: Topaz305RK <k7sam@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <DvednVytbJ5zt9_fRVn-uQ@vnet-inc.com>
Source record: 110

According to the FCC data base his license expired three years ago.

Sam

"hossman" <haasesjunk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1111631538.560394.235790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Does anyone have any info on W6CNA, Dick Gallion, Las Vegas.
>
> Old Navy buddy, lost contact. Has anyone heard his key?
>
> Hossman WA9ENO
>
>

214. Re: spectrum abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 03:05:21 UTC
From: Gary <danahers@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <yNmdnXj8jJXzs9_fRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 111

You could spend some time on rabbit hunting but since you are new to radio as a whole, you likely don't want to do that. In a nutshell, the guy is line of sight, and can be found. Is he being heard through a repeater or simplex? Get several guys, scattered around your community, to listen for his signal and get some idea where it is the strongest and work from there. Cheap 2 meter direction finders can be built from kits and this might be a good project to learn how to solder if nothing else. If you can get very close and he knows it that might be all it takes to silence the guy. I'd never suggest doing anything illegal to him once he is located.

tom wrote:
> There's a guy within 2m range of my location who's making interfering
> transmissions and not identifying himself, and just generally being an
> idiot. For instance, 2 licenced operators will be talking about a problem
> one of them is having with antenna baluns or something, and this individual
> will cut in with a comment like, "They're giving licences to anyone these
> days --- if you can't even run a radio ...", and then he's gone. I'm new to
> this. I just got my licence last week, but I know it's possible to DF a
> radio signal. I've been listening to this guy for weeks. If Industry
> Canada has more important things to do, then how about farming out the job
> to some volunteers. All it would take is to localize him to where he lives,
> and knock on his door and let him know someone knows who he is. There's no
> way he's sophisticated enough to move from place to place to evade a sweep.
> I'll bet we wouldn't even need to take the radio away --- just let him know
> someone is on the case and he'll pack it in. I'm sure this is a situation
> that happens over and over, though, what's the usual method of solving it?
>

215. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 07:56:26 UTC
From: G-S <gh@castbus.com.au>
Message-ID: <1144sl4o85mqs66@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 112
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

```
Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> "wonderer" <afor2217@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
> news:xL50e.8404$C7.3485@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:wU%%d.1959$S46.1122@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>> news:8ISdnW8F07Vr4qLfRVn-vQ@adelphia.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> "Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:423e780d_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags
>>>>>>you for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>--
>>>>>>The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong
>>>>>>to.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>>>>>>http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
>>>>>>VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>>>>>
>>>>> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>>>>> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
>>>>> connected to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you
>>>>> want, but it is NOT ham radio.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> It is an H-T audio chat room, Dan.
>>>>
>>>> - Mike KB3EIA -
```

>>>>
>>> As I said....call it whatever they want. But it ain't Ham Radio.
>>
>> oh!! yes it is
>>
>> let's take it one bit at a time
>>
>> R = repeater = radio, receiver of repeater linked to
>> transmitter of a repeater in another country
>> the reverse is true.
>>
>> I = internet, no problems here
>>
>> L is for linking, no problems here
>>
>> P is for protocol, to enable the two repeaters
>> to be able to be linked to gether, it is
>> radio at both ends.
>>
>> what problem do you have with this
>>
>> ALF VK5ZKL
>>
>>
>
> Gawd Almighty you Ausies are a thick headed bunch, ain't ya?
>
> Ham RADIO is communications with a RADIO or a RADIO system.
>
> INTERNET is communications with a NETWORK of interconnected computers
> organized in such a manner to send "packets" of data world-wide.

So what are X25 "packets" then?

> Do you see any mention of RADIO there?

Well If I use IRLP (and I don't contest btw) then I talk into my "radio" and
someone else talks into thier "radio"

The middle bit is "packets" like my old VFH "packet" radio

G-S VK3DMN

216. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 07:58:47 UTC
From: G-S <gh@castbus.com.au>
Message-ID: <1144sphjutq3eed@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 113
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> Because I am right, and you are wrong.

You saying it doesn't make it so... it just makes it your "opinion".

>
> Keep calling IRLP or VOIP Ham radio all you want.

Not that I need your permission... but I'll keep on calling IRLP radio
because it is :-)

G-S VK3DMN

217. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 08:00:46 UTC
From: G-S <gh@castbus.com.au>
Message-ID: <1144st91am9gg60@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 114
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> So there.

You know... that's sounds awfully like my 4 year old's debating skills LOL

G-S VK3DMN

218. Re: spectrum abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 08:27:16 UTC
From: "Joe R.Morris, Jr." <Joebaby@midsouth.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ERu0e.10007$rL3.1542@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 115

Well considering he "lived" in a city residential house......I could sit beside some school buses and transmit and plug his ears allnight......and yes we had the radio tech in on the deal.....they was charging him everytime he brought something in for their time to look at his equipment......I dont think he every found out who did it.....now days he prolly woudl care less...LMAO

Joebaby
High Tech Redneck
Rednecks, Inc. of Northwest Mississippi ©2005

"tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:PiL%d.752389$8l.548831@pd7tw1no...
> Hah --- good one! I'll bet there's a hundred more out there that are just
> as good, too. How complicated a deal was it to get position fix on Big
> John? Was it in the city or the country? With my luck, just as I started
> to get real strong readings that he's right in front of me I'd look up to
> see a highrise. Too bad you didn't have a guy in the radio shop in on the
> play, that way when he brings his gear in to be fixed the problems
> mysteriously just keep multiplying ...
>
>
> Tom
> Skype Name:
> va7fab_tom_in_vancouver
>
>

219. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 09:05:46 UTC
From: "Martin, VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@yahoo.invalid>
Message-ID: <424282ef$1_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 116
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:Wzn0e.2411$z.1312@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
[SNIP]

> Gawd Almighty you Ausies are a thick headed bunch, ain't ya?
>
> Ham RADIO is communications with a RADIO or a RADIO system.
>
> INTERNET is communications with a NETWORK of interconnected computers
> organized in such a manner to send "packets" of data world-wide.
>
> Do you see any mention of RADIO there?

Yes, as a matter of fact, because the correct name for IRLP is Internet
Radio Linking Project. See www.irlp.net Note that is a USA website
describing IRLP, not an Aussie site...

A quote from the irlp.net website:

"The aim of this project is to reliably and inexpensively link amateur radio
systems without the use of RF links, leased lines, or satellites."

So, next question?

--
Martin, VK2UMJ

To reply by e-mail, replace ".invalid" with ".com.au"

"I cannot help but notice that there is no problem
between us that cannot be solved by your departure."

220. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 09:59:45 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3afhchF694m7vU1@individual.net>
Source record: 117
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:qEn0e.2417$z.925@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
>
> It seems to me the ONLY person here having a problem is Brian.

The problem I have is understanding the bitterness I see over something
that, for most people, would rank below picking tomorrow's breakfast
cereal. . If someone wants to call VOIP 'amateur radio' so what?

All I can assume is that you sole status in life is rooted in your
'position' as a radio amateur and you see the use of the term amateur radio
applied to VOIP some how devalues that status. If that is so, you have
more important things to worry about.

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk
www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
FP#898

221. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 13:26:02 UTC
From: Stan <Rubbish@rubbish.com>
Message-ID: <Kdz0e.4523$Ab.3010@text.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 118
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
news:qemt31903nd7q82jgat743dq33gig8g58e@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 20 Mar 2005 23:33:23 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
> wrote:
>
>>"Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
>>news:8htl31hhh08rkhgnajsho00hu3a84fn787@4ax.com...
>
> I very much doubt it. I cannot imagine why I would want to write about
> this sort of nonsense.
>
>>> >International IRLP Contest starts at 1101 AEDT (0001z) today, March 18,
>>> >2005. Over 100 nodes worldwide now participating with more joining
>>> >daily..details, contest rules & regs can be found at
>>> >http://kg4zxk.com/index.php or http://www.qsl.net/vk3irl/ as can a
>>> >pre-formatted log sheet and what contacts equal what points.
>>> >
>>> >Join in this new and fascinating way of communicating. The contest
>>> concludes at the closing of the IRLP Conference in Las Vegas, April 17,
>>> 2005.
>
> ------%<-----
>
> 73 de Jock.
> --
> "Maybe this world is another planet's Hell."
> - Aldous Huxley (1894-1963)

Whether it is radio or not, if you are not a fan of contests, (and I am
not), then those contesting via IRLP may well result in a decrease in HF
radio traffic, leaving the DX clear for others. Which I appreciate...

So, long live IRLP !!!!!

Cheers and 73,

Stan, G4EGH.

222. Re: spectrum abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 13:44:32 UTC
From: tom <cyberhun@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <4vz0e.770817$6l.188080@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 119

Unless I'm really misjudging this guy, I might not even need to get very close. All I would have to do is let him "overhear" me talking about DFing him, and how much I want him to talk, because every time he makes another inane comment I get that much closer.
I think what interests him is the reaction he gets from some people that makes him think he's capable of doing something that others dont want him to do but are powerless to stop. If he could be made to think that people wanted him to talk (so they could DF his signal) he'd probably clam up in an instant.

--
73
Tom
Skype Name:
va7fab_tom_in_vancouver

223. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 15:59:42 UTC
From: Ron038653@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111679982.326029.243190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 120

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

224. Re: spectrum abuser

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 17:02:27 UTC
From: "Gary S." <Idontwantspam@net>
Message-ID: <eks5411pocs7r6mb16204mvu675u3e8ajt@4ax.com>
Source record: 121

On Sun, 20 Mar 2005 12:51:25 GMT, "tom" <cyberhun@shaw.ca> wrote:

>There's a guy within 2m range of my location who's making interfering
>transmissions and not identifying himself, and just generally being an
>idiot. For instance, 2 licenced operators will be talking about a problem

>someone is on the case and he'll pack it in. I'm sure this is a situation
>that happens over and over, though, what's the usual method of solving it?

The quote you give almost sounds like he is someone who has had a ham
license, one of the ones who feels that the testing has been watered
down. You can look up radio licensees by the town, and you might focus
your search this way to start with.

Also, in the US, the ARRL has a program for "Official Observers" who
basically give official reports to the FCC about those violating the
rules on-air, extending the "ears" of the FCC enforcement operation.
Their reports are given more credence than those coming in from some
random person.

You might check if RAC and Industry Canada have a similar thing.

Being upset that hams talk about radio equipment on the air? Seems a
bit foolish.

Happy trails,
Gary (net.yogi.bear)
--
At the 51st percentile of ursine intelligence

Gary D. Schwartz, Needham, MA, USA
Please reply to: garyDOTschwartzATpoboxDOTcom

225. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 17:59:55 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <gov5419c9chv6u6qm8894rdj8d7f18ai3l@4ax.com>
Source record: 122
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 09:59:45 -0000, "Brian Reay"
<brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote:

>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>news:qEn0e.2417$z.925@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > >
>>
>> It seems to me the ONLY person here having a problem is Brian.
>
>The problem I have is understanding the bitterness I see over something
>that, for most people, would rank below picking tomorrow's breakfast
>cereal. . If someone wants to call VOIP 'amateur radio' so what?

Because it demeans the hobby and brings it down to a level akin to that
of CB. That doesn't bother you?

73 de Jock.
--
"Maybe this world is another planet's Hell."
- Aldous Huxley (1894-1963)

226. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 18:10:37 UTC
From: Brian Reay <brian.reay@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3age4tF66q5o8U1@individual.net>
Source record: 123
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
news:gov5419c9chv6u6qm8894rdj8d7f18ai3l@4ax.com...
>
> Because it demeans the hobby and brings it down to a level akin to that
> of CB. That doesn't bother you?
>

Firstly I don't agree that VOIP systems brings a "CB element" to the hobby,
any more than any other facet does.

Secondly, if I look across all the bad elements I've come across in the
hobby, only a few entered it via the CB route.

--
Brian Reay
www.g8osn.org.uk
www.amateurradiotraining.org.uk
FP#898

227. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-24 21:04:08 UTC
From: Simon VK3XEM <usenet@vk3xem.net>
Message-ID: <42432b1b_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com>
Source record: 124
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Jock. wrote:
> On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 09:59:45 -0000, "Brian Reay"
> <brian.reay@(spamstopper)bigfoot.com> wrote:
>
>
>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>news:qEn0e.2417$z.925@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>>>It seems to me the ONLY person here having a problem is Brian.
>>
>>The problem I have is understanding the bitterness I see over something
>>that, for most people, would rank below picking tomorrow's breakfast
>>cereal. . If someone wants to call VOIP 'amateur radio' so what?
>
>
> Because it demeans the hobby and brings it down to a level akin to that
> of CB. That doesn't bother you?
>
> 73 de Jock.

You must really think you are better than everyone else around you, you
really are a SNOB!

Get over it, whether you like it or not Amateur Radio is evolving, it is
changing. You can still play with your spark gap transmitter if you
want, nobody is going to stop you. So you are wasting your time trying
to stop others to enjoy the hobby of *AMATEUR RADIO* in the way they see
fit within the *GUIDELINES*.

--
The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.

73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/

228. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 00:26:31 UTC
From: G-S <gh@castbus.com.au>
Message-ID: <1146mln6mn6beb6@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 125
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

>>The problem I have is understanding the bitterness I see over something
>>that, for most people, would rank below picking tomorrow's breakfast
>>cereal. . If someone wants to call VOIP 'amateur radio' so what?
>
> Because it demeans the hobby and brings it down to a level akin to that
> of CB. That doesn't bother you?

1. It doesn't demean the hobby, it widens it's appeal to a more inclusive
group.
2. CB isn't better or worse than amateur radio, it's just less technically
orientated.

So... no... not only does it not bother me, I approve of people calling VOIP
amateur radio.

G-S VK3DMN

229. best HT value?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 04:11:26 UTC
From: John Harlow <johnharlow@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <7dCdnX32s915Et7fRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 126

I'm looking at a Kenwood TH-F6A and an Icom IC-T90A. I think the Kenwood is a bit better but of course $50 more.

Is there a better choice I might be overlooking?

P.S. I'd really like to find an airband TX as I'm a pilot too...

230. Re: best HT value?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 04:21:14 UTC
From: Rob <robert.hurd4@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <_kM0e.13579$wL6.12216@trnddc03>
Source record: 127

John,

Can't comment on the T90, but I have the TH-F6A and
have no complaints.... dunno about the ICOM, but
Kenwood has free pc-control software for the unit.
If you plan to use a PC to program the it, you might
make up the $50 in software. Also look at the
cost of their control cables.

regards
rob

John Harlow wrote:
> I'm looking at a Kenwood TH-F6A and an Icom IC-T90A. I think the Kenwood is
> a bit better but of course $50 more.
>
> Is there a better choice I might be overlooking?
>
> P.S. I'd really like to find an airband TX as I'm a pilot too...
>
>

231. Re: best HT value?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 05:28:35 UTC
From: "old, cranky, gassy" <eh@what.com>
Message-ID: <p977419ibo863ffhke771ef2hq04j2itah@4ax.com>
Source record: 128

The T90 will Tx 118-136 if you take it out of band, and NBFM ONLY.
The OOB Tx is, for the most part, very low power {usually <1W} & will
eventually toast the {hard to replace} finals at the same time.
It has Rx intermod like most tiny HTs {lots}.
You do have to pay extra $ for the crappy programming software &
cable.
Got one..it's OK. Definately not the "end all" of radios, but I use it
primarily for Rx {it fits nicely in my large greasy ear} ;-).
Don't know beans about the Kenwood.

On Thu, 24 Mar 2005 23:11:26 -0500, "John Harlow"
<johnharlow@gmail.com> wrote:

>I'm looking at a Kenwood TH-F6A and an Icom IC-T90A. I think the Kenwood is
>a bit better but of course $50 more.
>
>Is there a better choice I might be overlooking?
>
>P.S. I'd really like to find an airband TX as I'm a pilot too...
>

232. Re: Remote Radio Link

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 07:06:16 UTC
From: GeorgeC <spamdump@dyb.com>
Message-ID: <ILO0e.2443$c76.1418@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129

Yup, openh323 is perfect for it...

You'll need pwlib as well...

-GeorgeC

"TF" <Tf@TF.com> wrote in message
news:a9pVd.2457$3t3.1147@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
> I am trying to eliminate a telco connection from a repeater voter site by
> using VOIP. I have good network connectivity.
>
> I would like to take two computer and set them up dedicated with linux
(most
> likely Fedora - command line only).
>
> Have line input on computer 1 --> speaker output on computer 2
>
> Very simple application. I need it to boot and auto connect, and
reconnect
> if it looses connection for unmanned operation.
>
> Anyone know of such as app?
>
> 73s....
>
>
>

233. Re: Remote Radio Link

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 14:12:17 UTC
From: MrCPU <rjstewart@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1111759937.302682.178840@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 130

Here's a WEIRD idea. What if you used NFS to mount one servers /dev
directory on another's server, ie /mnt/remote/dev

Then what would happen if you did a:

dd if=/mnt/remote/dev/"soundcardinputdevicename"
of=/dev/"soundcardspeakerdevice" bs=xxxxx (play with the block size)

Just a thought! I've never tried it.

234. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 14:27:19 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42441fac.882595@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 131
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69ANTISPAM@hotmail.com> wrote:

:
: "ZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net> wrote
: in message news:4241e925.2448737@news.iol.ie...
:
: "shoutred"
:
: "rwad"
:
: "yeh"
:
: "witht"
:
: "COMPULSRY"
:
: Glass houses and stones come to mind. When preaching to Brian about his
: actions and beliefs, an attempt to respond in the same language would be
: nice.

DEOTH

now... what were yousaying about GLASSHOUSES ??????????????????

235. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 14:37:49 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <424421fd.1475286@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 132
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote:

: 1st International IRLP Contest 2005

next...

first international telephone contest.

competitors to ring up as many internation phone numbers as possible.
exchange greetings.

itemised telephone bills to be submitted as log file.

236. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 15:05:45 UTC
From: Walter Raleigh <walterraleigh69ANTISPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0TV0e.50304$Z14.38066@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 133
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"ZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net> wrote in message news:42441fac.882595@news.iol.ie...
> DEOTH

Ah yes, one typo, on an unfamiliar lap-top keyboard, quickly corrected in a follow up post, as opposed to a decade of pseudo-dyslexic repetitive drivel. I think you've beaten me there....<sigh!>. Incidentally, I don't use a spell-checker, perhaps you need to try it.

--
73s de Walter R.

237. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 15:20:30 UTC
From: knickebeinjammer <scambuster@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <2%V0e.592$dF2.330@newsfe5-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 134
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

what is the point of a pc contest ? or am I missing some exciting detail
"ZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net> wrote
in message news:424421fd.1475286@news.iol.ie...
> "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote:
>
> : 1st International IRLP Contest 2005
>
>
> next...
>
> first international telephone contest.
>
>
> competitors to ring up as many internation phone numbers as possible.
> exchange greetings.
>
>
> itemised telephone bills to be submitted as log file.
>
>

238. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 19:17:04 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3aj6dkF6ctngnU1@individual.net>
Source record: 135
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"knickebeinjammer" <scambuster@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:2%V0e.592$dF2.330@newsfe5-win.ntli.net...
> what is the point of a pc contest ? or am I missing some exciting detail

You are missing a detail. You can't access the systems with a PC. That's what Dan, Walt and all the others who are ignorant of the facts are confused about. Perhaps the title "contest" is a misnomer, "QSO Party" might have been more appropriate.

But anything which gets hams out of the sofa and back on air can't be a bad thing.

Brad.

239. (",) Do You Want To Know For Sure You Are Going To Heaven?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-25 19:27:07 UTC
From: Ron038696@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111778827.790670.278500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 136

http://www.want-to-be-sure.blogspot.com << Click On Link

240. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 08:55:14 UTC
From: Michael Hunger <michael.hunger@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <Sr91e.102$AZ6.91@newsfe3-win.ntli.net>
Source record: 137
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Now I understand, alas I am just a very humble simple man from oop north,
maybe the rsgb should set one or two up for some of the new licencees.
preferably on27mhz
"nana" <nana@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:3aj6dkF6ctngnU1@individual.net...
>
> "knickebeinjammer" <scambuster@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:2%V0e.592$dF2.330@newsfe5-win.ntli.net...
> > what is the point of a pc contest ? or am I missing some exciting detail
>
> You are missing a detail. You can't access the systems with a PC. That's
> what Dan, Walt and all the others who are ignorant of the facts are
confused
> about. Perhaps the title "contest" is a misnomer, "QSO Party" might have
> been more appropriate.
>
> But anything which gets hams out of the sofa and back on air can't be a
bad
> thing.
>
> Brad.
>
>

241. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 10:56:18 UTC
From: Micky Taker <micky.taker@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <mdb1e.7841$IH3.4304@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 138
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

ZZZPK wrote:
> "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote:
>
> : 1st International IRLP Contest 2005
>
>
> next...
>
> first international telephone contest.
>
>
> competitors to ring up as many internation phone numbers as possible.
> exchange greetings.
>
>
> itemised telephone bills to be submitted as log file.
>
A lot harder on HF though isn't it?

QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ --

Micky

--
Countdown to deregulation - 84 days : 15 hours : 44 minutes

242. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 12:03:01 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42454f5c.856013@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 139
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69ANTISPAM@hotmail.com> wrote:

:
: "ZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net> wrote
: in message news:42441fac.882595@news.iol.ie...
: > DEOTH
:
: Ah yes, one typo, on an unfamiliar lap-top keyboard, quickly corrected in a
unfamiliar...

ye gods!

another excuse.

243. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 12:21:26 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42455395.1937277@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 140
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"knickebeinjammer" <scambuster@btinternet.com> wrote:

: what is the point of a pc contest ? or am I missing some exciting detail

yes.... :-)

i'm trying to find it too...
but all i can find in my shack are radios and coax leading to aerials !!

244. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 12:22:57 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <424553e5.2017727@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 141
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Micky Taker <micky.taker@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

: >
: > itemised telephone bills to be submitted as log file.
: >
: A lot harder on HF though isn't it?
:
: QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ --

yes...

by the way.... hows your poetry going ???

: --
: Countdown to deregulation - 84 days : 15 hours : 44 minutes
shouldnt that be COUNTDOWN TO MULTI_BAND CB ?

245. Re: CUSTOM HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK>W/YOUR CALL:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 15:00:01 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <SNe1e.707$9D.590@fe11.lga>
Source record: 142
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Hey fatboy, I'm tired of your cross-posted spam. I will now report every
one
of your abusive spam posts to ebay. Say buh-bye to your account, tubby."

So what you are saying, you retarded nut that has nothing better to do is
that ONLY MY POSTS ARE SPAM?
But the others are not?

"Scan da bands" <RK22@msn.com> wrote in message
news:42403a5d$0$19489$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Hey fatboy, I'm tired of your cross-posted spam. I will now report every
> one of your abusive spam posts to ebay. Say buh-bye to your account,
> tubby.
>>
> "RICH-WA2RQY" <wa2rqy@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:eYW%d.4232$Ed4.119@fe10.lga...
>> No Bids @ $10
>>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5761524102&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT
>>
>
>

246. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 15:09:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <lWe1e.4693$z.3244@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 143
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Micky Taker" <micky.taker@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:mdb1e.7841$IH3.4304@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> ZZZPK wrote:
>> "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote:
>>
>> : 1st International IRLP Contest 2005
>>
>>
>> next...
>>
>> first international telephone contest.
>>
>>
>> competitors to ring up as many internation phone numbers as possible.
>> exchange greetings.
>>
>>
>> itemised telephone bills to be submitted as log file.
>>
> A lot harder on HF though isn't it?
>
> QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ --
>
> Micky
>
> --
> Countdown to deregulation - 84 days : 15 hours : 44 minutes

Damn right it is a LOT HARDER on HF.

Dan/W4NTI

247. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 15:12:17 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42457bcb.12232584@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 144
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69ANTISPAM@hotmail.com> wrote:

: "ZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net> wrote
: in message news:42441fac.882595@news.iol.ie...
: > DEOTH
:
: Ah yes, one typo, on an unfamiliar lap-top keyboard, quickly corrected in a
: follow up post, as opposed to a decade of pseudo-dyslexic repetitive
: drivel. I think you've beaten me there....<sigh!>. Incidentally, I don't use
: a spell-checker, perhaps you need to try it.

Dear Dear Dear "Walter" or whatever your real name is ...

Since we are on the subject of SPELLCHECKERS which seems to be the
only item that you and several others can have a go at me over...

And given that those who previously had a go also fell on their faces
by mis-spelling something themselves....

I decided that it was time to go back in to the archives of google
to see for myself just how good you really are...

And of course...
right away...
your ability to make mistakes came up without too much filtering.

So you see "Walter" dear chap....
even those who think their spelling is brilliant can make mistakes...

As a second time winnner of the 12wpm morse TEST, I know i'm not perfect.
And on this newsgroup as elesewhere, I never claimed that I was.
But you won't see me running away from a morse test or encouraging others
to run away either and you certainly will not see me congratulating people
for running away.

Please note that these postings are from MAY 2004 ONLY !!
I shudder to think what other glaring spelling mistakes I might find
and to save you the embarressment, I decided to only point out a few.

here we go... (Ref: COLLINS ENGLISH DICTIONARY)

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

From: "Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69SPAMTRAP@hotmail.com>
Date: Sat, 8 May 2004 20:30:58 +0100
Message-ID: <c7jcud$300$1@kermit.esat.net>

"jim.gm4dhj" <jim.gm4dhj@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:y09nc.100$44.91@newsfe1-win...
> Why would I joke about THAT?.....
>
Well Captain Hook and the Finsbury Park brigade have a lot to answer
for,next you know they'll be banning Christmas and Easter on
the grounds that they alienate the ethnic minorities doncha know...

SPELLING MISTAKE: DONCHA SPELT PHONETICALLY
- SOMETHING YOU SAID YOU DONT DO.

as in ...

From: "Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <f8FYd.49382$Z14.37787@news.indigo.ie>
Date: Sat, 12 Mar 2005 16:53:30 -0000

I don't type phonetically. Your answer?

--
73s de Walter R.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

From: "Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69SPAMTRAP@hotmail.com>
Date: Fri, 14 May 2004 20:55:28 +0100
Message-ID: <c838lf$mp1$1@kermit.esat.net>

"zpk" <zpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net> wrote in
message news:40a51e57.1715105@news1.eircom.net...

> The foul-mouthed CBer reveals himself at every turn.

Originality? ,Wassthat? It's bad enough others plagiarizing all Gareth's
little venomous soundbites without you too :)

--

Walter R.

SPELLING MISTAKE: SOUNDBITES SPELT WITHOUT 'SPACE'
SPELLING MISTAKE: PLAGIARIZE SPELT WITHOUT AN 'S'
You have already claimed your origin so this is an error

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

From: "Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FfGpc.7918$qP2.16022@news.indigo.ie>
Date: Sun, 16 May 2004 10:12:08 +0100

"Airy R. Bean" <ariy.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:2gn7j4F4ef0rU3@uni-berlin.de...
> Indeed, her tirade was a rather silly and infantile outburst
> of sneering 'n' jeering in the best traditions of the uneducable CBers,
> indistinguishable from pigs grunting in the manure.

Really? Define (a) Your basis for referring to me as "she". Are you a
mysognist as well? Have you been rejected by women? Is
XXXXXXXXX aware of this?

--

Walter R.

SPELLING MISTAKE: MYSOGNIST

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

From: "Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UeFrc.62$Z14.162@news.indigo.ie>
Date: Sat, 22 May 2004 10:41:08 +0100

> such people would seem to be of a retarded mentality.

Another gentlemanly remark from the bastion of incoherence, inconsistency,
paranioa that is the REAL_RADIO_(HAM???) xxxxxx xxxxx lmao!

--

Walter R.

SPELLING MISTAKE: PARANIOA
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

From: "Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Intermediate Mock Exam Papers
Message-ID: <2L3sc.177$Z14.299@news.indigo.ie>
Date: Sun, 23 May 2004 16:50:27 +0100

"Brian Reay" <brian.reay@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:2hbv2qFast1kU1@uni-berlin.de...

[snip]
> same thing. It is sympotmatic xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
[snip]
> >Hope your not questioning my sanity, I'm new here :)))))))))))
>
> No more that the rest of us ;-)

LOL! Spot on!

> > 73s to you and yours

--

Walter R.

SPELLING MISTAKE: SYMPOTMATIC - ERROR NOT SLAGGED OFF BY "WALTER"

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

From: "Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <U87sc.187$Z14.309@news.indigo.ie>

Date: Sun, 23 May 2004 20:42:50 +0100

"Geoff" <geoff@nospam.demon.co.uk> wrote in message
news:Xns94F2D1DEA6252geoffmailnews@158.152.254.254...

[snip]

Certainly it would appear that Mr. xxxxx threw in the towel with me
noteably early. Apparently I made his sin bin after only two
posts! Must be something of a record:-)

--

Walter R.

SPELLING MISTAKE: NOTEABLY - SPELT WRONG
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

From: "Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <trrsc.296$Z14.325@news.indigo.ie>
Date: Mon, 24 May 2004 19:48:02 +0100

"Airy R. Bean" <ariy.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:2het75Fc4kvpU3@uni-berlin.de...
> "Gender based insult" ? When one has no info on the sex of
> the person one is intercourse with, it is no longer politically
> correct to assume masculine. It is PC to assume feminine.

Absolute rubbish and squirming of the highest order! You do it merely as a
feeble attempt at insult,and a sexually descriminatory
one at that. The very fact that you seek to justify it only enhances the
validity of your intent.

[snip]

--

Walter R.

SPELLING MISTAKE: DESCRIMINATORY SPELT WRONG

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

From: "Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Attachments on a News Group
Message-ID: <3zrsc.297$Z14.359@news.indigo.ie>
Date: Mon, 24 May 2004 19:56:08 +0100

"Dave D" <someone@.dave-d.com> wrote in message

[snip]

Thanks Dave,seems Walt has just discovered this trick,or something
similiar perhaps as no attachment showed up from your post. He's
a mischevious one I'll give him that ;) I too find OE good enough for me
Microsoft or not! Pays to keep the antivirus bang up to

date too though

--
Seacht□ a tr□

Walter R.

SPELLING MISTAKE: SIMILIAR SPELT WRONG
SPELLING MISTAKE: MISCHEVIOUS SPELT WRONG

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

I doubt that you will be able to claim that every one of these mistakes
was as a result of an unfamiliar keyboard but I expect you might!

If you have been on this newsgroup for as long as you claim you would
remember others falling foul of their own mistakes too and by criticising
my spelling but you obvously dont remember which leads me to doubt your
length of presence here.

The list of exposed failed-spellcheckers
gathers another member to its tail.

So, as you see "Walter" or whatever your name is (hiding in the shadows),
slagging off someone for their spelling errors isn't very nice.

Do have a really really really nice day.
=======================================

Your ever friendly and supportive ZZPK

END

248. FA: Too much to list>Cameras, WWII Electronics, Knightkit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 15:15:15 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <50f1e.711$3Q.52@fe11.lga>
Source record: 145
Cross-posted to:
rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

My Whole list, All Nice stuff no Junk-OLA:-)
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

249. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 15:20:13 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <42457d30.12589631@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 146
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

: Damn right it is a LOT HARDER on HF.
:
: Dan/W4NTI

ah Dan...

please dont mention words like HARDER on this newsgroup.

It might scare people into thinking that some sort of effort is required.

250. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 17:48:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <tfh1e.9346$S46.8233@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 147
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"ZZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net>
wrote in message news:42457d30.12589631@news.iol.ie...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>
> : Damn right it is a LOT HARDER on HF.
> :
> : Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> ah Dan...
>
> please dont mention words like HARDER on this newsgroup.
>
> It might scare people into thinking that some sort of effort is required.
>

Hi Hi...thats a good one....Oh well...back to the CQ WPX Phone fray. Condx
not so hot, ten and 15 good to South America. 20 ain't picked up yet.

Dan/W4NTI

251. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 18:06:19 UTC
From: Micky Taker <micky.taker@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <vwh1e.11855$XH5.3587@fe1.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 148
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> "ZZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net>
> wrote in message news:42457d30.12589631@news.iol.ie...
>
>>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>>
>>: Damn right it is a LOT HARDER on HF.
>>:
>>: Dan/W4NTI
>>
>>
>>ah Dan...
>>
>>please dont mention words like HARDER on this newsgroup.
>>
>>It might scare people into thinking that some sort of effort is required.
>>
>
>
> Hi Hi...thats a good one....Oh well...back to the CQ WPX Phone fray. Condx
> not so hot, ten and 15 good to South America. 20 ain't picked up yet.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Quoted from the CQ WPX Rules - "Maximum power allowed is 1500 watts
total output"

Must be REALLY HARD keeping that PA cool!

Micky

--
Countdown to deregulation - 84 days : 4 hours : 58 minutes

252. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 19:24:42 UTC
From: Walter Raleigh <walterraleigh69ANTISPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rQi1e.50379$Z14.38178@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 149
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"ZZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net>
wrote in message news:42454f5c.856013@news.iol.ie...
> ye gods!
>
> another excuse.

You'd better start writing yours now. At the rate you come up with critical
errors, even if you started posting corrections now, you wouldn't finish
within your lifetime.

--
73s de Walter R.

253. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 21:28:30 UTC
From: G-S <gh@castbus.com.au>
Message-ID: <114bl0033kjl5d7@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 150
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>> --
>> Countdown to deregulation - 84 days : 15 hours : 44 minutes
>
> Damn right it is a LOT HARDER on HF.
>

Nah I can talk to the people I talk to on HF just as easy as I talk to them
on VHF :-)

G-S VK3DMN

254. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-26 22:07:10 UTC
From: "Martin, VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@yahoo.invalid>
Message-ID: <4245dd0b_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 201
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

```
"ZZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net>
wrote in message news:42455395.1937277@news.iol.ie...
> "knickebeinjammer" <scambuster@btinternet.com> wrote:
>
> : what is the point of a pc contest ? or am I missing some exciting detail
>
>
> yes.... :-)
>
>
> i'm trying to find it too...
> but all i can find in my shack are radios and coax leading to aerials !!
>

Isn't that convienient! That just happens to be exactly what you need to
use IRLP!!!
```

255. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-27 01:13:56 UTC
From: Kate <vk4xyl@nospam.ft.net.au>
Message-ID: <424608a7$0$258$61c65585@uq-127creek-reader-03.brisbane.pipenetworks.com.au>
Source record: 202
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Martin, VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@yahoo.invalid> wrote in message
news:4245dd0b_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> "ZZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net>
> wrote in message news:42455395.1937277@news.iol.ie...
>> "knickebeinjammer" <scambuster@btinternet.com> wrote:
>>
>> : what is the point of a pc contest ? or am I missing some exciting
>> detail
>>
>>
>> yes.... :-)
>>
>>
>> i'm trying to find it too...
>> but all i can find in my shack are radios and coax leading to aerials !!
>>
>
> Isn't that convienient! That just happens to be exactly what you need to
> use IRLP!!!
>
Of course, you also need a kind Amateur who has a computer and a broadband
internet connection, and who has donated his/her own time, equipment and
knowledge to provide a service for the rest of us.

I have four computers in my shack/living room, one of which is connected to
the HF radio and is currently providing an SSTV repeater on 7033KHz.

Kate vk4xyl

256. Steve K - re: programming software for ic-207h

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-27 02:09:23 UTC
From: Bonkers <c@baloo.net>
Message-ID: <424617bf$0$30520$2c56edd9@news.cablerocket.com>
Source record: 203

I haven't actually tried to download this software but here is a link
http://www.mods.dk/view.php?ArticleId=2860
I hope this helps you.

257. New ham radio website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-27 02:24:43 UTC
From: WØCBF <w0cbf@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1111890283.415063.145290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 204

I have started a new ham radio website: http://callcq.com. Please visit and post any ads for equipment you need to sale. I will accept links to eBay as long as it is amateur radio related. Let me know of anything you would want to see added. You can send me an email by visiting the site. I don't collect any information except for the ad's where I ask for your name and email address. No cookies or any other information is collected. The site is "All Ages" rated and will never have any inappropriate material on the site.

Please visit and thanks for looking!
73's
Chuck
WØCBF

258. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-27 03:33:12 UTC
From: "Martin, VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@yahoo.invalid>
Message-ID: <424629b0$1_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 205
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Kate" <vk4xyl@nospam.ft.net.au> wrote in message
news:424608a7$0$258$61c65585@uq-127creek-reader-03.brisbane.pipenetworks.com.au...
>
> "Martin, VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@yahoo.invalid> wrote in message
> news:4245dd0b_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
>> "ZZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net>
>> wrote in message news:42455395.1937277@news.iol.ie...
>>> "knickebeinjammer" <scambuster@btinternet.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> : what is the point of a pc contest ? or am I missing some exciting
>>> detail
>>>
>>>
>>> yes.... :-)
>>>
>>>
>>> i'm trying to find it too...
>>> but all i can find in my shack are radios and coax leading to aerials !!
>>>
>>
>> Isn't that convienient! That just happens to be exactly what you need to
>> use IRLP!!!
>>
> Of course, you also need a kind Amateur who has a computer and a broadband
> internet connection, and who has donated his/her own time, equipment and
> knowledge to provide a service for the rest of us.

Well, yeah, but from the users point of view all you need is a radio (on the
appropriate band) and power supply, antenna and co-ax, and something that
generates DTMF tones. The user doesn't need a computer or internet
connection, or even a phone line for that matter!!

>
> I have four computers in my shack/living room, one of which is connected
> to the HF radio and is currently providing an SSTV repeater on 7033KHz.

Ahh - wasn't the removal of the HF restriction of us limited's the best
thing to happen to us of late!!! Of course, the Walts of th world still
think we shouldn't even be on any band, we didn't have to do CW....

>
> Kate vk4xyl

--
Martin, VK2UMJ

To reply by e-mail, replace ".invalid" with ".com.au"

Windows 95 was unable to detect a keyboard.
Press F1 to continue, or F3 to exit.

>
>

259. The Greatest News Ever!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-27 07:55:53 UTC
From: Ron_Grossi_038@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1111910153.712254.310780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 206

http://www.jcil.blogspot.com << The Greatest News Ever!

260. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-27 16:13:41 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr>
Message-ID: <fsmd41pohiujouo0v90abft1lc3o4u82fp@4ax.com>
Source record: 207
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 11:13:56 +1000, "Kate" <vk4xyl@nospam.ft.net.au> wrote:

>
>"Martin, VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@yahoo.invalid> wrote in message
>news:4245dd0b_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
>> "ZZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net>
>> wrote in message news:42455395.1937277@news.iol.ie...
>>> "knickebeinjammer" <scambuster@btinternet.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> : what is the point of a pc contest ? or am I missing some exciting
>>> detail
>>>
>>>
>>> yes.... :-)
>>>
>>>
>>> i'm trying to find it too...
>>> but all i can find in my shack are radios and coax leading to aerials !!
>>>
>>
>> Isn't that convienient! That just happens to be exactly what you need to
>> use IRLP!!!
>>
>Of course, you also need a kind Amateur who has a computer and a broadband
>internet connection, and who has donated his/her own time, equipment and
>knowledge to provide a service for the rest of us.

More fool he.

>I have four computers in my shack/living room, one of which is connected to
>the HF radio and is currently providing an SSTV repeater on 7033KHz.

More fool you.

73 de Jock.
--
"11-Plus Science Exam Answers No 2:
"When you breath you inspire. When you
don't breath you expire."

261. Re: The Greatest News Ever!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-27 17:30:12 UTC
From: John <ac5tx@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <E4C1e.4074$yq2.423@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 208

Jesus said "He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved" Mark 16:15-16

<Ron_Grossi_038@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1111910153.712254.310780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.jcil.blogspot.com << The Greatest News Ever!
>

262. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-28 01:09:47 UTC
From: Kate <vk4xyl@nospam.ft.net.au>
Message-ID: <4247592d$0$264$61c65585@uq-127creek-reader-03.brisbane.pipenetworks.com.au>
Source record: 209
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Jock." <real_class_a2003@yahoo.fr> wrote in message
news:fsmd41pohiujouo0v90abft1lc3o4u82fp@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 11:13:56 +1000, "Kate" <vk4xyl@nospam.ft.net.au>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Martin, VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@yahoo.invalid> wrote in message
>>news:4245dd0b_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
>>> "ZZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net>
>>> wrote in message news:42455395.1937277@news.iol.ie...
>>>> "knickebeinjammer" <scambuster@btinternet.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> : what is the point of a pc contest ? or am I missing some exciting
>>>> detail
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> yes.... :-)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> i'm trying to find it too...
>>>> but all i can find in my shack are radios and coax leading to aerials
>>>> !!
>>>>
>>>
>>> Isn't that convienient! That just happens to be exactly what you need
>>> to
>>> use IRLP!!!
>>>
>>Of course, you also need a kind Amateur who has a computer and a broadband
>>internet connection, and who has donated his/her own time, equipment and
>>knowledge to provide a service for the rest of us.
>
> More fool he.
>
>>I have four computers in my shack/living room, one of which is connected
>>to
>>the HF radio and is currently providing an SSTV repeater on 7033KHz.
>
> More fool you.
>
> 73 de Jock.

Yes, Jock, I guess I am a fool for thinking I could help people like you. OK, time to turn off the radios, take down the antennas, turn off the computers, hand in the licence and sit down and vegetate in front of the telly for the rest of me natural.

Kate

263. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-28 01:35:45 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3ap5blF6d9pmsU1@individual.net>
Source record: 210
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

```
>> More fool you.
>>
>> 73 de Jock.
>
> Yes, Jock, I guess I am a fool for thinking I could help people like you.
> OK, time to turn off the radios, take down the antennas, turn off the
> computers, hand in the licence and sit down and vegetate in front of the
> telly for the rest of me natural.
>
> Kate
```

Kate, you don't need to. Jock is doing that already.

Brad.

264. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-28 02:08:19 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <nGJ1e.14906$C7.4443@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 211
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Micky Taker" <micky.taker@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:mdb1e.7841$IH3.4304@fe3.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> ZZZPK wrote:
> > "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote:
> >
> > : 1st International IRLP Contest 2005
> >
> >
> > next...
> >
> > first international telephone contest.
> >
> >
> > competitors to ring up as many internation phone numbers as possible.
> > exchange greetings.
> >
> >
> > itemised telephone bills to be submitted as log file.
> >
> A lot harder on HF though isn't it?

Of course it's harder on HF, but given I don't yet own any HF gear, except the 27MHz CB, I can only make contacts using 2/70cm amateur band. Without IRLP I would have only made contacts woth VK3, VK7 and maybe VK5, with IRLP I've worked VE, ZL, K, JA, YI, and I look forward to working them on HF in the future.

Of course, making a DX Contact on CB 35 LSB would be harder than making an IRLP contact, but as IRLP is the only method I have of accessing hams outside VK on the air, then I will use it.

Or I could try making contacts with hams via HF, using CB..wonder how many hams I'll find there?

> QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ -- 5&9....QRZ --
>
> Micky
>
> --
> Countdown to deregulation - 84 days : 15 hours : 44 minutes

265. Re: IRLP Contest Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-28 08:56:31 UTC
From: G-S <gh@castbus.com.au>
Message-ID: <114fl6n3huicn23@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 212
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

nana wrote:

>
>>> More fool you.
>>>
>>> 73 de Jock.
>>
>> Yes, Jock, I guess I am a fool for thinking I could help people like you.
>> OK, time to turn off the radios, take down the antennas, turn off the
>> computers, hand in the licence and sit down and vegetate in front of the
>> telly for the rest of me natural.
>>
>> Kate
>
> Kate, you don't need to. Jock is doing that already.
>

Technically he's vegitating in front of the computer Brad ;-)

G-S VK3DMN

266. The Greatest News Ever!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-28 13:01:04 UTC
From: Ron_Grossi_038@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1112012098.075688.160120@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 213

http://www.jcil.blogspot.com << The Greatest News Ever!

267. Help: Silver Eagle To IC-746

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-28 14:10:43 UTC
From: trout <trout@nycap.rr.com>
Message-ID: <t04g411rto6kbh8nc1vol0oh92lme0drjs@4ax.com>
Source record: 214

I need help wiring the Astatic Silver Eagle
to my IC-746.

Also, is it harmful to wire the Astatic D104
handheld to this radio ? (Power Mic).

Icom IC-746 Microphone Wiring
1 - MIC input
2 - +8 V DC output
3 - Frequency up/down
4 - Main readout squelch switch
5 - PTT
6 - Ground (PTT)
7 - Ground (MIC)
8 - AF out (varies with [AF])

Silver Eagle Microphone Wiring
1 - Shield
2 - Audio
3 - Transmit
4 - Receive
5 - Channel Up
6 - Channel Down

Help Is Always Appreciated

268. Re: The Greatest News Ever!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-28 17:32:35 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <ctfg41hk52tj9b3t539m95gp6im0qea13m@4ax.com>
Source record: 215

On Sun, 27 Mar 2005 17:30:12 GMT, "John" <ac5tx@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>Jesus said "He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved" Mark 16:15-16
>
>
><Ron_Grossi_038@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1111910153.712254.310780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> http://www.jcil.blogspot.com << The Greatest News Ever!
>>
>

* Keep a copy because this page may get deleted.

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

269. Heath Cantenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-29 00:33:56 UTC
From: Paul and Linda Cooper <plcooper@starband.net>
Message-ID: <bfdd5$4248a23f$943f94d8$24098@STARBAND.NET>
Source record: 216

```
WTB Heath Cantenna, no oil.
```

270. PK-232 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-29 03:17:18 UTC
From: Topaz305RK <k7sam@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ldmdne1n5pnvVdXfRVn-ug@vnet-inc.com>
Source record: 217

Posting this for a friend.

He has an old AEA PK-232 unit and a new computer with Windows XP. He is wondering what software folks are using to run this unit, if anyone still is. Any info would be greatly appreciated.

Sam - K7SAM

271. Re: The Greatest News Ever!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-29 04:22:02 UTC
From: John <ac5tx@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <KJ42e.4367$yq2.2652@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 218

For as many of you as were baptized into Christ did put on Christ, Galatians
3:27
<Ron_Grossi_038@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1112012098.075688.160120@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.jcil.blogspot.com << The Greatest News Ever!
>

272. FA: $10> FIVE (5) FT-243 HAM BAND RADIO CRYSTALS 40mtrs & WARC's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-29 17:59:46 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Ilg2e.11733$CA1.5314@fe10.lga>
Source record: 219
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Much more stuff, pse look around, Click on See sellers other Auctions:-)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=5763168632&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

273. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-30 03:06:48 UTC
From: wonderer <afor2217@bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <cJo2e.17240$C7.16647@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 220
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:ilH%d.518$z.20@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
> news:423e780d_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>
>>
>> Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags you
>> for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>>
>>
>> --
>> The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>>
>> 73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>> http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
>> VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
>
> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>
> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
> connected to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want,
> but it is NOT ham radio.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

does this also mean we cannot place the receiver
for 10M some distance away(1Km) to stop desensitisng
the receiver as the of set is narrow, the receiver and
transmitter are connected by landline ?????????.

Alf VK5ZKL

274. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-30 07:38:36 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3av3c3F6bjo9uU1@individual.net>
Source record: 221
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

```
"wonderer" <afor2217@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
news:cJo2e.17240$C7.16647@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ilH%d.518$z.20@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
>> news:423e780d_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>>
>>>
>>> Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags you
>>> for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>>>
>>> 73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>>> http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
>>> VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
>>
>> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>>
>> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
>> connected to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you want,
>> but it is NOT ham radio.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>>
>
> does this also mean we cannot place the receiver
> for 10M some distance away(1Km) to stop desensitisng
> the receiver as the of set is narrow, the receiver and
> transmitter are connected by landline ?????????.
>
> Alf VK5ZKL
>
Dans' main problem was that he did not fully (or even partly) understand the
operation of IRLP and the security systems designed to prevent access. I
don't think he understood Echolink either and had the two confused as being
one and the same animal.
```

Walt was just plain ignorant.

Brad.

275. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-30 23:44:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com>
Message-ID: <URG2e.9031$z.6898@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 222
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

```
"nana" <nana@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:3av3c3F6bjo9uU1@individual.net...
>
> "wonderer" <afor2217@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
> news:cJo2e.17240$C7.16647@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>>
>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:ilH%d.518$z.20@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>
>>> "Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
>>> news:423e780d_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags
>>>> you for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> --
>>>> The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong to.
>>>>
>>>> 73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>>>> http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
>>>> VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
>>>
>>> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>>>
>>> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>>> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
>>> connected to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you
>>> want, but it is NOT ham radio.
>>>
>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>
>>
>> does this also mean we cannot place the receiver
>> for 10M some distance away(1Km) to stop desensitisng
>> the receiver as the of set is narrow, the receiver and
>> transmitter are connected by landline ?????????.
>>
>> Alf VK5ZKL
>>
> Dans' main problem was that he did not fully (or even partly) understand
> the operation of IRLP and the security systems designed to prevent access.
```

> I don't think he understood Echolink either and had the two confused as
> being one and the same animal.
>
> Walt was just plain ignorant.
>
>
> Brad.
>
Correct....in fact I think IRLP is the best choice if one is going to use
any form of internet with ham radio.

Dan/W4NTI

276. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-03-31 08:46:38 UTC
From: nana <nana@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3b1rnmF6fv0f1U1@individual.net>
Source record: 223
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
news:URG2e.9031$z.6898@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "nana" <nana@netscape.net> wrote in message
> news:3av3c3F6bjo9uU1@individual.net...
>>
>> "wonderer" <afor2217@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
>> news:cJo2e.17240$C7.16647@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>>>
>>> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote in message
>>> news:ilH%d.518$z.20@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>>>
>>>> "Simon VK3XEM" <usenet@vk3xem.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:423e780d_3@news.melbourne.pipenetworks.com...
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Get over it! Go back to your CW if you want, who bothers you or bags
>>>>> you for using it, I know I certainly don't.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> The views I present are my own and NOT of any organisation I belong
>>>>> to.
>>>>>
>>>>> 73 de Simon, VK3XEM.
>>>>> http://www.aca.gov.au/pls/radcom/client_search.client_lookup?pCLIENT_NO=157452
>>>>> VoIP http://www.TALKonIP.com.au/
>>>>
>>>> Thanks for bringing this up Mate!.
>>>>
>>>> My major problem is folks refering to interconnecting "hams" over the
>>>> internet as "ham radio". Ham radio is R A D I O . Not INTERNET
>>>> connected to Nodes then to a radio somewhere. Call it whatever you
>>>> want, but it is NOT ham radio.
>>>>
>>>> Dan/W4NTI
>>>>
>>>
>>> does this also mean we cannot place the receiver
>>> for 10M some distance away(1Km) to stop desensitisng
>>> the receiver as the of set is narrow, the receiver and
>>> transmitter are connected by landline ?????????.
>>>

>>> Alf VK5ZKL
>>>
>> Dans' main problem was that he did not fully (or even partly) understand
>> the operation of IRLP and the security systems designed to prevent
>> access. I don't think he understood Echolink either and had the two
>> confused as being one and the same animal.
>>
>> Walt was just plain ignorant.
>>
>>
>> Brad.
>>
> Correct....in fact I think IRLP is the best choice if one is going to use
> any form of internet with ham radio.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Yayyyy!!!!!!!!!

Brad.
>
>

277. Minimizing transmit distance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-02 00:09:32 UTC
From: jantman <usenet@jasonantman.com>
Message-ID: <1112400571.996307.90830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I'll keep this simple.

I have a mobile radio mounted in my car. It's an Icom 207H 2m Mobile. I need to somehow (as easily, cheaply, and quickly reversible as possible) restrict the transmit distance to something quite short (say, between 50 and 200 feet, at the maximum). What's the best and easiest way to do this, while still keeping something that can be easily reversed?

Will simply running the radio on low power (5W) with no antenna do the trick, keeping in mind that the radio is inside a metal car? Or should I somehow shield the end of the coax and/or the body of the radio?

It will only be used to transmit in fairly short bursts, say 10 seconds max, and at the maximum one burst per hour or two.

Thanks,
A newbie,

J. Antman

radio@jasonantman.com

278. Re: Minimizing transmit distance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-02 00:12:49 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <d2knuf$78t$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"jantman" <usenet@jasonantman.com> wrote in message
news:1112400571.996307.90830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> I'll keep this simple.
>
> I have a mobile radio mounted in my car. It's an Icom 207H 2m Mobile. I
> need to somehow (as easily, cheaply, and quickly reversible as
> possible) restrict the transmit distance to something quite short (say,
> between 50 and 200 feet, at the maximum). What's the best and easiest
> way to do this, while still keeping something that can be easily
> reversed?
>
> Will simply running the radio on low power (5W) with no antenna do the
> trick, keeping in mind that the radio is inside a metal car? Or should
> I somehow shield the end of the coax and/or the body of the radio?
>
> It will only be used to transmit in fairly short bursts, say 10 seconds
> max, and at the maximum one burst per hour or two.

A dummy load should suit that purpose.. even the best shielded ones should
have enough leakage to be heard that far.

279. Re: Minimizing transmit distance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-02 00:12:49 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <d2ko1s$79d$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"jantman" <usenet@jasonantman.com> wrote in message
news:1112400571.996307.90830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> I'll keep this simple.
>
> I have a mobile radio mounted in my car. It's an Icom 207H 2m Mobile. I
> need to somehow (as easily, cheaply, and quickly reversible as
> possible) restrict the transmit distance to something quite short (say,
> between 50 and 200 feet, at the maximum). What's the best and easiest
> way to do this, while still keeping something that can be easily
> reversed?
>
> Will simply running the radio on low power (5W) with no antenna do the
> trick, keeping in mind that the radio is inside a metal car? Or should
> I somehow shield the end of the coax and/or the body of the radio?
>
> It will only be used to transmit in fairly short bursts, say 10 seconds
> max, and at the maximum one burst per hour or two.

A dummy load should suit that purpose.. even the best shielded ones should
have enough leakage to be heard that far.

280. Re: Minimizing transmit distance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-02 00:30:46 UTC
From: dplatt@radagast.org
Message-ID: <114rptmd6o6eg9d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

>I'll keep this simple.
>
>I have a mobile radio mounted in my car. It's an Icom 207H 2m Mobile. I
>need to somehow (as easily, cheaply, and quickly reversible as
>possible) restrict the transmit distance to something quite short (say,
>between 50 and 200 feet, at the maximum). What's the best and easiest
>way to do this, while still keeping something that can be easily
>reversed?

Disconnect radio from antenna.

Connect radio to good-quality VHF-rated dummy load.

>Will simply running the radio on low power (5W) with no antenna do the
>trick, keeping in mind that the radio is inside a metal car?

It will quite possibly damage the radio's RF finals. Some radios have
good high-SWR protection, others do not. How lucky to you feel today?

Use a dummy load, and minimum transmit power. Enough RF will leak out
of the radio and coax to allow you to hear it tolerably well over
short distances, and it should pick up enough of whatever signal you
transmit from an HT to be able to receive well enough.

> Or should
>I somehow shield the end of the coax and/or the body of the radio?

terminate the end of the coax with a 50-ohm dummy load.

--
Dave Platt <dplatt@radagast.org> AE6EO
Hosting the Jade Warrior home page: http://www.radagast.org/jade-warrior
I do _not_ wish to receive unsolicited commercial email, and I will
boycott any company which has the gall to send me such ads!

281. Re: Minimizing transmit distance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-02 01:46:16 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <t5ur41dajl7rlr6bivctq9kgobup5el6bp@4ax.com>
Source record: 228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On 1 Apr 2005 16:09:32 -0800, "jantman" <usenet@jasonantman.com>
wrote:

>I'll keep this simple.
>
>I have a mobile radio mounted in my car. It's an Icom 207H 2m Mobile. I
>need to somehow (as easily, cheaply, and quickly reversible as
>possible) restrict the transmit distance to something quite short (say,
>between 50 and 200 feet, at the maximum). What's the best and easiest
>way to do this, while still keeping something that can be easily
>reversed?
>
>Will simply running the radio on low power (5W) with no antenna do the
>trick, keeping in mind that the radio is inside a metal car? Or should
>I somehow shield the end of the coax and/or the body of the radio?
>
>It will only be used to transmit in fairly short bursts, say 10 seconds
>max, and at the maximum one burst per hour or two.
>
>Thanks,

There is a strong possibility that if you operate the rig with a dead
short or open for the antenna that you will kill the finals more
quickly than you think.

Use a dummy load and see if that helps. Additionally, you may get
enough 1 watt resistors to put in parallel to make up 50 ohms at 5 or
more watts. That will work well and not risk damage to your rig.

using the dummy load inside the car will probably still allow it to
ttransmit outside for quite a ways.

Good luck
Buck
N4PGW

Buck
--
For what it's worth.

282. Re: Minimizing transmit distance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-02 01:46:43 UTC
From: "Dr. Anton T. Squeegee" <SpammersAreVermin@dev.null>
Message-ID: <MPG.1cb799607251d3f19896ff@localhost>
Source record: 229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

In article <1112400571.996307.90830@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>,
usenet@jasonantman.com says...

> I'll keep this simple.
>
> I have a mobile radio mounted in my car. It's an Icom 207H 2m Mobile. I
> need to somehow (as easily, cheaply, and quickly reversible as
> possible) restrict the transmit distance to something quite short (say,
> between 50 and 200 feet, at the maximum). What's the best and easiest
> way to do this, while still keeping something that can be easily
> reversed?

Attach a good quality dummy load to the antenna connector, and
make sure your TX power is set at low. That should keep the emissions
down.

> Will simply running the radio on low power (5W) with no antenna do the
> trick, keeping in mind that the radio is inside a metal car? Or should
> I somehow shield the end of the coax and/or the body of the radio?

If you value your radio's transmitter stages, NEVER run it without
either an antenna or dummy load. Period.

Was that not covered in your ham radio license study material?

--
Dr. Anton T. Squeegee, Director, Dutch Surrealist Plumbing Institute.
(Known to some as Bruce Lane, ARS KC7GR,
kyrrin (a/t) bluefeathertech[d=o=t]calm -- www.bluefeathertech.com
"If Salvador Dali had owned a computer, would it have been equipped
with surreal ports?"

283. Re: IRLP Contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-02 10:07:07 UTC
From: zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net
Message-ID: <424e6ec3.54812057@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 230
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

"Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69ANTISPAM@hotmail.com> wrote:

:
: "ZZZPK " <zzzpk_pkearn_class_a@multi_band_cb_is_here.eircom.es.it.net> wrote
: in message news:42441fac.882595@news.iol.ie...
: > DEOTH
:
: Ah yes, one typo, on an unfamiliar lap-top keyboard, quickly corrected in a
: follow up post, as opposed to a decade of pseudo-dyslexic repetitive
: drivel. I think you've beaten me there....<sigh!>. Incidentally, I don't use
: a spell-checker, perhaps you need to try it.

Dear Dear "Walter" (or whatever your real name is)

Since we're on the suject of SPELLCHECKERS

= =
From: "Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Ham Radio Deluxe v1.4 build425b now available
Message-ID: <4aCDc.3294$Z14.4355@news.indigo.ie>
Date: Sun, 27 Jun 2004 17:00:05 +0100

"Starr" <thick.as@plank.net> wrote in message
news:cbmp3a$7ns$0@pita.alt.net...
> Your welcome but i have something that doesn't seem to work but it's
> probably something i'm doing wrong. when you user the mapper, you can add
> stations to the database. I open the box, type the callsign in and click,
> "add" then the text wntry box clears and i close the box. when i go to edit
> stations thiere are none in the database.
>
> Other than that, lovely software. Did you write it in Visual Basic? (if you
> don't mind me asking)

Did your teachers ever visualise you posessing basic spelling/grammar
skills?

--
Seacht□ a tr□

Walter R.
= =

http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=posessing

No entry found for posessing.

No doubt it was ANOTHER keyboard problem (or some other squirmy excuse)

BWWWWWwwwwwwwwwwaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh !!!

Have a really really nice day "Walter" (or whatever your name is)

END

284. FA: TRIMM "DEPENDABLE" HEADPHONES 2K OHMS For Crystal Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-02 16:12:25 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Hvz3e.5422$H76.877@fe11.lga>
Source record: 231
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

TRIMM "DEPENDABLE" HEADPHONES 2K OHMS For Crystal Radio

285. Re: Minimizing transmit distance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-02 18:38:47 UTC
From: jantman <usenet@jasonantman.com>
Message-ID: <1112467127.114748.164490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Thanks to everyone for the replies. It turns out that the whole thing no longer matters. The idea was to use the rig to activate a nearby relay, based off of an old, re-programmed Motorola Minitor-II two-tone paging reciever. However, I'm having some issues triggering the pager, so the project is scrapped.

Thanks to all for the advice. When I place my next order from one of the dealers, I'll buy a good dummy load in case I ever need it again.

Bruce - yes, I'm sure it was covered somewhere. But I was more worried about getting the numbers and formulas and physics for the test, and had no problem remembering the 'easy' stuff...when taking a test at least.

286. Rubbermaid Storage Boxes -- Any for Sale ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-02 20:33:47 UTC
From: James <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
Message-ID: <o_WdnWVjb6UtnNLfRVn-jA@comcast.com>
Source record: 233
Cross-posted to: alt.home.cleaning,alt.home.lawn.garden,alt.home.repair,misc.rural,rec.gardens,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap

I loved the storage boxes made by Rubbermaid up until about four years ago. These were sturdy boxes, and most of them had latches on the top lids. Some lids were completely removable, and some were hinged, but all were equipped with latches.

One of the Rubbermaid boxes that I liked a lot was the Totelocker # 2156. Another one was the # 4151 Latch Tote. There were others that I would also like to buy used.

The Rubbermaid boxes sold now are paper thin, and without latches. They are unsuitable for my purposes.

If anyone on these lists to which this request is sent have any of these boxes, I would like to see if we could agree on a purchase.

Please reply to me off list, at my email address below.

Thank you very much !!

--James-- james@jamesnipper.com

287. Re: Minimizing transmit distance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-03 05:10:17 UTC
From: cl <clfurentN@Syahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pWK3e.59780$NC6.2720@newsread1.mlpsca01.us.to.verio.net>
Source record: 234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

"jantman" <usenet@jasonantman.com> wrote in message
news:1112467127.114748.164490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Thanks to everyone for the replies. It turns out that the whole thing
> no longer matters. The idea was to use the rig to activate a nearby
> relay, based off of an old, re-programmed Motorola Minitor-II two-tone
> paging reciever. However, I'm having some issues triggering the pager,
> so the project is scrapped.
>
> Thanks to all for the advice. When I place my next order from one of
> the dealers, I'll buy a good dummy load in case I ever need it again.
>
> Bruce - yes, I'm sure it was covered somewhere. But I was more worried
> about getting the numbers and formulas and physics for the test, and
> had no problem remembering the 'easy' stuff...when taking a test at
> least.
>

Based on what you're saying, I have a hunch what are you trying to do, is test the Minitor with a 2 meter rig? I am betting you were using a "PL" tone to try to open it up to receive. Not sure what you know about Minitor IIs, but there are probably no less than 2 reeds in it. A "single" tone, won't do it. And you couldn't possibly switch tones fast enough to make it work. It is milliseconds of a difference. If you'd like some help with the Minitor II, give me a yell privately. I repair them all the time.

cl

288. Re: Rubbermaid Storage Boxes -- Any for Sale ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-04 00:37:52 UTC
From: jazzylee <jazzyleenews77@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <s531519uh3m9se9buddnjh02mqiif894ur@4ax.com>
Source record: 235
Cross-posted to: alt.home.cleaning,alt.home.lawn.garden,alt.home.repair,misc.rural,rec.gardens,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.swap

On Sat, 2 Apr 2005 15:33:47 -0500, "James" <jnipperxxx@nospamfdn.com>
wrote:

>
>
>I loved the storage boxes made by Rubbermaid up until about four years ago.
>These were sturdy boxes, and most of them had latches on the top lids. Some
>lids were completely removable, and some were hinged, but all were equipped
>with latches.
>
>
>One of the Rubbermaid boxes that I liked a lot was the
> Totelocker # 2156. Another one was the # 4151 Latch Tote. There were
>others that I would also like to buy used.
>
> The Rubbermaid boxes sold now are paper thin, and without latches. They
>are unsuitable for my purposes.
>
>If anyone on these lists to which this request is sent have any of these
>boxes, I would like to see if we could agree on a purchase.
>
>Please reply to me off list, at my email address below.
>
>Thank you very much !!
>
>
>--James-- james@jamesnipper.com
>
>
You mean like this? http://www.mailcartofficesupplies.com/index.html
I just use some bungee cord for the boxes I use outside...but I had to
look...

289. FS:Kenwood TH-21AT HT transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-05 02:49:16 UTC
From: "Jerry R." <jerryrsnbg@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <21v351lik328lt19b0ma4crrd8ndqujvdu@4ax.com>
Source record: 236
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.radio-shack,alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur

Four more days

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5765551418

Thanks, 73's

290. FA: FOUR(4) BIG VARIABLE HV CAPACITORS, CARDWELL NATIONAL:)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-05 14:02:54 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <hUw4e.117$pP3.16@fe10.lga>
Source record: 237
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Big $11:-(
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4662&item=7505184298&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

291. FA: Texas Star Modulator EX V-Plus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-08 14:11:55 UTC
From: "TIA@THANKS.com" <TIA@THANKS.COM>
Message-ID: <JdydnX0HUuT9DcvfRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5766219062&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMESE%3AIT&rd=1Thanks for looking,73's

292. Re: FA: Texas Star Modulator EX V-Plus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-08 15:13:23 UTC
From: dontspam <dontspam@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mr7d51dgm10aoaqdjii7tep66tah4ea52d@4ax.com>
Source record: 239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Is this a Illegal CB Amp???

On Fri, 8 Apr 2005 10:11:55 -0400, "TIA@THANKS.com" <TIA@THANKS.COM>
wrote:

>
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5766219062&rd=1&sspagename=STRK%3AMES
E%3AIT&rd=1Thanks for looking,73's

293. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-10 14:30:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kpednavvCboNycTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

Sky King wrote:
> Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037

Why do you people fill this group with ebay ads when there is a group
for that kind of junk?

294. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-10 16:36:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050410123604.941$MN@newsreader.com>
Source record: 241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Sky King wrote:
> > Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037
>
> Why do you people fill this group with ebay ads when there is a group
> for that kind of junk?
>
Which group, JJ? it's x-posted to 8 of them.

295. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-10 17:27:21 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <7dOdnZPvF-Fx4MTfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>
Source record: 242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

Steveo wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>Sky King wrote:
>>
>>>Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
>>>
>>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037
>>
>>Why do you people fill this group with ebay ads when there is a group
>>for that kind of junk?
>>
>
> Which group, JJ? it's x-posted to 8 of them.

My bad, FAs should only be posted to the .swap and .marketplace groups
which were created for ads and let discussion groups be for discussion.
However it seems that everyone who has something to sell on ebay thinks
all groups were created so they would have a place to hawk their junk in
hopes of getting an extra dollar. As can be seen, these FA were spammed
to multiple groups without regards to the type of group.

296. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-10 21:14:52 UTC
From: Admiral Nelson <SEAVIEW5@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <2Hg6e.1793$6P2.940@fe11.lga>
Source record: 243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

You're crying about an auction that ended 2 months ago?
Seems to me that the bay is here to stay.
Learn to use your filters.
Seems to be the only way for you not to see them.
Also, one mans junk in another mans treasure.....
It also seems that people who view these newsgroups
might be curious as to what's for sale elsewhere.
Many have gotten stuck buying on these groups with broken items.
If someone has integrity there is usually not a problem, but there is the
other side of the coin where broken items were sold. The new owner has no
recourse. At least on ebay there is feedback, not a perfect system but
one that works.
And with paypal you CAN get a refund a good part of the time if there is
a problem.
Been there and done that.

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:

> Sky King wrote:
>
>> Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037
>
>
> Why do you people fill this group with ebay ads when there is a group
> for that kind of junk?

297. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-11 00:39:38 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ioudnZxMyoemfsTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>
Source record: 244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

Admiral Nelson wrote:
> You're crying about an auction that ended 2 months ago?
> Seems to me that the bay is here to stay.
> Learn to use your filters.
> Seems to be the only way for you not to see them.
> Also, one mans junk in another mans treasure.....
> It also seems that people who view these newsgroups
> might be curious as to what's for sale elsewhere.
> Many have gotten stuck buying on these groups with broken items.
> If someone has integrity there is usually not a problem, but there is the
> other side of the coin where broken items were sold. The new owner has no
> recourse. At least on ebay there is feedback, not a perfect system but
> one that works.
> And with paypal you CAN get a refund a good part of the time if there is
> a problem.
> Been there and done that.

So why post FAs in groups that are for discussion? That is what the .swap and .marketplace groups are for. Why should I have to filter out FAs in a discussion group where FAs shouldn't be there in the first place? If people in a discussion group are curious about what may be for sale on ebay then they can check the .swap or .marketplace groups or go to ebay and search, it ain't rocket surgery.
Look at the boatanchor group as an example, which was set up to DISCUSS boatanchor type equipment, along comes the ebayers with a few FAs, then someone else sees the FAs, posts their FAs and pretty soon the group is more FA ads than discussion.

298. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-11 08:10:55 UTC
From: Admiral Nelson <SEAVIEW5@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3iq6e.1288$x86.372@fe12.lga>
Source record: 245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

That's a good point. It use to piss me off when these FA started to
show up on the groups.
We can still discuss stuff here but it seems it is the way of the world.
It's like the price of gas, nobody likes it, but it keeps going up and
we still have to buy it.

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote

So why post FAs in groups that are for discussion? That is what the
.swap and .marketplace groups are for. Why should I have to filter out
FAs in a discussion group where FAs shouldn't be there in the first
place? If people in a discussion group are curious about what may be for
sale on ebay then they can check the .swap or .marketplace groups or go
to ebay and search, it ain't rocket surgery.
Look at the boatanchor group as an example, which was set up to DISCUSS
boatanchor type equipment, along comes the ebayers with a few FAs, then
someone else sees the FAs, posts their FAs and pretty soon the group is
more FA ads than discussion.

:

> Admiral Nelson wrote:
>
>> You're crying about an auction that ended 2 months ago?
>> Seems to me that the bay is here to stay.
>> Learn to use your filters.
>> Seems to be the only way for you not to see them.
>> Also, one mans junk in another mans treasure.....
>> It also seems that people who view these newsgroups
>> might be curious as to what's for sale elsewhere.
>> Many have gotten stuck buying on these groups with broken items.
>> If someone has integrity there is usually not a problem, but there is
>> the
>> other side of the coin where broken items were sold. The new owner
>> has no
>> recourse. At least on ebay there is feedback, not a perfect system
>> but one that works.
>> And with paypal you CAN get a refund a good part of the time if there
>> is a problem.
>> Been there and done that.
>
>
> So why post FAs in groups that are for discussion? That is what the
> .swap and .marketplace groups are for. Why should I have to filter out

> FAs in a discussion group where FAs shouldn't be there in the first
> place? If people in a discussion group are curious about what may be
> for sale on ebay then they can check the .swap or .marketplace groups
> or go to ebay and search, it ain't rocket surgery.
> Look at the boatanchor group as an example, which was set up to
> DISCUSS boatanchor type equipment, along comes the ebayers with a few
> FAs, then someone else sees the FAs, posts their FAs and pretty soon
> the group is more FA ads than discussion.

299. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-11 14:52:04 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevens@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <oaw6e.1617$%v6.795@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

It is called spam. Especially, when they cross-post to so many groups.
I just look at it like this...if they can't seem to follow netiquette,
then I don't want to do business with them. Unfortunately, there are so
many people online that are ignorant, it will never go away. So, I just
report them.

"Cmd Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:kpednavvCboNycTfRVn-2w@gbronline.com...
> Sky King wrote:
> > Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037
>
> Why do you people fill this group with ebay ads when there is a group
> for that kind of junk?

300. FA: ICOM IC-O2AT HANDY-TALKIE for 2MTR FM, A CLASSIC & NEAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-12 13:45:46 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <diQ6e.1060$nf3.700@fe10.lga>
Source record: 247
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.swap

Cheep>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40066&item=5766240083&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

301. FA: COLLINS R-390A 70H-12 PTO w/TUBE, NICE SHAPE & NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-12 13:47:45 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4kQ6e.1062$ai3.581@fe10.lga>
Source record: 248
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,us.military.army

Big $32>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4673&item=5766240109&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

302. FA: CLASSIC & POPULAR DRAKE T-4XB TRANSMITTER COMPLETE NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-12 13:48:37 UTC
From: cooltube <cooltube@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <UkQ6e.1063$Ed3.426@fe10.lga>
Source record: 249
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Big $51>>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4675&item=5766240147&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

303. National NC-183D auction on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur
Date: 2005-04-13 17:06:40 UTC
From: "jmknapp@gmail.com" <jmknapp@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1113412000.789522.212190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5749812635

Working condition, excellent finish, includes loudspeaker and original manual.

Joe